

Feynman Hughes Lectures

Astronomy Astrophysics Cosmology

Oct. 1966-June 1967

Notes taken & Transcribed by John T. Neer

Copyright © 1966-2014 John T. Neer



Thanks for the notes you made on my astronomy lectures. Dick Feynman

At the completion of the year, I gave Feynman a copy of my notes which he appreciated and in return signed my FLP vol 1.

This picture of Feynman is as I remember him coming to the Research labs. Always casual in dress and always with chalk in hand

When Feynman started this lecture series on astronomy as he referred to it, it really emphasized the astrophysics more than "classical" Astronomy. He did not have a definitive roadmap of his topics over the ensuing 40 weeks with 2 hours a session weekly.

As I have been reviewing and preparing these notes for release, I have been inserting more current findings, particularly for space observatories, to relate Feynman's lectures to today's "view" of the universe. Feynman's lectures covered a broad spectrum of topics which provide the reader with a rich foundation in the Astronomy, Cosmology and Astrophysics.

I undestand and appreciate the significant advances in astrophysics and cosmology that have occurred since the time these notes were created and look forward to those whose knowledge and experience can add to the content herein.

Bookmarks are provided

CHAPTER 1

Pages

COSMOLOGY

1 - 59

Models of the Universe Relativity and Its Application to Cosmology Curved Space-Time Gravitational Collapse

CHAPTER 2

60 - 111

STELLAR STRUCTURE

Equilibrium Conditions of a Ball of Gas Mechanism of Energy Generation Nuclear Synthesis Carbon-Nitrogen Cycle β-decay URCA Progess (pair production)

CHAPTER 3

112 - 171

EVOLUTION OF THE STARS

Main Sequence Stars Population I and II Stars Production of the Higher Elements Solar Oblateness and Dicke's Theory Radio Galaxies Radio Emissions Synchrotron Radiation Quasars

CHAPTER 4

172 - 180

SPECIAL LECTURE ON PAIR PRODUCTION

CHAPTER 5

181 - 204

SOLAR SYSTEM

Cosmic Rays Earth's Magnetic Field Rotation of Mercury and Venus The Sun Structure Sunspots Solar Winds Theory of Corona Mars

Jupiter's Rotation

INDEX

A

Absolute Time, 39 Absorption Coefficient, 149 Age of Universe (See Hubble Constant) Angular Distance (Diameter) 9, 13, 14, 23, 24 Separation, dØ 13, 17 Antiparticles, Theory of Existence 172, 180

В

Background Radiation (Universe) 126, 140. 147 Barred Galaxies, 4 Baryon, 142, 143 Beta Decay, 93, 103 Big Bang Theory, 7 Binding Energy, 101 Black Body Radiation 2, 62, 146 Black Stars, 58 Brightness (See Luminosity) Brightness, Stellar, 2

С

Carbon 12 Production, 98 Carbon-Nitrogen Cycle, 94 Causality, 173, 174 Cepheid Variable Stars, 117 Charge Conjugation, 105 Complex Integration, 101-103 Conduction, 60 Convection 60, 64, 114, 115 Cosmic Rays, 181 Cosmological Assumption 13, 18, 145, 141 Concepts 6, 124, 145, 146 Mirror, 125, 126, 145, 146 Coulombic Repulsion, 83 Curuature of Space 11, 43, 48

D

D'Alembertian, 135 Density, Critical, 12, 55, 56 Deuterium, 90, 91 Dicke's Theory 133-139 Е

Earth, Magnetic Field $\epsilon(\tau)$, See Nebular Spacing Einstein Absolute Time, 39 Coefficients, 80 Cosmological Term, 29 Elevator Analogy, 34 Equivalence Law 34, 46, 134 Gravity, Theory of 32, 33, 45, 46, 135 Mass, Definition, 33 Motion Law of, 51 Relativity, Theory, 30 Electric Field, 156 Electromagnetic Mass, 36 Electron, Gas, 77 K, Capture, 103 Radiating, 36, 148, 152 Scattering, 79 Elemental Length in Space-Time 55, 136 Elements Higher than Iron, 122, 127 Proportion, 99 Elliptical Galaxies, 4 Energy Generation, 60, 82 Eotvos Experiment, 134 Europium, 122 Exclusion Principle, 74

\mathbf{F}

Feynman-Gellmann Process (URCA), 103
Feynman Mean Curvature, 44
Fields,
 Different types, 30
 Energy, 30
Fourier Transform Theory 157-159
Frequency, ω
 At Emission 14, 22, 23
 At Reception, 14, 22, 23

Galactic, diameter, 9 Galaxies, 4 Gamma Ray Reactions, 102 Gas, Condensation 143, 145-146 g_{μν}, Tensor, 40, 41 Effect on Time 50,51 Collapse 56, 57 Geodesic, 43, 135-137 Gobular Clusters, 4 Gravitational Attraction, 134 Collapse 56-57 Effect, 18 Field, 32, 134 Potential, 35, 69, 135, 138 Quadratic Form, 33 Red Shift, 37 Waves, 145

Η

Harmonic Oscillator, 158
Helium Burning Process, 63, 92, 96
Hoyle's Theory, 28, 97
Hubble's
 Constant, 12, 18
 Theory, 29
Hydrogen Burning Process, 90
Hyperbolic Functions (Relativity)
 16, 17, 26a

Ι

Inertia, 33, 134-136 Invariants, 105 Iron, 103 Isotopes, Unstable 127, 128

J

Jupiter Rotation 194-196

К

L

Lane-Emden Equation, 66 Least Action Principle, Light Path 39, 52-54 Leptons, 142, 143 Lotentzi Time 8, 49 Luminosity 2, 9, 70, 73 Apparent 15, 24 Mach's Principle, 135
Magnitude, Stellar, 2
Main Sequence Stars, 3, 113, 114
Upper and Lower 114
Mass
Critical, 77
Electromagnetic, 36
Fraction, 60
Mechanical, 36
Newtonian, 33
Radius - Luminosity Ratio, 68
Maxwell Equations, 156
Maxwell - Bolteman Distribution, 83
Mercury's Orbit, Perturbations 134, 138-9
Rotations, 88

Ν

Nebular (Galactic) Distribution, 15, 27 $\varepsilon(\tau)$, spacing 15, 18, 21, 23, 24 Distance Unit ψ , 15, 16, 21, 23 Equations of Motion, 18 Neutron Capture 128, 129 Neutrino 90, 93, 142 Newtonian Mechanics Leading to Relativity, 30 Mass 33 Nebular Motion 18 Nuclear Processes (S and R) 100, 123, 127-130 Reactions, Beginning, 113 Synthesis, 93 Nucleons, 142

0

Oblateness, Sun 133, 138 Opacity, 60, 62, 70, 79-81 Optical Depth, 147 Oxygen Production, 95 Orion, 147, 151

P

Packing Fraction, 101 Pair Production Annilation 105-6, 179, 180 Penetration Distance, 85 Photon In Calculating Nebular Distance 17, 22 In Gravitational Field, 38 Plasma Frequency, 150 Plasmon Decay, 105 Population, Stellar 2 Potential Barrier, 82 Pre-Main Sequence Stars 112 Pressure, 59 Gas 60, 62, 64, 69, 71, 72 Radiation, 62, 71, 72 Proper Time, 8 Emission, Reception 13, 21 Proton-Proton Processes I, 90, 91 II, III, 92

Q

Quantum Mechanics 74, 75, 76 Quasars 5, 171 q, Models of Universe 19, 20

R

Radar Astronomy, 186 Radioactive Elements 130-132 Radiation Electron Acceleration 148-9 Radiogalaxies 5, 168-9 Radio Sources 140 Thermal 147-151 Radius of Universe 6 Reaction Rate 87-89 Red Giants 3, 116 Red Shift 3, 5, 7, 9, 19, 22, 23, 25 No Gravity 13 Defined 14 Change with Time 26 Relativity Velocity 16 Bending of Light, 27, 28 General Theory, 30 Distance 40 Resonant Reactions 87 In Helium Process 96 Riemann Tensor, 45

S

Scalar Field 30, 31, 134 Scale Factor, Nebular 22 Scattering Amplitude 175, 176, 178 Scattering Cross Section 79, 87 Schrodinger's Equation 84 Schwarzchile 47 Self-Force 36 Solar System, 1, 184-196 Space Curvature, 11 Analogue with Dug World 41-44 Space-Time 45 Increment 47-49 Flat 49 Spallation 94 Spectral Lines 63 Spiral Galaxies 4 Spontaneous Emission 80 Standard Model, 65 Clock 50 Star Classification, 3 Equilibrium Equations 59, 60, 62, 71 Evolution 3, 112 Interior Structure 59 Mass 67 Mean Density Novae 118 Population I, 100 Classification of 116-118 Population II Classification of 119-121 Radius 66 Spectrum, 2 Surface 63 Statistical Mechanics, Nuclei Number, 102 Stellar Energy, Origin, 90 Stress, Tensor 137 Sun, 1, 189, 190, 198-204 Mass, 1 Gravitational Effect 48, 54c Sunspots, 190 Synchrotron Radiation 152-167

Т

Tensor 31, 134-137 Theta θ, Nebular Time 16, 21, 24 Tidal Effects 34 Time Line, 8 Lorentz, 8 Increment, 40

U

Universe Lumpiness 141-144 Oscillating Theory 144 Theories of Evolution 12, 27 Three Models 20 Uranium, 122 Urca Process

V

Vector Field 30, 31 Potential 31 Velocity of Light 32 Venus, 193, 188 Virial Theorem, 69

S

White Dwarfs 37, 74-78 World Lines, 174 w boson, 110 Y Z Z,z (See Red Shift)

When Feynman was giving these lectures on astronomy, astrophysics and cosmology he was learning the material/subject matter as he was presenting it. In typical Feynmanism he went after the physics and then used the math language to explain the underlying physics.

On numerous occasions he would start off on the blackboard and work his way across until his mathematical development was not correct. He would stop and stare at what he had done then walk back to find out where he had made a mistake. The math was not coming out with the right physics which he somehow intuitively knew. Maybe it was a first order assumption; a near field or far field simplification or maybe an integral expansion error. To observe him stop and correct himself in real time was to observe a genius in action.

Clearly these lecture topics have been superceded by tremendous theoretical and experimental work in astrophysics and cosmology. I have attempted to insert some updated and related material that can be found readily on the web. Where I have inserted a wikipedia reference, I have attached their spherical logo. I would expect those who are engaged in the subject matter here will be able to contribute and expand on the new related material. I have included these "emended" additions without attempting in any way to correct or change Feynman's original lecture contents. A more professional errata/peer review would be of value but something that I leave to the reader & reviewers.

> Wiki Logo used with insert



Along the way I have elected to insert some imagery/pictures from current ground and space observatories where it seemed appropriate. Again, hot links to videos and other professional sources would enrich these notes.

W

REFERENCES

STRUVE THE UNIVERSE SCHATZMAN, THE ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION OF THE UNIVERSE BARNETT THE UNIVERSE AND DR. EINSTEIN TAYLOR AND WheeLER, SPACTIME Physics MASSEY, THE NEW AGE OF Physics GAMOW AND CRITCHFIELD, ATOMIC NUCLEUS AND NUCLEAR ENERGY SOURCES BRANDEIS, LECTURES IN THEORETICAL PHYSICS, ASTROPHYSICS AND WEAK INTERACTIONS Wy AND MOSZKOSKI, BETA DECAY QUASI-STELLAR SOURCES AND GRAVITATIONAL COLLAPSE- ROBINSON, SCHILD SCHUCKING CONDON AND OTDISHAW - HAND BOOK OF Physics FEYMMNAN-LECTURES ON PHYSICS, VOL I AND IT REMY - TREATISE ON INORGANIC CHEMISTRY VOL. I AND I CHILL AND HOFFMANN - GRAVITATION AND RELATIVITY WEBER GENERAL RELATIVITY AND GRAVITATIONAL WAVES CHANDRASEKHAR, PRINCIPLES OF STELLAR DYNAMICS CHANDRASEKHAR, STELLAR STRUCTURE ALLER, MCLAUGHLIN - STELLAR STRUCTURE STEIN - STELLAR EVOLUTION STRUVE - ELEMENTARY ASTRONOMY

The references here were my supplement to the lectures. Feynman never called out a reading reference

I have updated my notes here in an attempt to insert some of the interesting experimental discoveries that have come about since this lecture series was presented in the '66-'67 time frame. Our venture into space have opened our perspective on the complexities of the universe and our place in it. Communication satellites caused Penzias and Wilson to "tune" into the microwave "noise" degrading our earliest global satellite services. Advanced electro-optical sensors and telescope, e.g. Hubble, opened our eyes to the wonders and mysteries of the universe. Sophisticaed microwave receivers permitted us to tune in more precisely to the subtlies of earliest structures of the universe after the "Big Bang" was discovered.

Our earliest ventures in space for global communications and national security purposes unlocked the window into our understanding of Cosmology, Astrophysics and Astronomy. For all those who took up the exploratory and theoretical journey into the unknown, these notes might provide an interesting historical perspective on how far we have come in our understanding of our universe and our place in it. I would invite those engaged in such fascinating pursuits to emend these notes with their findings and thoughts. However, always remember my goal is to preserve the true Feynmanism in these 1966-67 lectures. Feynman had a lot of fun with these lectures and it was certainly a rare treat to sit in and capture his talks.

CHAPTERI

The NATURE of The Lectures

The LECTURES WILL START WITH THE UNIVERSE; COUERING THE ORIGIN; MOVING ON TO THE GALAXIES, STARS, THE SUN, OUR SOLAR SYSTEM, AND ENDING UP ON EARTH.

As A QUICK SUMMARY WE WILL WORK OUR WAY OUT TO THE UNIVERSE VERY SWIFTLY, THEN COLLAPSE AGAIN GOING INTO DETAIL. THUS SOME QUICK PASSING REMARKS WILL BE MADE A DOUT EACH TOPIC TO be COVERED.

The solar System

ApproxIMATELY 98% of The TOTAL ANGULAR MOMENTUM IS IN THE PLANETS. IT IS SPECULATED A COMETARY SYSTEM FILLS The UOID between The STARS OF AN ESTIMATED 2×10" COMETS. This SPACE has a density of 1 ATOM PER cubic CENTIMETER of SPACE. A CONVENIENT MEASURE of distance becomes A LIGHT YEAR, I.E., 10" METERS.

> A NEW SYSTEM OF EXPONENTIAL NOTATION WILL BE Adopted in which 10"m \rightarrow 116m And is SAID TO be 1"CO" 16 meters. A number LIKE 10^{-2} m \rightarrow 1'ack' 2 or 1-2 m. Thus we MAY MULTIPLY 2×10" x 2.73×10⁻³ AS(2)(2.73) = 2.2.738

Feynman liked to create his own shorthand notations.

The SUN

The MASS of The SUN IS About Z30 Gr AND WE define This to be I solar MASS, I.E.,

230 Gr = | SOLAS MASS

The SUN has A PERIOD OF 25 days. IT radiates power AT A rATE of 433 ETG/Sec or 426 WATTS.



The STARS

A BrIGHTNESS OR LUMINOSITY IS defined IN TERM of MAGNITUDE; A HIGH MAGNITUDE, UNFORTUNATELY MEANS YOU CAN'T SEE THE DAMN THING. ONE MAGNITUDE IS Adopted to be 21/2, TE., A FACTOR of 21/2. OR A MAGNITUDE CORRESPONDING TO 2.5 REPRESENTS A FACTOR of 10.

Other FACTORS relATED TO THE LUMINOSITY ARE MASS AND THE rADIUS which is A measure of TEMPERATURE SINCE A LARGER AREA IS COOLER. THE SPECTRUM CORRESPONDING TO THE TEMPERATURE MANGE IS,

The TEMPERATURE IS DETERMINED by The BLACK BODY radioATION of The STARS,

$$Q = \sigma I^{*}$$

 $Q = constant.$

The LUMINOSITY L IS THEN

$$L = 4\pi R^2 \times Q$$
$$= 4\pi \sigma R^2 T^4$$

Thus All Three FACTORS CAN be SEEN TO be relATED; SO ONE CAN ALWAYS be derived from The OTHER TWO. The LEAST LUMINOUS STATS ARE About I MILLION TIMES FRINTER THAN THE SUN; WHILE THE BRIGHTEST IS About A MILLEON TIMES BRIGHTER,

STARS ARE EITHER POPULATION I OR II DEPENDING ON THEIR DEVELOPEMENT. POP. I'S ARE LATTER DEVELOPING AND USUALLY MADE IN THE SPIRAL ARMS OF MEDULAE.



The EVOLUTION OF A STAT IS QUICKLY The following: The ENERGY of GRAVITATION becomes KINETIC ENERGY AND THE STAT GETS hot; IT ENTERSTICE MAIN SEQUENCE IN THE LOWER BIGHT AND MOVES UP. IT CONTINUES TO FADIATE ENERGY UNTIL THE TEMPERATURE GETS SO NOT THE NEUTRON-NEUTRON PROTON-PROTON INTERACTIONS form deuterium AND WE HAVE FORMALLY A STAR. The INITIAL MASS SIZE DETERMINES WHERE IT ENTERS THE MAIN SEQUENCE LINEAND HOW LONG IT WILL LAST. THE GIANTS DON'T LAST LONG; WHEN IT BURNS 15-20 % of ITS HYDROGEN IT BEGINS TO BURN HELIUM WHICH NECESSITATES A HIGHER TEMPERATURE-THE RESULT BEING ITS GETS DIGGER, COOLER OUTSIDE BUT HOTTER INSIDE AND IT MOVES OFF INTO THE RED GIANT REGIONS (HELIUM BURNER). WHAT HAPPENS AFTER IT FUNS OUT OF HELIUM IS NOT KNOWN. SOME HOW THEY MIGHT WORK THEIR WAY DOWN TO THE WHITE TWARES.

The STELLAR IS OBTAINED FROM DINARY STARS of which comprise 10-20 °10 of ALL STARS by USING KEPLER'S LAWS AND WORKING OUT THE PERIOD AND REDUCED MASS. The Speed of RECESSION OR MOTION IS OBTAINED from The DOPPLER Shift. THAT IS, AS THE EATH RECEDES from A STAR OR VICE VERSA THE WAVE LENGTH OF EMITTED LIGHT Shifts DOWNWARD AS THE UELOCITY INCREASES,

$$\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{V_{e}}{C}$$

VE = recessionAL velocity of The EARTH

GALAXIES

ON THE AVERAGE GALAXIES HAVE A MASS EQUIVALENT TO 10" SUN MASSES OR 241 Grams. GALAXIES ARE CLASSIFIED AS

- I. ELLIPTICAL OR ELLIPSOIDAL IN CONTOUR
- 2. SPIRALS where The VERTICAL DIMENSIONS YUN About 1/100 The PLANAR DIAMETERS. They CAN be EiTher Normal or Barred Spirals

fig. 2



BARRED NORMAL SPIRAL SPIRAL SPIRAL SPIRAL Va 300 Km/sec Va 1 Id



The hard, dense, CENTRAL REGIONS FOTATE LIKE right bodies. There is a denser core of about 10 hight yrs and outer spheroid of 200 L.Y. DIAMEFER. There Exist a halo about This core made up of GAS, dust, and SMALLER GODULAR CLUSTERS IN THEM which move Through The SPIRALS



Galaxy in a nebulae-NCG 922



Farthest/oldest Galaxy



 Hubble Deep Field
 HST • WF

 PRC96-01a • ST Scl OPO • January 15, 1996 • R. Williams (ST Scl), NASA

QUASARS

These ARE Sources of STRONG rAdid EMISSION. They ARE SPECULATED TO BE WHAT IS LEFT OVER from SUPER NOVAE EXPLOSIONS IN Which SYNChrotRON RADIATION, POLARIZED LIGHT. They ARE QUASI - STELLAR RADIO GALAXIES. THERE IS A CENTRAL ELLIPTICAL OBSERVABLE BODY SURROUNDED BY TWO REGIONS OF RADIATING EMISSION OF THE OIDER OF 139 WATTS OR 3-10 MASSES OF THESUN PER YEAR MUST BE CONSUMMED ASSUMING E=MC² TO PRODUCE THIS ENERGY. THE CONFIGURATION HOOKS LIKE

Very interest Feynman sketch compared to the "real" thing seen on the right.

fig. 4

CygA

There Are observable red shift from .3 to 2 where $\Delta \lambda = \frac{\lambda_{observed} - \lambda_{eminted(LAB)}}{\lambda_{eminted}} = 2 \quad corresponds$ To a velocity of .86c. This is, indeed, Quite LARGE,

AGE of The UNIVERSE AS defined by Hubble'S CONSTANT, TH TH = 10¹⁰ YRS ± 33°10 = 318 SEC

This is derived from The red shift

 $\frac{D}{\nabla} = T_{H} = \frac{2}{2}$ $\Delta \lambda \rightarrow \nabla$

Cosnologr

This is The Problem of how IT ALL bEGAN. TO UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION WE MUST ASK HOW THE RED SHIFT BEGAN. POSSIBLY THE PHOTONS HIT OTHER PHOTONS DURING TRANSIT BUT THIS WOULD REQUIRE ALL PHOTONS TO DEFLECT THE SAME. ANOTHER POSSIBLE EXPLANATION IS THAT IN THE PAST LIGHT WAS DIFFERENT AND SOMEHOW LIGHT GETS 'OLD'; HYDROGEN IS CONTINUALLY CHANGING WITH. FREQUENCY ME /2K^L MAY LIGHT DOES TOO. OR, MAYBE MASS CAN CHANGE WITH TIME RESULTING IN A CHANGE IN BOAR OF DITS.

WE WILL EXAMINE MORE CLOSELY THE QUESTION OF TIME VARYING CONSTANTS by LOOKING AT THE FORCE BETWEEN TWO PROTONS. LET'S COMPUTE THE FATION BETWEEN THE ELECTTO-STATIC ATTACTION AND GRAVITATIONAL ATTRACTION,

$$\frac{e'/R^{2}}{Gm_{p}^{2}/R^{2}} = \frac{e^{2}}{Gm_{p}^{2}} = 10^{36}$$

WITH THE HUBBLE CONSTANT WE define The radius of The UNIVERSE TO BE

CTH = 110 116 = 126 METER

Now we Ask how MANY ProTONS IN This VOLUME:

$$V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^{3} \doteq 1_{78}m^{3}$$

Assume The density of protons is

$$\rho_{P^2} \cdot 1 \frac{Protons}{cm^3} = 15 \text{ m}^3$$

 $\therefore \rho_V = 10^{83}$

If we determine

$$\frac{T_{H}}{compton wavelength} = \frac{T_{H}}{\frac{f_{H}}{f_{h}}} = \frac{1}{1-16} = 10^{42}$$

Now The force between ELECTRONS IS $\frac{e^{2}}{GM^{2}e_{1}} = 10^{92}$

Through The SIMILARITY of All These figures some have Tried to IMPLY A CONSTRUTCY OF The UNIVERSE. OUT of This Argument COMES The relationship That

 $G \propto \frac{1}{T_{H}}$

BUT This is a false deduction be cause A billion years AGO THE EARTH WOULD HAVE A TEMP. OF OCEAN About 97°C much TOO HIGH TO SUPPORT LIFE. THIS THEORY IS NOT ENTIFELY NON-SENSICAL BUT IT MAKES EVOLUTION A TIGHT SQUEEZE.

THE BIG BANG THEORY

The CONSENSUS of MODERN DAY COSMOLOGY IS THAT THE NEBULAE ATE MOVING OUTWARD FOLLOWING A DIG DANG. HOWEVER, ALL CREATION LAWS ARE OMITTED AND THEY DON'T SOLVE THE QUESTION WHERE EVERY THING CAME FROM. THE IS ALSO ONE THEORY THAT CLAIMS THE UNIVERSE IS PERPETUALLY EXPANDING DUE TO THE HE CREATION WHICH LEADS TO NEW NEBULAE.

IF, IN FACT, There WAS A DIG DANG WE MIGHT ASK "WHAT IS THE DISTRIBUTION OF GALAXIES THROUGHOUT SPACE?" A BASIC COSMOLOGICAL ASSUMPTION IS THAT WE ATE IN NO PARTICULAR PLACE OF THE UNIVERSE AND NO MATTER WHERE YOU LOOK OUT IN ANY PART OF THE UNIVERSE EVERY THING ON THE AVERAGE IS THE SAME.

WITH THE IDEA OF A BIG BANG IT IS POSSIBLE TO CONJECTURE THAT ALL GALAXIES ARE MOVING OUT FROM SOME 'CENTER POINT.' WE CAN NOW AS HOW THE RED SHIFT DEPENDS WITH DISTANCE. THE ONLY ASSUMPTION MADE TO BEGIN WITH IS THAT THE GALACTIC VELOCITY IS THE SAME NOW AS IT WAS WHEN THEY WERE SHOT OUT. THIS MEANS WE FIRST WILL NOT CONSIDER ANY GYAVITATIONAL FORCES ACTING ON THE GALAXIES TENDING TO SLOW THEM DOWN. WE FURTHER CONSIDER WE'RE AT FEST. WE FIRST CONSTRUCT A TIME TIME.

Experimental Cosmology-inserted here for a historical update-jtn

A year prior to this lecture series in 1966 the "big bang noise" was measured by Arno Penzias and Robert Wilson working for Bell Labs at the time. In the early days of geosynchronous communication satellites very large ground receiving antennas with low noise "frontends" were needed to detect the "signal" from the noise. It was unclear where a spurious noise was coming so the two went outside to measure the noise which seemed to come from all over the sky. This experiment was not motivated by pure science but rather investigating new communication technology. Here is a picture of the experimental facility using a large horn antenna:



What was measured was an "isotrophic" microwave radiation that appeared to be "global" and appeared as a ISOTROPY OF THE COSMIC MICROWAVE BACKGROUND

"monochromatic" picture of the sky:

The 1978 Nobel Prize in Physics was awarded to Penzias & Wilson for their discovery. It was, however, Robert Dicke who explained the significance of their isotrophic finding. Dicke was in search of the same experimental basis for the "Big Bang".

The experiment left the perplexing question: without any anisotrophic structure left by this background radiation where were the "seeds" that gave birth to the galactic & stellar structure?

It was nearly 25 years later that NASA launched the COBE satellite to try to answer this question. This satellite was the first generation of advanced, highly sensitive differential microwave receivers followed by WMAP and more recently ESA's Planck satellite.

Most significant, however, was COBE's first results anxiously awaited for by the cosmological community. What COBE produced was a anisotropic image of the "unevenness" of the 3 deg K blackbody radiation:



This expected finding but nevertheless a challenging satellite payload design at the time(late 80's) earning George Smoot and his NASA colleague, John Mather, the 2006 Nobel Prize in Physics.

On a side note here: As it turns out in 1962, George and I happened to be in the same high school physics class. Each of us pursued physics in our own way but found fascination and enjoyment with our choices & pursuits. George's interests had him apply space technologies to "look" outward and my focus was downward looking.

With the COBE success both NASA and ESA planned and deployed more advanced microwave sensors and detectors, specifically the NASA Wilkinson Microwave Anisotrophic Probe, WMAP, and ESA's Planck satellite.

WMAP's improved microwave sensors and its unique operational orbit out at "L2" provided not only an improved map quality of the microwave background radiation that COBE detected but it also discovered other rather remarkable features of our universe. Here is an image of WMAP's improved anisotrophic mesurements:

WMAP provided a the following important cosmological and astophysical findings: I include them here because of the significant implications of all of the the findings on cosmology and our understanding of our universe.



WMAP's Top Ten- source: http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/

NASA's Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) has mapped the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation (the oldest light in the universe) and produced the first fine-resolution (0.2 degree) full-sky map of the microwave sky

WMAP definitively determined the age of the universe to be 13.75 billion years old to within 1% (0.11 billion years)

WMAP nailed down the curvature of space to within 0.6% of "flat" Euclidean, improving on the precision of previous award-winning measurements by over an order of magnitude

The CMB became the "premier baryometer" of the universe with WMAP's precision determination that ordinary atoms (also called baryons) make up only 4.6% of the universe (to within 0.2%)

WMAP's complete census of the universe finds that dark matter (not made up of atoms) make up 22.7% (to within 1.4%)

WMAP's accuracy and precision determined that dark energy makes up 72.8% of the universe (to within 1.6%), causing the expansion rate of the universe to speed up. - "Lingering doubts about the existence of dark energy and the composition of the universe dissolved when the WMAP satellite took the most detailed picture ever of the cosmic microwave background (CMB)." - Science Magazine 2003, "Breakthrough of the Year" article

WMAP has mapped the polarization of the microwave radiation over the full sky and discovered that the universe was reionized earlier than previously believed. - "WMAP scores on large-scale structure. By measuring the polarization in the CMB it is possible to look at the amplitude of the fluctuations of density in the universe that produced the first galaxies. That is a real breakthrough in our understanding of the origin of structure." - ScienceWatch: "What's Hot in Physics", Simon Mitton, Mar./Apr. 2008

WMAP has started to sort through the possibilities of what transpired in the first trillionth of a trillionth of a second, ruling out well-known textbook models for the first time.

The statistical properties of the CMB fluctuations measured by WMAP appear "random"; however, there are several hints of possible deviations from simple randomness that are still being assessed. Significant deviations would be a very important signature of new physics in the early universe.

WMAP has put the "precision" in "precision cosmology" by reducing the allowed volume of cosmological parameters by a factor in excess of 30,000. The three most highly cited physics and astronomy papers published in the new millennium are WMAP scientific papers--- reflecting WMAP's enormous impact.

The Planck Satellite improved further on WMAP's performance & measurements: http://www.esa.int/Our_Activities/Space_Science/Planck/Science_objectives



Back to the Feynman lectures in 1966:

CONSIDER WE ARE AT TIME, TO AND AT SOMETIME IN THE PAST THE GALAXYE WAS A POINT I AND TIME TI. THAT THE, TI IS GIVEN BY

$$t_1 = T_0 - \frac{D}{C}$$

where D=vTi

So EITHER

OR

 $D = \frac{T_0 C}{1 + \frac{C}{2}}$ $T_1 = \frac{T_0}{1 + \frac{v}{2}}$



fig. 5

The red shift is EXPRESSED AS

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda_{obs}}{\lambda_{emiTT}} = f = 1 + 3$$

for A MOVING, EMITTING SOURCE WE HAVE

$$1+3 = \sqrt{\frac{1+v}{1-v}} = 7 (1+3)^{2} = \frac{1+v}{1-v}$$

or $V = \frac{(1+3)^{2} - 1}{(1+3)^{2} + 1}$

$$D = \frac{T_0 C}{[1 + \frac{C[(1+3)^2 + 1]}{(1+3)^2 - 1}]} = \frac{T_0 C [(1+3)^2 - 1]}{(1+3)^2 - 1 + C [(1+3)^2 + 1]}$$

$$I_1 = I_1 \sqrt{1 - v_{c2}^2}$$
 which is The LORENTZ TIME

Thus The AGE $T_1 = \frac{T_0}{1+V/c^2} \sqrt{1-V^2/c^2}$

where V = V(3) CAN be found TO GET THE AGE KNOWING THE RED Shift.

TO CHECK THIS THEORY WE NEED MORE THAN ONE WAY TO MEASURE THE DISTANCE, D. THERE ARE THREE CURRENTLY.

1. SIZE - This METHOD ASSUMES ALL THE GALAXIES OBSERVED ARE of The SAME SIZE, ON The AVERAGE. This GALACTIC DIAMETER is of The order 100 million L.YR'S. Thus BY The PARALLAX METHOD



WE CAN WHITE

$$\frac{1}{(1+3)^{1}} = \frac{1-v}{1+v} = 1 + \frac{2v}{1+v}$$

SINCE $D = D_{4D} = \frac{VT_0}{1+Y}$

$$\frac{1}{(1+3)^2} = 1 - \frac{2DtD}{To}$$
But, most probably, L=L(T)
And Gets smaller or larger.

2. LUMINOSITY - CONSIDER LUMINOSITY IS CONSTANT. Therefore, AT A GrEATER DISTANCE The SAME OBJECT WOULD APPEAR WEAKER.

Brightness observed = $\sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{1}{D_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+3)^2}$

where

Lo = LUMINOSITY DB = DISTANCE ON THIS SCALE

The LIGHT is observed to shift to The red. 1+3 IS THEN A TIME SCALE FATTO

If Lo depends ON AGE, This Theory is shot. WITHOUT AN EVOLUTION of GALAXY THEONY KNOWN This CANNOT be checked.

3. GALACTIC DISTRIBUTION: HOW MANY GALAXIES DO WE See? The number of GALAXIES EMITTED WITH MOMENTUM PIN The rANGE d'P 15

 $\frac{d^{3}P}{2E}$

This result is NOT INTUITIVELY Observes by results from A LOVENTZ TRANSFORMATION which REECESSITATES This PARTICULAR FORM TO PRESERVE THE DISTRIBUTION PROBABILITY.

BUT
$$\frac{d^{3}P}{2E} = \frac{P^{2}dP}{2E} \cdot d\Omega$$

where dr is The Solid ANGLE

BY RELATIVITY

$$E^2 = P^2 + M^2$$

so $F d F = P d P$

AND

 $\frac{d^3P}{2E} = PdEd\Omega$

SINCE

Hence
$$P = \frac{mv}{(1-\frac{v}{c_{1}})^{1/2}}$$
 $E = \frac{me^{k}}{(1-\frac{v}{c_{1}})^{1/2}}$ $-r dE = \frac{MV/c_{1}dv}{(1-\frac{v}{c_{1}})^{3/2}}$
Hence $PdE = \frac{v}{(1-\frac{v}{c_{1}})^{1/2}}$

Thus

The NO. of GALAXIES
having recession AL velocity =
$$4\pi \frac{\tau^2}{c_2} dv$$

VINI VANGE dv $1 - \frac{\tau^2}{c_2}$

 $\frac{4\pi}{c^2}\int_0^C \frac{v^2}{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}dv$

Now we consider The CASE of GrAVITY AND how IT Effects The recessionAL velocities. The consequence of A curved SPACE is derived from This CASE. FIRST off The curvature of SPACE is <u>NOT</u> independent of The observer; The question of whether space is curved depends who defines it. At a given time The sequence of points of the nebolae gives rise to A curved SPACE but it is NOT necessisatily SPherical-IT MIGHT BE PARABOLIC.

ASSUME WE STAND AT The CENTER OF ANY Arbitrary SPhere of TAdius R(T) which The surrounding GALAXIES ARE MOVING OUTWARD THE RIM WITH A VELOCITY WITH STAYS CONSTANT WITH TIME. ASSUME FURTHER THE



density is The SAME Throughout . The sphere. Because There are a Lot of GALAXIES, I.E., A Lot of MASS INSIDE, The OUTWARD MOTION IS SLOWED down AND The result is to curve BACK. The Nebulae.

FOR A GALAXY AT THE FIM MOVING WITH JLC THE ACCELERATION IS

$$R_{i}(\tau) = -GM_{i \text{ inside}}$$

$$R_{i}^{2}(\tau)$$

where $M_1 = \rho R_1(T) \frac{4}{3} \Pi$

for A PARTICLE CLOSER IN, SAY AT RILT)

$$\vec{R}_{2}(T) = - \frac{G}{R_{1}} \frac{M_{1}}{N_{2}} \frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}} \frac{M_{2}}{N_{1}} \frac{M_{2}}{R_{1}} \frac{M_{2}}{R_{1}}$$

where

or
$$\frac{M_1}{M_2} = \frac{R_1^3(T)}{R_1^3(T)}$$

The specific value of v is very crucial to The concept of The EVOLUTION of The UNIVERSE. DEPENDING ON ITS VALUE THERE ARE 3 POSSIBLE UNIVERSES,

- 1. If J IS VERY LARGE AND THE RETARDING GRAVITATIONAL SLOWDOWN IS NEGLIGIBLE, THE GALAXIES WILL CONTINUALLY FACE OUT AND THE UNIVERSE WILL BE FOREVER EXPANDING.
- 2. The Critical CASE IS IF V Approaches O because The NET GRAVITATIONAL Pull JUST & PULLS hard ENOUGH TO PREVENT THE GALAXIES FROM ESCAPING.
- 3. The CAJASTROPHIC CASE IS IF VIS LESS THAN Ve, The CRITICAL VELOCITY, AND THE GALAXIES ARE SUCKED BACK TO THEIR ORIGIN.
- WE MIGHT ASK What IS THE CRITICAL DENSITY?' THE VELOCITY JUST NEEDED TO ESCAPE, Vesc IS EQUAL TO THE HUBBLE VELOCITY OF RECESSION VIEC

$$V_{REC} = \frac{R}{T_H}$$

TH = HUBBLE CONSTANT = 10" YRS = 3-18 sec"

Thus WE EQUATE THE KINETIC AND POTENTIAL ENERGIES

$$\frac{1}{2} V_{rec}^{2} = \frac{G M_{inside}}{R}$$

$$M = \frac{4\pi}{3} R^{3}$$
Thus
$$4\pi \frac{G \rho}{3} R^{3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{R^{2}}{T_{H}^{2}} \Rightarrow$$

$$P \approx \frac{1}{T_{h}^{2}} G$$

12.

GOING BACK OVER THE NOTES OF THE LAST LECTURE CERTAIN POINTS NEED CORRECTING AND DESERVE A BETTER EXPLANATION. THE PROBLEM DEALS Which The red Shift from Nebulae Receding from US with A VELOCITY PROPORTIONAL TO THE DISTANCE DEAWEEN US. WE MUST ASSUME THE CONSTAINEY OF THE NEBULAR DEAUSITY TO PURSUE THE DISCUSSION. FURTHER, THE BASIC COSMOLOGICAL PREMISE IS USED, I.E., WHAT WE SEE WHEN LOOKING OUT IS WHAT SOMEONE OUTTHERE SEES WHEN HE LOOKS OUT. AGAIN WE FIRST DISCUSS THE CASE OF NO GRAVITY AND THEN ADD IT TO OUR PICTURE.

RED Shift with NO GRAVITY

FIRST CONSTRUCT A PICTURE LIKE THE ONE ON PAGE 8: WE ARE AT TIME to; THE LIGHT WAS EMITTED A POSITION I AND TIME ti.

The AGE of The Nebula AT. POSITION 1 IS t... The ANGULAR DISTANCE D& IS GIVEN by

$$D_{4} = \upsilon T_{i} = c(T_{o} - T_{i})$$

$$1_{1} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}}}$$

NOW WE Adopt The NOTATION of C being ONITY Throughout The ENSUING DISCUSSION. SINCE To = to, The About becomes

out The ENS
The About 1

$$T_1 = \frac{r_0}{1+V}$$





From which we get.

.

$$D_{4} = \frac{t_{0}V}{1+V} = \frac{L}{d\phi}$$

where L, DO Are shown on PAGE 9.

Thus The Proper TIME of EMISSION $t_i = t_i(t_0)$. So given t_i we find to. The TIME T_i is a computed TIME which has no significance The Either Emitter or receiver. While $\delta \phi$ is an observable QUANTITY IN The STRICTEST SCINCE. To find t_i we use a LORENTE TIME TRANSFORMATION,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{i} &= \sqrt{1-\sqrt{2}} \quad T_{i} &= \sqrt{1-\sqrt{2}} \quad \frac{\mathcal{T}_{0}}{1+\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1-\sqrt{2}}{1+\sqrt{2}}} \quad \mathcal{T}_{0} \\ \\ \mathcal{T}_{0} &= \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{1+\sqrt{2}}} \quad \mathcal{T}_{i} \\ \\ \frac{\mathcal{T}_{0}}{1-\sqrt{2}} \quad \mathcal{T}_{i} \\ \\ \frac{\mathcal{T}_{0}}{1-\sqrt{2}} \quad \mathcal{T}_{i} \\ \\ \mathcal{T}_{i} \\ \mathcal{T$$

Now, what About The red Shift. Well, The Doppler Effect of A receding source is,

$$\omega_{REC} = \sqrt{\frac{1-V}{1+V}} \omega_{EMIT}$$

W = Frequency of emitted of Received Light

SUPPOSE The EMITTER SHOTS OUT A LIGHT BEAM OF A GLUEN SPECTRAL L'INES, I.E., GLUEN FREQUENCY; WE ASK WHAT IS THE PROPER TIME between EACH Node · AS IT IS RADIATED TOWARD O(US). THAT AT IS GLUEN BY

$$\Delta \mathcal{X} = \sqrt{\frac{1+v}{1-v}} \Delta \mathcal{X}_{rec.}$$

The red shift is defined As on PAGE B, I.E.,

$$|+3 = \frac{\lambda_{\text{rec}}}{\lambda_{\text{emiff}}} = \sqrt{\frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu}}$$

WE SHALL hence for This speak of Z where Z = 1+3 SINCE IT IS STRICTLY AN ALDIMARY VALUE WHETHER WE Add I OR NOT TO Z.

$$\overline{Z} = \sqrt{\frac{1+V}{1-V}}$$

Therefore, in terms of frequency,

WE CAN NOW FIND DX.

$$Z^{2} = \frac{1+V}{1-V} \quad \text{And} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{Z^{2}} = \frac{2V}{1+V}$$

SINCE

$$D_{\xi} = \frac{2_0 V}{1+V} \qquad \text{The N}$$
$$D_{\xi} = \frac{2_0 (z^2 - 1)}{2 z^2}$$

LUMINOSITY

AT The proper AGE & The Nebula had A CEITAIN LUMINOSITY do. WHAT IS THE ANGULAR DISTANCE AS SEEN From The Nebula?

$$D'_{4} = \frac{t_{1}V}{1+V}$$

This is similar to the PREVIOUS EXPRESSION for Df, 1.E.,

$$D_{4} = \frac{z_{0}V}{1+V}$$

Thus we see the proper times are interchanged and the MINUS SIGN IN The DENOMINATOR of D'4 shows D'4 is BIGGER. These two DISTANCES CAN BE RELATED

$$D_{4}^{\prime} = \frac{T_{1}V}{1-V} = \frac{V_{10}}{1-V^{2}} = \frac{V_{10}^{\prime}}{1+V} \sqrt{\frac{1+V}{1-V}} = D_{4}Z$$

$$D_{4}^{\prime} = D_{4}Z$$

The result D4 = D4 Z is unusual for it turns out to be the same relationship in an accelerating universe where the lines dre curued. Now the intensity seen by receiver is given as

GUY SEES FADING AWAY

Therefore, The APPAGENT LUMINOSITY IS,

$$\int = \frac{L_0}{D_t^2} Z^4$$

This CAN be Tied BACK TO The TIME to by

$$D_{4} = \frac{T_{0}(z^{2}-1)}{z z^{2}}$$

$$l = \frac{4 L_{0}}{z^{2}(z^{2}-1)^{2}}$$

NEBULAR DISTRIBUTION

WE DISCUSSED THIS QUESTION LAST TIME AS SEEN ON PAGE 10 BUT WE APPROACHED IT WRONG. THE QUESTION IS NOT HOW MANY GALAXIES WE SEE BUT FEALLY HOW MANY THE LIGHT PHOTON PASSES When GOING From Proper TIME t, TO to. IT IS POSSIBLE TO MEASURE dISTANCE IN TERMS OF NOBULAE PAST NOT METER. THIS IS UISUALIZED If THE PHOTON PICKED UP A PECCE OF DIRT FROM EACH NEBULA IT WENT by AND THEN DUMPED ITS DAG OUT TWHEN IT GOT TO US AND SAID 'I WENT BY 40,000 NEBULAE; KNOWING A UNIT SPACING WE COULD DETEMINE DISTANCE.

WE ASSUME AGAIN THE NEBULAE WERE SPRAYED OUT EVENLY AND LOOK LIKE RAYS,

SUPPOSE THE SEPARATION BETWEEN A DJACENT NOBULAE IS DENOTED BY E(2), I.E., SPACING VARIES WITH TIME. SPECIFICALLY IT VARIES LINEARLY,

$$\epsilon(t) = \epsilon_x \frac{t}{a}$$

where Ex was the spacinic at the

Thus The Number of Nebulae PAST by LIGHT AS IT GOES From ti-To IS

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \frac{dt}{\epsilon(t)}$$

Therefore NO. PASSED = $\frac{q}{\epsilon_x} \ln \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau_1}\right) = \frac{q}{\epsilon_x} \frac{q}{\epsilon_x}$

And ψ is A measure of how far AWAY The light is from its source $\psi = \ln \left(\frac{t_0}{\tau_1}\right)$



WE'LL MENTION NOW THAT $\Psi = \Theta_0 - \Theta$, where $\Theta = \ln \left(\frac{2t}{a}\right)$. AS IT TURNS OUT IN THE LATTER NOTATION O IS A TIME IN A dISTOITED SCALE. This will, hopefully, be more clear in The Accelerated case where if Also = 00-0. As Above.

Now The ratio is similar of t's, i.e., to/the is simply our red Shift FACTOR Z SO The following result is OBTAINED,

$$\Psi = lnz$$

UPON SOLVING FOR Z WE GET

No

BY

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\omega & \text{if} & \overline{z}^{2} = \underline{1+v} & \text{Then} & V^{2} & \overline{z}^{2}-1 & \underline{1-\frac{1}{z_{1}}} \\ & 1-v & \overline{z}^{2}+1 & \underline{1+\frac{1}{z_{1}}} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &$$

AND SINCE

$$V = \frac{1 - z^{-2}}{1 + z^{2}} = \frac{1 - e^{-2\psi}}{1 + e^{-2\psi}}$$

WE MAKE THE ASTONISHING DISCOVERY THAT

$$V = T_{AN}h \psi$$

This result is startling because it is identical to The relativity result for two MOVING OBJECT POSSESSING VELOCITIES UEV. Their effective velocity V' is GIVEN by The relATIVISTIC EQUATION,

$$V' = \frac{U+V}{1+UV}$$

LeTTING V= TANHW AND U= TANH λ
V = TANHW + TANH λ
I + TANHW TAN λ

To understand This result WE MUST THINK of The UELOCITY AS The TIME RATE of CHANGE of The DISTANCE. THAT IS, for SIMPLE Three DIMENSIONAL SPACE WE KNOW dy/dx = TANO AND IS WE NOTATE Through & Then we have TAN(O+d).

The ANALOGY IS THEN THAT WE HAVE MADE A FOTATION IN FOUR DIMENSIONAL SPACE. WE THUS CORRELATE TANGENTS AND hyperbouc TANGENTS AS representing The TRANSPOSITION FROM A EUCLIDEAN SPACE TO A CURVED SPACE.

WE have Thus shown A COMPLICATED CONCEPT OF RELATIVITY WITHOUT MENTIONING The word AND WITHOUT THE MYSTERIOUS MATHEMATICS.

WE NOW RETURN TO THE QUESTION, "HOW MANY NEBULAE ARE THERE OUT TO \mathcal{L}_{i}^{2} " WE MUST BE CAREFUL NOT TO JUMP TO ANY HASTY CONCLUSION, I.E., AS WE PAST Ψ of THEM RADIALLY WE DO NOT COMPUTE THE UDIUME AS $4/3\pi\Psi^{3}$. IT WILL TURN OUT THE NUMBER OF NEBULAE IN $d\Psi$ IS $\sinh^{2}\Psi$.

The ANGULAR SEPARATION SO THAT WE SEE WHEN WE LOOK AT The NEBULAE IS PROPORTIONAL TO THEIR SEPARATION AND INVERSELY PROPORTIONAL TO THE DISTANCE AWAY IT IS FROM WS. THE FIGURE IS THE SAME AS ON PAGE 9. ONLY WE MUST BE CAREFUL TO KEEP OUR TIMES RIGHT; THE SEPARATION OF TWO NEBULAE IS E(t) BUT EVALUATED AT TIME to the Thus

$$\delta \phi = \frac{\epsilon(z_i)}{D_{x_i}}$$

Now The Number of Photons Bouncing in ON us Through The Solid Angle 411 is given as

$$\frac{4\pi}{(\delta \varphi)^{2}}$$

Now $e(t_i) \propto t_i$ And $D_{4} = \frac{t_0 V}{1+V}$

According to our definition of Z on page 13

50

$$\frac{D_{x}}{\epsilon(\tau_{1})} \propto \frac{D_{z}}{\tau_{1}} = \frac{T_{0}V}{\tau_{0}} = \frac{V}{1+V} \left(\frac{1+V}{1-V}\right)^{V_{2}} = \frac{V}{\sqrt{1-V^{2}}}$$

SINCE V= TANH U

$$\frac{D_{4}}{\tau_{1}} = \frac{TANh\Psi}{1-TANh^{2}\Psi} = \frac{SINh\Psi}{\cosh\Psi} \cos h\Psi = SINh\Psi$$

SINCE WE WANT $\left(\frac{D_{4}}{r_{1}}\right)^{2}$ WE GET SINH W AS A PROPORTIONATE FIGURE MEASURED WITH OUR NEBULAR MARD STICK.

CHAPTER 3

GRAVITATIONAL Effect

WE NOW ERASE EVERYTHING WE did before AND MAKE A NEW CALCULATION because we can not ignore gravity and so we must to it all Again. This time The recessional velocity of The Nebulae & is slowed DOWN by The gravitational Pull of The Matter 'Inside' The Sphere which takes in The Nebula in QUESTION. Thus Are Lines are curved NOW AND WE ASK what is The curve which describes The Motion?

AGAIN WE USE THE BASIC COSMOLOGICAL PREMISE THAT 'WHAT HAPPENS here happens There.' WITH THIS WE CAN PROJECT TO ALL NEBULAE THE LOCAL SPREADING FORMULAE WHICH WE OBTAIN BY OUR OBSERVATIONS. WE NEED E(2) THE SPACING AS A FUNCTION OF TIME; THIS TURNS OUT TO BE NON-LINEAR. ONCE WE HAVE E(t) WE CAN FIND SOMETHING OUT ABOUT $\Psi = \Psi(t_0, t_0)$.

AGAIN WE CONSTRUCT A SPHERICAL PICTURE AS WE did PREVIOUS (PAGEII). BY GENERAL RELATIVITY WE CAN IGNORE ALL THE MATTER OUTSIDE THE RIM AND CONCERN OURSELVES ONLY WITH THE RETARDING EFFECT DUE TO THE INSIDES. THE EQUATION FOR THE ACCELERATION IS AGAIN

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\tau) = -\frac{GM}{v^2}$$

where we then would integrate from to - ti

If M remains CONSTANT, we fudge The SOLUTION

$$f = r_x(\cosh \theta - 1) \qquad t = \alpha(\sinh \theta - \theta) \rightarrow \theta = \theta(t)$$

For reasons of future benefit we'll define A scale factor R(t) to be $R(t) = Q(\cosh \theta - 1)$

we see

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{r}{r} = \frac{r_x \operatorname{Ainh} \theta}{a (\cosh \theta - 1)}$$

Now IT TURNS OUT THE HUBBLE CONSTANT H IS defined to be \$1/1 SO

$$H = \frac{\dot{r}}{r} = \frac{\sin h \theta}{a (\cosh \theta - 1)^2} = H(t)$$

SO IT TURNS OUT THAT THE DELOCITY IS NOT PROPORTIONAL TO THE DISTANCE OUT THE NEBULA GETS.

BUT, CONTINUING ON WE WANT ", I.E., dr. IT TURNS OUT TO be

$$\dot{\tau} = -\frac{\tau_x}{\alpha^2(\cosh\theta - 1)^2} = -\frac{\tau_x^3}{\alpha^2\tau^2}$$

AND THIS THEN IS A SOLUTION TO THE EQUATION OF MOTION

It follows from This SOLUTION THEN, THAT

$$\frac{r_x^3}{\alpha^2} = GM = G\rho V = G\rho \frac{4}{3}\pi r(r)$$

OR

$$\frac{\Upsilon_{x}^{3}}{\alpha^{2}} = \frac{4}{3} \pi G \rho \Upsilon_{x}^{3} (\cosh \theta - 1)^{3}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^2} = \frac{4\pi}{3} G \left(\cosh \Theta - i \right)^3$$

The Problem is NOW THAT WE don'T KNOW THE density p. If we did WE COULD THE AbovE TO THE EXPRESSION JOST DEFINED for THE HUDDLE CONSTANT AND THEN GET A DO AND Q.

SO, WE GO OFF ON A DIFFERENT APPROACH AND LOOK AT THE CONSTANTS OF THE MOTION. FIRST WE MAKE A CONVENIENT YEPRESENTATION, I.E., FIND THE QUANTITY THE AND EVALUTE IT AT to

$$\frac{\ddot{r}r}{\dot{r}^2}\Big|_{o} = \frac{1}{1+\cosh\theta_{o}} = 8$$

This is A NICE dimensionless QUANTITY.

CONSIDERING NOW THE ENERGY AS A CONSTANT OF THE MOTION FOR A NEBULA AT TX WE HAVE

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 - \frac{GM}{r} = C = \frac{rx^2}{za^2}$$

where GM = constrant = $\frac{rx^3}{a^2}$

WE see ANOTher NICE rATIO. would be

$$\frac{GM}{(ZE)^{3/2}} = 0$$

WE STILL HAVEN'T HELPED OURSELVES THOUGH AND WE MUST STILL PLAY SOME MORE GAMES.

The POTENTIAL ENERGY - $\subseteq \stackrel{M}{r}$ CAN be expressed AS $\mathring{r}(t) r$. If we TAKE E AND FACTOR OUT A $\frac{1}{2} \dot{r}^2$ AND The MULTIPLY AND Divide by r^2 we END UP with

$$E = \frac{1}{2}r^{2}\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r}\right)^{2}\left[1 - \chi \frac{\ddot{r}}{r^{2}}\right]$$

STRANGELY ENOUGH IT TURNS OUT THIS EQUATION becomes

$$E^{2} = \frac{1}{2}r^{2}H^{2} \begin{bmatrix} I - 2g \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $H = \frac{r}{r}$ and $g = \frac{r}{r^{2}}$

Now WE KNOW H but NOT & because it is A function of p. But we CAN Speculate on what happens to the ENERGY for Different values of g. There are three CASES IN QUESTION:

2. If
$$q < \frac{1}{2}$$
, Then K.E > P.E
21 If $q = \frac{1}{2}$, Then K.E = P.E
211 If $q > \frac{1}{2}$, Then K.E < P.E.

CASE i CORRESPONDINGS TO THE CONSTANTLY EXPANDING UNIVERSE THEORY WHERE THE VELOCITIES CONTINUALLY ENCREASE AND THE UNIVERSE NEVER QUITES.

CASE is the CASE where The Pull of GRAVITY IS JUST FIGHT TO PULL THE NEGULAE UP AND IN THE LIMIT THEIR VELOCITY IS ZERO SO THE UNIVERSE QUITES EXPANDING BUT INFINITELY FAR OUT.

CASE iii The CASTESTROPHIC CASE WHERE GRAVITY PULLS EVERYTHING TOGETHER AGAIN AND WE HAVE A GLORIOUS ENDING. WHEN & TAKES ON THESE VALUES THE LINES GENERATED ARE CYCLOID WHICH CLOSE TOGETHER.

DIAGRAMATICALLY CASE ¿ ¿ ¿ LOOK LIKE The ERCLOWING:



f16.10



fis. 11

ONE of our objectives is to find to And to. So we'll GET CRAFTY AND ASK WHAT IF E(t) TOOK THE FORM,

$$e(t) = Ex(coshe-i)$$

Now The distance in Nebular Units is $\frac{dt}{E(t)}$ so to Get The Number of Nebulae passed by The light which we know is ψ^{9}/ϵ_{x} we must integrate

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_0} \frac{d\tau}{\epsilon(\tau)} = \psi_{ex}^{\underline{a}}$$

But dt= a (coshe-1) de

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}_{1}}^{\mathcal{T}_{0}} \frac{a(\cos h \theta - i)}{\epsilon_{x}(\cosh \theta - i)} d\theta = \frac{a}{\epsilon_{x}} (\theta - \theta)$$

STRANGELY ENOUGH, OUR EARLIER DEFINITION OF 4, 1.E., 4=0,0, 15 Proven OUT. ANOTHER WAY OF EXPRESSING OUR RESULTS IS

$$\Theta_{rec} = \Theta_{emit} + \Psi$$

 $\Theta_{o} = \Theta_{i} = distance$

WE SEE THEN O IS TIN A distorted SCALE.

If it TURNS OUT THAT

$$\Theta_{\text{rec}} - \Theta_{\text{emiff}} = \Psi = \text{CONSTANT}$$

Then Y WILL REMAIN THAT VALUE FOREVER. THIS MEANS THAT AS WE LOOK AT TWO NEBULAE AND OBSERVED THEIR SPACING Y WILL HAVE A CERTAIN VALUE, BUT SINCE THEY RECEED FADIALLY THE SEPARATION IS FIKED. THUS Y IS UNIQUE FOR ANY TWO NEBULAE BUT UNCHANGED FROM THEN ON.

We would also LIKE AN EXPRESSION for tree VS temist. SINCE, $t_{emist} = t_i = a (anh \theta_i - \theta_i) = a [anh(\theta_0 - \psi) - (\theta_0 - \psi)]$

ANd

THEN IF WE KNOW BO WE GET to AND to

RETURNING TO THE RED SHIFT NOW WE WANT TO THE THE FOLLOWING relATION Ships TOGETHER,

$$\Delta t_{1} \propto \Delta T_{0}$$
$$\Delta \Theta_{1} \propto \Delta \Theta_{0}$$

SINCE

$$\Delta t_{i} = \alpha (\cos h\theta_{i} - i) d\theta_{i}$$

$$\Delta t_{0} = \alpha (\cosh \theta_{0} - i) d\theta_{0}$$

And

$$\frac{AT_0}{AT_1} = \frac{a(\cosh \theta_0 - 1)}{a(\cosh \theta_1 - 1)} = \frac{R(\tau_0)}{R(\tau_1)}$$

21.

The rATIO $\frac{R(t_0)}{R(t_1)}$ is a rATIO between our scale factor which we defined earlier and This in fact is The red shift Z or The rATIO of <u>Wemilt</u>. So we have now <u>Wrec</u>

$$Z = \frac{\omega_{emin}}{\omega_{rec}} = \frac{R(t_0)}{R(t_1)} = \frac{AT_0}{At_1}$$

AND AT LAST WE CONNECT Z WITH THE DISTANCE

This result is interesting in That IT. SAYS That in The Past The Nebulat were closer together and Nowe They are further APART IN EXACTLY The SAME RATIO AS The WAUELENGTH EMITTED IS TO THE WAUELENGTH RECEIVED. This IS TRUEPE INTERESTING.

SINCE The CONCEPT OF The red Shift IS SO IMPORTANT LET'S GO BACK OVER IT. This TIME WE Observe The PHOTON IS EMITTED WITH A CERTAIN FREQUENCY OF EMISSION We. This frequency Experiences A LITTLE red Shift AS IT ARRIVES AT THE FIRST NEBULA ALONG ITS WAY TO US. THEN Wez IS PASTED ON TO NEBULAC 3, EXPERIENCES ANOTHER AED SHIFT, AND GOES ON ETC. SO THE PHOTON EXPERIENCES INFINITELY MANY red Shifts by The TIME WE SEE IT; THEY ALL Add UP TO THE RED Shift WE SEE. SINCE THE NEBULAE ARE SO CLOSE WE CAN INTEGRATE BECAUSE THERE IS NO TELATIVISTIC VELOCITIES INVOLVED.

So WE NOW SEE THE PHOTON PAST ALONG ITS PATH which is curved IN This CASE. We visualize IT AS The following:

At some time the the frequency is ω_n ; when ω_N Going to t_{n+1} $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega_{n+1}$. We have the relationship between these two frequencies As

 $\omega_{n+1} = \omega_n(1-\gamma)$

Where V is The difference in velocities from GOING TO WHILLE, RELATIVE VELOCITY. = E(2) We CAN DIFFERENTIATE THIS TO GET,

$$d\omega = -V\omega dn$$

Now WE WANT TO KNOW WHAT V IS FOR ONE SPACING. Well, WE DO KNOW

fig. 12

$$V = \epsilon(t)$$

TheN

$$\frac{d\omega}{\omega} = \frac{\dot{e} dt}{dE(t)} = \frac{de}{E}$$
This follows from our EARLIER result, $dn = \frac{dt}{E(t)}$

SOLVING THIS EQUATION WE GET

In w= ln e

OR

$$\frac{\omega_{\text{emin}}}{\omega_{\text{rec}}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{emin}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{rec}}}$$

And AGAIN The SPACING AT EMISSION AND RECEPTION IS PRESERVED IN THE SAME RATIO WE SEE THE WAVELENGTH Shift.

HOW TO DETERMINE THE APPARENT DISTANCE D&

TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION WE SEEK TO FIND THE ANGLE WHICH WE SEE THE BETWEEN TWO DISTANT OBJECT. THIS TIME, HOWEVER, WE MUST CONSIDER THE LIGHT IS BENT TO GETHER BECAUSE OF THE GRAVITATIONAL PULL OF THE MATTER BETWEEN THE PATHS.

FOR THE CASE OF AN CEPANDING UNIVERSE, I.E., 872 THE LIGHT WILL COLLAPSING be bent back so we first ASK how MANY NEDULAE THERE ARE OUT TO OUR OBJECT IN UNITS OF Y. AS MENTIONED BEFORE IF WE KNOW THE ANGULAR SEPARATION OF TWO ADJACENT NEBULAE THE AØ IS UNCHANGED WITH Z BECAUSE THE FLY OUT RADIALLY AS WE WATCH THEM. This MOTION PRESERVES THERE ORIGNAL SPACING.

WE ORIGINALLY DEFINED THE PROPER DISTANCE IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER.

$$D_4 = \frac{L}{S\phi}$$

This relationship is replaced with

$$D_{4} = \frac{\epsilon(t_{1})}{\Delta \phi}$$

Thus $\Delta \phi = \Delta \phi(\psi)$ which is constant for each ψ and ψ depends which Nebulae YOU PICK, NOT ON TIME. ONE way to GET AN ESTIMATE ON $\Delta \phi$ is then to consider uniform Expansion And TAKE OUT EXPRESSIONS for r and \mathcal{L}_{1} .E.

If we LET THE EXPONENTIAL TERMS DOMINATE WE CAN APPROXIMATE AO

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{\epsilon(t_i)}{D_4} = \sinh \psi$$

WE CONCLUDE THEN THE APPARENT DISTANCE OF THE OBJECT D& IS

$$D_{4} = a(\cosh \theta_{1} - 1) \sinh \Psi$$

We CAN THE THIS result TO The red shift by our resulf ON PAGE 21, LE.

$$Z = \frac{R(t_0)}{R(t_1)} = \frac{\cosh \theta_0 - 1}{\cosh \theta_1 - 1}$$

RETURNING TO THE LUMINOSITY ArGUMENT AGAIN WE KNOW THAT

$$D_4 = R(t_i) \sinh(\theta_0 - \theta_i)$$

because we just proved it since R(t) = q(coshoi-1) And y= 00-01.

The ORIGNAL INTENSITY to THAT THE NEBULA SENT OUT ITS LIGHT SPREADS OUT THROUGH SPACE IN ALL DIRECTION. AS THE EMITTER SEENS THE LIGHT FADE AWAY AS THE DISTANCE GROWS, THE APPARENT PISTANCE WHICH WE ARE AT AS HE SEES US IS D'F. THIS DISTANCE WE EARLIER FOUND TO BE.

$$D'_{x} = \frac{R(t_0)}{R(t_0)} D_{x} = Z D_{x}$$

SINCE THE V IS THE SAME OUR SINK & Argument is The SAME AND E(ti) -> E(to), WE CALCULATE THE APPARENT LUMINOSITY & 15

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= \frac{\chi_0}{\left(D_{4}^{\prime} \right)^2} \quad \frac{1}{Z} \quad \frac{1}{Z} \\
\end{array}$$

This is the SAME RESULT ON PAGE 15.

ANd

$$l = \frac{k_0}{D_t z^2}$$

To clear up some of The confusion which has arisen over The particular form of The Nebular spacing, i.e.,

$$\in (t) = \epsilon_x \frac{t}{a}$$

where Ex is some STANDARD NEBULAR SPACING AT TIME Q. The reason why we chodie The Q follows from our Discussion ON PAGE 21. There we saw That Y CONVENIENTLY EXPRESSED The difference between DEMITT AND Drec.

Since we have That $dn = \frac{Q}{Ex} \psi$ and ψ is independent of the infinitesimal spacing E(t). Further we aroued that $dn = \frac{dt}{E(t)}$ Combining These factor we have

$$\frac{dr}{\epsilon(r)} = \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon_{x}} \psi$$

04

SINCE.

WE HAVE

$$\frac{dt}{d\psi} = \frac{q}{\epsilon_x} \epsilon(t)$$

But ALSO ON PAGE 21 WE HAD $E(2) = E_x (cosh - 1)$ Then,

 $\frac{dt}{d\psi} = \alpha (\cosh \theta - 1)$ $\frac{d\tau}{d\psi} = \alpha (\sinh \theta - \theta) = 3 d\tau = \alpha (\cosh \theta - 1) d\theta$ $\frac{d\theta}{d\psi} = 1 = 3 \psi = \theta_{\text{emill}} - \theta_{\text{rec}}$

We could have chosen to solve $\tilde{r}(\tau) = -\frac{GM}{r^2}$ different if we had taken,

$$\Upsilon = \Upsilon \times (1 - \cos \theta)$$

$$\chi = \alpha (\theta - \rho \sin \theta)$$

CONTINUING A SIMILAT DEVELOPMENT AS STATTED ON PAGE 18 WE have

$$dr = \tau_{x} \Delta \dot{m} \Theta \qquad d\tau = \alpha (1 - \cos \theta)$$

$$\dot{r} = \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{t_{x} \Delta \dot{m} \Theta}{\alpha (1 - \cos \theta)}$$

$$H = \frac{\dot{r}}{r} = \frac{T_{x} \Delta \dot{m} \Theta}{\alpha (1 - \cos \theta)^{2}} = H(t)$$

$$\ddot{r} = \frac{d\dot{r}}{d\tau} = \frac{d\dot{r}}{d\theta} \cdot \frac{d\Theta}{d\tau}$$

$$\frac{d\dot{r}}{d\theta} = \frac{T_{x}}{\alpha} \left[\frac{(1 - \cos \theta)/\cos \theta - \Delta \dot{m} \Theta (\Delta \dot{m} \Theta)}{(1 - \cos \theta)^{2}} \right] = \frac{T_{x}}{\alpha} \frac{(\cos \theta - 1)}{(1 - \cos \theta)^{2}}$$

$$\ddot{r} = \frac{d\dot{r}}{d\tau} = \frac{-T_{x}}{\alpha^{2} (1 - \cos \theta)^{2}} = -\frac{T_{x}^{3}}{\alpha^{2} \tau^{2}}$$

WHAT WE WOULD REALLY LIKE OUT OF THIS CASE IS THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE RED SHIFT Z TO THE OO. AGAIN ANALOGOUS TO THE ARGUMENT ON PAGE 21.

$$Z = \frac{4\%}{4\tau_1} = \frac{\alpha(1 - \cos \theta_0)}{\alpha(1 - \cos \theta_1)} = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_0}{1 - \cos \theta_0}$$

where $\theta_1 = \theta_0 - \psi$
The NEXT LOGICAL QUESTION TO ASK About The red SHIFT 15,"How does It CHANGE WITH TIME?" TO STAIT THIS TOPIC WE WRITE DOWN,

$$Z(\Theta_0) = \frac{\omega_{emin}}{\omega_{rec}} = \frac{\varepsilon(t_0)}{\varepsilon(t_0)} = \frac{\cosh \Theta_0 - 1}{\cosh \Theta_0 - 1}$$

where we must put in 0,=00-4 since we are concerned about The Present Observable red shift for Ar GIVEN W, N.E., TWO AdJACENT NEBULAE MATChed.

$$Z_{\gamma}(\Theta_{o}) = \frac{\cosh \Theta_{o} - 1}{\cosh (\Theta_{o} - \psi) - 1}$$

SO WHAT WE WANT IS

which CAN be obtained by

$$\frac{dz_{0}}{dz_{0}} = \frac{dz_{0}}{d\theta_{0}} \frac{d\theta_{0}}{dz_{0}} = \frac{1}{\alpha \left((\cosh \theta_{0} - 1)^{2} \right)^{2}} \frac{dz}{d\theta_{0}}$$

$$\frac{dz(\theta_{0})}{d\theta_{0}} = \frac{\left[\cosh(\theta_{0} - \psi) - 1 \right] \sin \theta_{0} - \left[\cosh \theta_{0} - 1 \right] \sin \theta_{0} - \left[\cosh \theta_{0} - 1 \right] \sin \theta_{0} - \psi}{\left[\cosh \theta_{0} - \psi \right]^{2}}$$

Then

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{1}{a\left[\cosh\left(\theta_{0} \psi\right) - 1\right]} \left[\operatorname{Coth}\left(\frac{\theta_{0}}{2}\right) - \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\theta_{0} - \psi}{2}\right) \right]$$

From This result WE CAN determine The red shift ALWAYS has A NEGATIVE derivative And RAPIDLY CLANGING AT THE BEGINNING BUT SLOWS DOWN AND COASTS TO O AT 00 This result EXPLAINS Why The LINES ON The Left figure (PAGE ZO) Are curved INITIALLY but OTh g become ASYMPTOTICALLY STRAIGHT AFTER A LONG

A SIMILAR ArGUMENT CAN be developed $\overline{Z} = \frac{1 - \cos \Theta_0}{1 - \cos (\Theta_0 - \Psi)}$ for

TIME. THIS IMPLIES A CONSTANTLY EXPANDING UNIVERSE

This corresponds to OUT COLLAPSING UNIVERSE AS ShowN by The other figure ON PAGE 20.





The EXACT form of The MATE of CHANGE of The redshift MIGHT be A LITTLE PUZZLING AS TO THE MATHEMATICS SPECIFICALLY INVOLVED. SO WE WILL ATTEMPT TO CLARIFY IT HERE,

As STATED,

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{1}{a(\cosh\theta o - 1)} \quad \frac{dz}{d\theta_o}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta_o} \left[\frac{\cosh\theta o - 1}{\cosh\theta o - \psi - 1} \right] = \frac{\sinh\theta o}{\cosh(\theta o - \psi) - 1} - \frac{\sinh(\theta o - \psi)(\cosh\theta o - 1)}{[\cosh(\theta o - \psi) - 1]^2}$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{1}{a(\cosh\theta o - 1)} \left[\frac{\sinh\theta o}{\cosh(\theta o - \psi) - 1} - \frac{\sinh(\theta o - \psi)(\cosh\theta o - 1)}{[\cosh(\theta o - \psi) - 1]^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{a[\cosh(\theta o - \psi) - 1]} \left\{ \frac{\sinh\theta o}{\cosh\theta o - 1} - \frac{\sinh(\theta o - \psi)(\cosh\theta o - 1)}{[\cosh(\theta o - \psi) - 1]^2} \right\}$$

So THAT
$$\underline{SINH0}_{cosh0-1} = \frac{Z \rho inh^2 cosh^2}{Z s inh^2 \frac{Q}{2}} = CoTh \frac{Q}{2}$$

LIKEWISE

$$\frac{SINh(\Theta \circ - \Psi)}{\cosh(\Theta \circ - \Psi) - I} = \operatorname{coth} \frac{\Theta \circ - \Psi}{2}$$

FINALLY

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{1}{a \left[\cosh(\theta_0 - \psi) - 1 \right]} \left[\operatorname{coth} \frac{\Theta_0}{2} - \operatorname{coth} \frac{\Theta_0 - \psi}{2} \right]$$

formally expanding into exponentials:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{1}{a\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-\psi} + e^{-\psi}\right) - 1\right]} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{-\psi}}{2} & -\frac{e^{-\psi}}{2} \\ \frac{e^{-\psi}}{2} & -\frac{e^{-\psi}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

When Oo=O => Y=O

$$\frac{dz}{dz}\Big|_{\theta_0=0} = \frac{1}{a[\frac{1}{2}(2)-1]}\left[\frac{2}{0}-\frac{2}{0}\right] = 00$$

 $\Theta_0 = \infty$ $\Psi = CONSTANT$

$$\frac{dz}{dz} = \frac{1}{\alpha(00+0)} \begin{bmatrix} 1-1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{because } \\ \text{goes to in} \\ \text{Then The} \end{bmatrix}$$

because The denominator goes to infinity faster Than The difference Goes TO O.

The NO. of NEBULAE with A red shift below THAT CORRESPONDING TO A CERTAIN UN IS SIMPLY,

Jo SINh ψ dψ

For The COLLAPSING UNIVERSE THE NO. of Nebulae below the is

Jo SIN 24 du

SINCE Ψ is a function of Θ o itself we conclude that There are MANY NEOULAE which we don't ste, i.e., As TIME GOES ON WE SEE MORE AND MORE NEOULAE. This corresponds to the first integral. We might think of it this way - light must lift itself up and out to GET AWAY from its source. As we trace Back along the ray we CAN GO SO FAR THEN LOSE IT beCAUSE THE DENSELY PACKED MATTER Deflects the beam. As TIME GOES ON AND EVERYTHING SPREADS OUT, The density decrease, light is Able to ESCAPE SO WE see MORE AND MORE NEOULAE. IF we wait infinitely LONG THEN, we Will see All of Them.

WITH THE LATTER CASE About The results Are more frightening because There is a finite time when we see all the Nebulae AND THAT'S when There have collapsed together and Then we don't care THAT WE SEE Them All.

WE would NOW LIKE TO TALK About The relativistic determination of LIGHT BEING BENT by The SUN, I.E., IT is deflected TWICE AS MUCH AS WHAT NEWTONIAN MECHANICS PREDICTS. IT TURNS OUT LIGHT IS BENT TWICE AS MUCH. WE MUST DETERMINE HOW FAR APART TWO ADJACENT NEBULAE ARE FOR A GIVEN W AND THEN FOLLOW THE LIGHT BACK TO US POINT BY POINT AS IT IS BENT BY THE STUFF BETWEEN THE RAYS.

The APPARENT ANGULAR SEPARATION AQ IS

$$\Delta q = \frac{L}{Dz}$$

L = SEPARATION AT The proper TIME Dx= sinh & E(ti) As found ond PAGE 23 40 bent beam CENTRAL BEAM, UN DENT CENTRAL BEAM, UN DENT C, TIME

fig. 15

$$\Delta \varphi = \frac{Y}{\sin \psi} a [\cosh(\Theta_0 - \psi) - 1]$$

This differential can be solved and consequently we can deduce back to the initial points.

Now WE KNOW THE LIGHT ENERGY E(2) BECAUSE WE KNOW ITS FREQUENCY (Cf. P. 26). Thus The ANGULAR SEPARATION IS -

$$L = \frac{P}{E}$$

where P= TrANS VERSE MOMENTUM

For short distances the slopes are small so we calculated The ordinary rate of change of the height with time to find,

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = -\frac{P_Y}{E}$$

And it should follow

 $\frac{dP_{Y}}{d\tau} = \frac{Gp(\tau)}{2} \forall E(\tau)$

where The force on The beam is DUE TO The CYLINDER OF MATTER CONTAINED BETWEEN THE LINE SEGMENTS ON THE PREVIOUS DIAGRAM. If we TAKE The CYLINDER TO HAVE RADIUS Y AND DENSITY PAND IGNORE MATTER OUTSIDE WE HAVE BY GAUSS' Theorem

WITH THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS THAT Y=L AT T=O AND Y=O At T=T, we should be Able to solve The DIFF. EQ'S but we find, IN DOING SU, we are off by Afactor of Z. We MUST HAVE

$$\frac{dPy}{dr} = \frac{Gp(r) Y E(r) \cdot Z}{2}$$

where $p(t) \propto \epsilon(t)^3$

This result follows from The rEALIZATION THAT THE ENERGY AND MOMENTUM COMBINE TO GIVE A FACTOR OF ZWICE THE NEWTONIAN ENERGY. IN THIS PROOF WE ASSUMED WHAT WE WANTED TO PROVE DUT DID SOME HAND WAVING DECAUSE THERE IS NO SIMPLE WAY TO GET THAT 2 IN THERE.

HOYLE'S Theory

Horie Proposed A. STEAdy-STATE model by Assume our variable $g = -\frac{\ddot{r}r}{\dot{r}^2} = -1$ in which the motion of MATTER IS KINEMATICALLY DETERMINED. EVERY THING LOOKS THE SAME TO HIM AT ALL TIMES because As the UNIVERSE EXPANDS MATTER IS CREATED, NEBULAE EVOLVED, AND THE UNIFORMITY PRESERVED, THIS THEORY ASSUMES THE r is EXPONENTIAL WITH TIME SO THERE IS TOOM FOR THE NEW MATTER TO be Dropped IN. THE LUMINOSITY MUST REMAIN UNCHANCED SINCE THE OLDER NEBULAE ATE NOT BRIGHTER.

The Trouble with This Theory IS THAT IT IS TOO Precise And, Therefore, NOT credible. IT destroys The CONSErVATION of thereby for The SAKE of EXPLAINING COSMOLOGY. IT requires, further, MATTER Exceed The SPEED of LIGHT. BY SAYING THE CREATION IS SO SLOW IT IS Never Observed The Atgument stem ridiculous.

other Theories

When EINSTEIN FIRST discussed COSMOLOGY, he INTroduced A UNIFORM NEGATIVE PRESSURE which had NO CAUSE. This he did because he had no red shift and The Nebulat were MOTIONLESS SO TO PREVENT THE UNIVERSE FROM COLLAPSING HE Added A 'COSMOLOGICAL TERM' TO THE EQUATION OF MOTION AS A NEGATIVE PRESSURE which PUSHES EVERYTHING OUT THUS SAVING THE DAY.

The Hubble found The recessional Relationships of Velocity And Red Shift And EINSTEIN WAS happy to see this term Go. Some STILL CLING TO IT Though and deduce by it That The UNIVERSE IS OSCILLATORY. THAT IS, The Pressure forces The UNIVERSE OUT AFTER IT COLLAPSES.

In physical cosmology, the cosmological constant (usually denoted by the Greek capital letter lambda: Λ) is equivalent to an energy density in otherwise empty space. It was originally proposed by Albert Einstein as a modification of his original theory of general relativity to achieve a stationary universe. Einstein abandoned the concept after the observation of the Hubble redshift indicated that the universe might not be stationary, as he had based his theory on the idea that the universe is unchanging.[1] However, a number of observations including the discovery of cosmic acceleration in 1998 have revived the cosmological constant, and the current standard model of cosmology includes this term



In physical cosmology and astronomy, dark energy is a hypothetical form of energy that permeates all of space and tends to accelerate the expansion of the universe.[1] Dark energy is the most accepted hypothesis to explain observations since the 1990s that indicate that the universe is expanding at an accelerating rate. In the standard model of cosmology, dark energy currently accounts for 73% of the total mass–energy of the universe.[2]

Two proposed forms for dark energy are the cosmological constant, a constant energy density filling space homogeneously,[3] and scalar fields such as quintessence or moduli, dynamic quantities whose energy density can vary in time and space. Contributions from scalar fields that are constant in space are usually also included in the cosmological constant. The cosmological constant is physically equivalent to vacuum energy. Scalar fields which do change in space can be difficult to distinguish from a cosmological constant because the change may be extremely slow.

CHAPTER 3

The GENERAL THEORY OF RELATIVITY AND HOW IT AFFECTS ASTROMONY

IN ACTUALITY THE EFFECTS OF RELATIVITY UPON ASTRONOMY ALE REALLY SMALL DESPITE WHAT SOME PEOPLE BELIEVE. BUT WHAT IS THERE IS KERY IMPORTANT IN CERTAIN COSMOLOGICAL DISCUSSIONIS AND THE INTERPERTATION OF GRAVITY

The PATH TO YELATUITY STARTED, OF COURSE, WITH NEWTON AND HIS LAWS YELATING FORCES AND ACCELERATIONS BY MASSES; THEN THE NEXT IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTION WAS THE EXPLANATION OF ELECTRICITY VIA MAXWELL; THE MICHELSOW MORLEY EXPERIMENT OF THE SPEED OF LIGHT; AND FINALLY THE YELATIVISTIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ACCELERATED ADD REST MASSES. This brief A historical OUTLINE.

IT PAUED THE WAY FOR AN ALTERATION OF NEWTON'S GRAVITY LAW IN SUCH A WAY THAT MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS REMAIN INVARIAT, I.E. THE NEW GRAVITY LAW WILL BE RELATINISTICALLY INVARIANT. THE PROBLEM CAN BE SOLUED LABORIOUSLY NON-RELAVISTICALLY BUT EINSTEIN DID IT SO ELOQUENTLY THAT DEOPLE DID NOT SEE THE OTHER GOLUTION FOR A LONG TIME.

When LOOKING BACK ON PAST Physical Discovernes we often AS ' while why DIDN'T he THINK OF THAT?' BUT WITH EINSTEIN THE PROPER QUESTION IS' HOW THE HELL did he THINK OF IT SO FAST?" THIS GREAT ATGUMENT IS SO PERFECT IT HAS NEVER BEEN USED' TO SOLVED OTHER PHYSICAL PROBLEMS. This is PERHAPS due TO THE GEOMETRICAL INTERPERTATION OF GRAVITY WHICH NON ONE CAN UNFAULL INTO NON-GEOMETRICAL ATGUMENTS.

TO SMATT THE THEORY SET FORTH BY EINSTEIN BY DISCUSSING FIELDS. THE FIELD ENERGY DUE TO TWO SOURCES SI AND SZ IS GIVEN BY

$$E = \frac{S_1 S_2}{\Gamma_{12}}$$

Where riz is The SepArATION dISTANCE between Si AND SZ Now field ARE CLASSIFIED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

> SCALAR, Q VECTOR, Au TENSOR, Tuy higher order Tensors

The vector field Au we have A good KNOWLEDGE; They Are Things LINE THE VECTOR POTENTIAL IN ELECTIOMAGNETIC FIELD THEORY. ALL The other fields have been Theorized but we know only the one for certain. I

The SCALAR FORLY has NO EXAMPLE AS MENTIONED AND WOULD NECESSITATE A CAUSE WITHOUT AN EFFECT BECAUSE THE ULLOCITY OF MOTION WOULD be EQUAL TO THE SPEED OF LIGHT. The TENSORS ARE ALSO THEORETICAL CONCEPTS which have A possible INTERPERTATION WHICH WE WILL GO INTO. FIRST, however, we CAN EXPRESS THE RELATIONSTIC NATURE OF THESE FIELDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

> SCALAR $S_1 = S_0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{V^2}{C^2}}$ VECTOR $S_1 = S_0 \cdot 1$ TENSOR $S_1 = \frac{S_0}{1 - \frac{V^2}{C^2}}$

FROM These EQUATIONS which we assume to be true but can be derive The Vector field displays the Already known fact that like charges repel. By This Property ALDNE we Throw out This field as describing Gravity otherwise EVERYThing would be stuck together.

WHILE WE CAN ArGUE THAT A GAS IN HIGH MOTION MOTION HAS AN WEIGHT PROPORTIONAL TO ITS ENERGY CONTENT WHICH IS A MEASURE OF THE PACKING FRACTION WE CONCLUDE THE FIELD WOULD CAUSE THE SYSTEM TO WEIGH MORE SINCE E=MCL ETC. BY OBSERVING THE SCALAR FIELD WE SEE THE FIELD WILL GET SMALLER WITH INCREASED VEIGLITY. IT MUST BE THROWN OUT AS A POSSIBLE REPRESENTATION OF A GRAVITATIONAL FIELD.

WE Are confronted with the first tensor Field Tuy which Gets hequier in JUSTThe right MANNER AS prescribed by The Above EQUATION. Thus we have Argued that the field source and energy content of the system ARE EQUAL AND LEAD TO A GRAVITATIONAL field of The desired NATURE.

Where IN E-M Theory the charge is conserved and which leads to The INVARIANCE OF THE VECTOR POTENTIAL, I.E.

 $Am = Am + \nabla$

Where V is some Gradient we had And Preserve conservation We expect AN ANALOGOUS CONSERVATION OF SOURCE OF ENERGY TO be represented something like

Thus The ENERGY IS A TENSOR.

But This is NO TEAL Surprise because The ENERGY density is A TENSOR of the 2^{md} rate and The field source can be related to the Stress Tensor. WE NOW COME TO AN INTERESTING QUESTION THAT BEING THE relATIONSHIP between The GrauiTATIONAL FIELD AND THE ENERGY CONTAINED IN THE FIELD.

IMAGINE A box with A DUMPBELL SUPPORTEd BY A MASSLESS rod which is then pulled APATT, I.E., ENERGY is put into the system so if you let go the BALLS would ZAP TDGETHER GIVING off heat.



Before The STRETCH IF YOU WEIGH THE BOX YOU GET THE WEIGHT OF THE BALLS AND THE WEIGHT' OF THE GRAVITATION AL FIELD DUE TO THE ATTRACTION OF THE TWO BALLS UPON ONE ANOTHER. NOW WHEN THEY ARE STRETCHED APART ENERGY IS PUT INTO THE SYSTEM AND THE SYSTEM Should weigh MORE. THE ENERGY PUT IN MUST DO WORK ON THE GRAVITATIONAL FIELD SO IT IS FAIR TO ASK WHAT IS GRAVITY? - ENERGY OF MATTER - THE ANSWER TO THIS R IS NOT IMMEDIATE SO WE'LL HAVE TO GO ONG WE MIGHT SUM OP THIS SECTION BY SAYING GRAVITY PRODUCES ITS OWN EFFECT.

EINSTEINS VIEWS

IN GENERALIZING NEWTON'S THEORY OF GRAVITY WE MUST ASSUME THE EFFECT ARTS INSTANTANEOUSLY WITH ITS CAUSE. BUT THE QUESTION IMMEDIATELY ARISES THAT THIS MEANS GRAVITY EXCEEDS THE SPEED OF LIGHT. BUT THIS CANNOT be so WE MUST ALTER THE GRAVITATIONAL FIELD THEORY TO TAKE ON A SIMILAR FORM AS TRAVELING ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES WHICH PROPAGATE THROUGH SPACE AT THE VELOCITY C.

The VELOCITY OF LIGHT C IS A VERY CRITICAL FUNDAMENTAL CONSTANT TELATING SPACE AND TIME. IT GIVES HOW MANY INCHES PASS BY PER TIME INTERVAL. NO MATTER WHAT SYSTEM OF UNITS YOU'RE IN THE INVARIANCE IS PRESERVED. WAVE PROPAGATION IS ONLY A CONSEQUENCE OF THIS INVARIANCE AND THUS SAYS THAT WE WON'T FIND ANOTHER C. IF LIGHT OF GRAVITY TRAVELED LESS THAN C, THEY WOULD LOPSE THEIR INVARIANCE AND IF YOU SHOKE A SYSTEM AND OBSERVED IT STANDING STILL AND THEN FLYING BY, YOU WOULD GET TWO RESULTS. THIS CAN'T bE.

The QUESTION IMMEDIATELY ARISES, "WHAT GOES AT The SPEED of LIGHT IN THE CASE OF GRAVITY?" HOW DO THE FORCES DEPEND ON THE VELOCITIES? IN ELECTRIC FIELD THEORY WE KNOW THE FORCE ON A CHARGE MOVING WITH A VELOCITY IN AN ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC FIELD IS GIVEN by,

 $\overline{F} = \overline{E}(x) + \overline{V} x \overline{B}(x)$

Where we must know The ULLOCITY SO WE CAN FIND ONE COMPANENT AS A LINEAR SUM OF THE VELOCITY COMPONENTS, I.E.,

NOW THE GRAVITATIONAL ANALOGUE INVOLVES THE USUAL NEWTONIAN GRAVITY FIELD PLUS SOME LINEAR TERMS IN THE VELOCITY PLUS, THEN, SOME QUADRATIC TERM. THIS TAKES THE FORM,

Where All Toll There would be NINE TERMS AS A CONSEQUENCE of GRAVITY DEING A TENSOR.

WHAT EINSTEIN did Then was to SET OUT TO FIND THE LAWS OF MOTION AND THE LAWS DETERMINING THE COEFFICIENTS.

WELL, HOW DID he DO IT? IN-STEAD OF HIT OF MISS GUESS WORK TYPE APPROACH he SET OUT TO ESTABLISH SOME PRINCIPLES WHICH WOULD SETTLE AS GUIDE POSTS. HE ASSUMED, FIRST, TELATIVISTIC INVARIANCE AND, SECONDLY, THE LAW MUST ALWAYS BE TRUE.

HE BEGAN WITH THE THREE WAYS IN WHICH NEWTON DEFINED MASS,

- 1. MASS. IS MEASURED by INERTIA, I.E., RESISTANCE TO MOTION
- 2. MASS IS THE REACTION TO A GRAVITATION AL FIELD, I.E., IT IS WEIGHT
- 3. How IT Produces A GRAVITATIONAL FIELD, I.E., The TechNIQUE OF MEASURE INTERACTING FIELD AND HOW MEASURE EATTH MASS WITH AID OF MOON.

To NEWTON These SAID The SAME THING BUT EINSTEIN QUESTIONED THEM AND ASKED IF IT IS TRIVE FOR ALL TIMES. HE ASSUMED THAT TWO AND THREE WERE EQUIVALENT SINCE THE CAUSAL RELATIONSHIP DETWEEN ACTION AND REACTION FOLLOW FROM THEM. HE THEN ASSUMED ONE WAS THE SAME AS THE OTHERS AND INFINITELY ACCURATE UNDER EVERY CASE. THOS THE PREVIOUS BOX ATOUR-MENT IN WHICH MORE ENERGY IMPLIED MORE IN ERTIPA IMPLIED MORE WEIGHT WAS A VALID ARGUEMENT. All HE REQUIRED WAS LORENTZ INVARIANCE.

EINSTEIN PROCEEDED TO CHANGE HIS ALGUMENTATION by CONSIDERING NON-UNIFORM MOTION. WHAT HE did WAS TO IMITATE GRAVITY by INERTIA. WHAT THIS LEADS TO IS HIS FAMOUS PRINCIPLE OF EQUIVALENCE OF GRAVITATION AND INERTIA. THIS STATES THAT THERE IS NO WAY TO DISTINGUISH THE MOTION PRODUCED BY INERTIAL FORCES (ACCERTION, recoil, CENTRIFUGAL FORCES, ETC.) FROM MOTION PRODUCED by GRAVITATIONAL Forces. HIS ATGUMENT CENTERED AROUND AN ACCELERATING ELEVATOR IN Which A boy STANDS HOLDING A BALL ON THE END OF ASPRING. The FORCE ON HIS LEGS IS PROPORTIONAL TO HIS MASS IF HIS LEGS DON'T GIVE IN. THE BALL ON THE SPRING GOES DOWN AS AN UPWARD FORCE IN THE SPRING ELONGATES. THUS IT IS LIKE THE BALL IS 'PULLED' DOWN WHEN INFACT THE SYSTEM IS ACCELER ATING. IN THIS WAY GRAVITY AND ACCELERATION ARE SHOWN EQUILANT EQUIVALENT. THAT IS TO SAY, MOTION BOTH UNIFORM AND NONUNIFORM CAN ONLY BE JUDGED & WITH RESPECT TO SOME SYSTEM OF REFERENCE. - ADSOLUTE MOTION DOES NOT EXIST.



ANOTHER WAY TO LOOK AT THE SAME THING IS TO PICTURE A SPACESHIP About THE EARTH IN WHICH THE OCCUPANTS DON'T LOOK OUT TO SEE ALL THE OBJECTS GO BY. If THERE WAS A DALL OF WATER INR THE SHIP IT WONLDN'T BE A DALL BUT RATHER AN ELLIPSOID. THIS Would be A result of The TIDES DUE TO THE EARTH. THAT IS, THE EARTH DOESN'T DULL ON THE WATER EQUALLY EVERYWHERE. IT IS POSSIBLE TO MAKE THE GRAVITATION AL FIELD OF CARTA BE ZERO CHOOSING THE RIGHT ACCELERATION BUT THIS IS GOOD FOR ONLY ONE POINT. IF YOU MOVE AWAY A LITLE BIT FROM THAT POINT OF O G'S THE EARTH WILL HAVE A SMALL COMPONENT. IN EFFECT, A FIELD STILL EXISTS AROUND THAT POINT.



ResulTINT FIELD LOOKS SOMETHING LIKE This About The ZERO PDINT.

WE HAVE ONLY GOTTEN rid of The LOCAL FIEld BY This ArgumENT.



EARTH

Now we ASK ANOTHER QUESTION, "WHAT HAPPENS TO LIGHT IN A UNIFORM FIELD?" THAT IS, we disregard the slight forces of the EENTER AND Thus NEGLECT higher order Effects. Therefore INERTIA AND REACTION ARE EQUAL LIKE. WE GO BACK TO THE ELEVATOR AND PUT A LIGHT THAT EMITTS A DEFINITE FREQUENCY ON THE CEILING; THEN WE ASK WHAT WE SEE IF WE'RE LYING ON THE FLOOR AS THE ELEVATOR MOVE UPWARD WITH AN ACCELERATION, gr

L

SINCE IT TAKES A FINITE TIME TO RECEIVE THE PHOTON AT THE FLOOR THE FLOOR MOUES A SMALL DISTANCE TOWARDS THE SOURCE, OR WHERE IT WAS. AT A GIVEN INSTANT WHEN WE TAKE v = 0, The doppler Shift will be to The Purple AS A result of The ACCELERATION.

The TIME of TRAVERSAL IS $T = \frac{L}{C}$

The VELOCITY of The bottom relative to The Top 13 GIVEN by

$$V_{rel} = 9\frac{L}{C}$$

The frequency due to The doppler effect is

$$\omega = \omega_{emitt} \left(1 + \frac{VreL}{C} \right)$$

Therefore.

$$W_{\text{rec}} = W_{\text{emitt}} \left(1 + \frac{9L}{c^2} \right)$$

Where WE CAN CALL JL THE GRAVITATIONAL POTENTIAL AT heighth L OR

THEN, MOTE ELOQUENTLY

$$W_{\text{rec}} = W_{\text{emiff}} \left(1 + \frac{\nabla \varphi}{c^2} \right)$$

VQ has been supposedly EXPERIMENTALLY CALCULATED but SINCE The results are within I The AMOUNT They want NOT TO AccurATE. The fibures are something Like Imm/10 sec. This was DONE IN A 75 STORY ELEVATOR which was subjected to changest due to TEMPERATURE GRAdients IF IN The BUILDING. If A CHArGE IS ACCELERATING THEN IT MUST RADIATE. SO IF WE THROW ELECTRON OF SOMETHING IN ELEVATOR WHAT HAPPENS?

BY The Theory of radiating DH charges due to OSCILLATIONS, The rate of ENErgy radiation is

 $\frac{dW}{dt} = \frac{2}{3} e^2 \left(\frac{\dot{x}}{c}\right)^2$

BUT when The charge is ACRELERATING There is A damping force due TO FADIATION RESISTANCE GIVEN by

 $F = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^2}{\overline{c}^3} \frac{x^2}{\overline{c}^3}$

The work DONE AGAINST THIS FORCE IS FX or

$$\frac{dW_{e}}{d\tau} = \frac{2e^{2}}{3c^{3}} \ddot{X} \dot{X} = \frac{2e^{2}}{3c^{3}} \left[\frac{d}{d\tau} (\ddot{X} \dot{X}) - \ddot{X}^{2} \right]$$

IN A PERIODIC MOTION XX AVERAGES TO ZERO WHILE THE SECOND TERM IS ALWAYS POSITIVE BEING SQUARED. So If INTEGRATE X2 WITH RESPECT TO TIME. IT IS POSSIBLE TO Show THAT THE CHARGE RADIATES ENERGY WHEN IT FIRST ACCELENT STARTS AND WHEN IT STOPS BUT NOT WHILE IT ACCELERATES.

AN ASIde here ALONG THESE LINES, deal with the INERTIA coefficient being EQUAL TO THE MECHANIAL INERTIA. If WE CALCULATE THE FORCE ON ONE PART of A DALL OF CHARGE DUE TO OTHER PARTS WE GET THE SELF-FORCE,

$$F_{s} = \sigma \frac{e^{2}}{r_{0}c^{2}} \ddot{x} - \frac{2e^{2}}{3c^{3}} \ddot{x} + \gamma \frac{e^{2}}{c^{4}} \ddot{x} + \cdots$$

ro= radius charge

 $x \notin T$ coefficients depending upon The charge distributions. For a sphere $x = \frac{2}{3}$, Therefore E we have identified AN ELECTIONAGNETIC MASS, Melec TO be

$$\mathcal{M}_{elec} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^2}{r_0 c^2}$$

Which when mensure The MASS EXPERIMENTALLY MUST be added TO The mechanical MASS, I.E.,

Thus we see THAT The WEIGHT of The field MUST be considered. NoticeAbly Problems Arise if To -> 0 Then F -> 00 And The charge BLOWS UP but we define POINCARE STRESS or rubber bands to hold EVERYTHING TO GETHER.

If WE WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE different IN Frequency is SAY, AT 12,000 MILES UP, WE TIE TO-GETHER A MESS of ELEVATORS of LENGTH L AND WITH LITTLE HOLES TO LET THE LIGHT THROUGH. THUS WE IGNORE relanuistic velocities, And Just Sum us the little gl's. In The FINAL RESULT AQ/CZ IS STILL SMALL.

BUT when LIGHT COMES From The SUN The dISTANCES become APPRECIABLE AND THE FREQUENCY Shift MORE NOTICEABLE. If The SUN EMITTS A GIVEN NA SODIUM LINE, THE EATTH SEES A SGIFT COTTES & PONDING TO

$$\frac{G}{R_{SUN}^2} \frac{G}{C^2}$$

where $\frac{GM_{SUN}}{C^2} \approx 1^{\prime}h$ Km

Rsun >> 1.5 KM

AND THE SHIFT IS FIGURED TO be About 1 400,000. This is A FAIRLY TEASONABLE VALUE BUT IT HAS been successfully observed YET. MAINLY because we know the what The NATURAL frequency of sodium is.

Now for white dwarfs where Their MASS & MOUN BUT Their radi Are About That of The EARTH This shift is much Greater. But hard TO DETERMINE Whether The shift is due to GRAVITY or recession. Possibly Double STARS COULD HELP SINCE THE FOTATE About ONE ANOTHER but often one star has visible spectral lines while The other is a continuous light so no help There.

PROBLEN : Consider You are on The EARTH AND MAN SAYS SEE how hight you CAN GO IN ONE hour by MY CLOCK. The TRAVELING GUY CARRIES A WATCH Which runs FASTER THAN THE MAN ON THE GROUND. WHEN HE IS AT hmax, he looks Purple to The Guy on The Ground for The Above reasons. Thus we want to maximize The degree To which he is Ahend, i.e., The higher The better. We wonst LET him GET TO C but INSTEAD MAKE The following TIME CORRECTION

ENT SFLAT

$$t_{1} = l_{0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^{2}}{c_{2}}} \approx t_{0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^{2}}{c_{2}} \right)$$

Thus The TRAVELER MUST GO FAST bUT NOT TOO FAST OF ELSE heill GET INTO A red shift. So we use w= Wemin (1 - au), GET The correct TIMES And PATH of MOTION.

A photon shoots up to A box which is IN TURN Proble M: CATTIED BACK DOWN AND The ENERGY THEN released AS IT GOES UP AGAIN. AT The END of The CYCLE If There is NO NET WORK ENERGY Should be Conserved - 15 IT?

LAST TIME WE MENTIONED AN INTERESTING PRODLEM- THE IDEA OF A REFPETUAL MOTION. IT GOES SOMETHING LIKE THIS: WE HAVE A BOX Which A EMITTS A PHOTON OF ENERGY EO Which GOES TO A LEVEL AT A HIGHER GRAVITATIONAL POTENTIAL THAN before; There in has AN ENERGY $E_I = EO(I - \frac{Q}{C^2})$ because of the Work IT did TO GET UP THE POTENTIAL Q. Now we carry The BOX back done AND DO WORK $E_i \frac{Q}{C^2}$ TO BRING THE PHOTON TO GROUND LEVEL ABAIN. IT THEN THAS ENERGY E_i because we got work out of IT AS WE LOWER IT. THUS THE ENERGY SPENded WAS EO AND WHAT WE RECEIVED BACK WAS E_i + WORK dONE IN LOWERING, $E_i \frac{E_i Q}{C^2}$. DOES THE FINAL ENERGY EQUAL WHAT WE HAD TO BEGIN WITH. THE FOLLOWING IS A DIAGRAM OF ONE SUCH EYCLE:



Where $E_B = E_N + E_1 \frac{Q}{CL} = E_1 \left(1 + \frac{Q}{CL}\right)$ THE QUESTION IS: $E_0 = E_1 \left(1 + \frac{Q}{CL}\right)$

BEFORE GOING ON TO SOME THING NEWS, The OTHER QUESTION I ASKED AT THE END MIGHT DESERVE A LITTLE MORE ATTENTION. WE WANTED TO MAXIMIZE THE TIME ON THE TRAVELER'S WATCH BY GOING UPWARDS TO GET TO A NEIGHBORING POINT OF WHERE HE STARTED.

The TRAVELER Observes Two MOMENTS ON HIS CLOCK SEPARATED by A TIME dt. WE RELATE THIS TIME TO THE PROPER TIME ON EARTH, dt. SINCE THE GUY IS HIGHER, HE LOOKS PUPPLE BECAUSE OF GRAVITY SO,

$$dt = d\chi \left(1 + \frac{d}{C_{r}}\right)$$

ALSO because he is moving dt a good CLIP, The TIME dilation Arising from Our Approximation is

$$dt = dt + \frac{\tau^2}{2c^2} dt$$

So The proper TIME ON EATTH for The INTERVAL IS

$$dt = dt + \frac{\varphi}{c_1} - \frac{\tau^2}{2c_2} dt$$

INTEGRATEd OVER OSTST

Our Problem AGAIN IS TO GET THE TRAVELING CLOCK TO be AS LATE AS POSSIBLE. THE TIME INTERVAL SEEN ON THE WRIST WATCH IS THEN

$$t_{1} - \tau_{1} = \int_{0}^{T} \left[I + \frac{\varphi}{c_{1}} - \frac{\omega}{c_{1}} \right] dt$$
$$= T + \frac{1}{c_{2}} \int_{0}^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{1}) - \frac{x_{1}}{z_{1}} \right] dt$$

SO WHAT WE WANT IS TO MAKE THE BRACKET TERM AN EXTREMUM SINCE Q = q(X(t)) we have

$$\Delta t = T + \int_{0}^{+} \left[q(x_{it}) - \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{it} \right] dt$$

WE CAN SOLVE THIS PROBLEM BY THE PRINCIPLE OF LEAST ACTION. If we let XITI be The Orbit for which The PATH is EXTREMUM AND M(t) be AUDITATION ON THAT PATH SUCH THAT THE UARIATION AT THE END POINTS IS ZERO, THEN

$$\chi(t) = \overline{\chi(t)} + \eta(t)$$

is The varied orbit And for first order changes in of This is zero. Therefore, we have,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\begin{array}{c} 9 \overline{X(t)} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\overline{X}}^{2} + 9 \overline{\eta(t)} - \overline{\overline{X}} \overline{\eta} \right] dt$$
ACTUAL PATH UARIES PATH

INTEGRATING BY PARTS

FINALLY WE MUST require,

 $x^2 = -q$ for the integral to be zero

Thus we see The orbit is A PARAbola Just AS IT would be for A free FALLING BODY. This orbit MAKES The TIME ON THE TRAVELING CLOCK THE GREATEST.

The CONSEQUENCE of This LITTLE EXErCISE WAS VERY IMPORTANT TO EINSTEIN WHO SEIZED IT AND CONCLUDED THAT SINCE LOCAL CLOCKS ALWAYS MEASURE THE LONGEST TIME, CLOCK WILL WARY UNDER different CIRCUMSTANCES; I.E., There is no Absolute time. The MATE of TIME PROGRESSION depends ON where you are in space AND WHOSE CLOCKYOU USE. If There is no definite TIME, Then because of the SPACE-TIME relationship There is most likely no set DISTANCE, i.E., IT CHANGES with POSITION. THAT IS TO SAY A FULER WOULD SHRINK AS IT COMES TOWARD THE EARTH WHILE IT WOULD EXPAND GOING THE OTHER WAY. WE MUST HAVE A coordinate system ESTABLISHED which is INVARIANT TO POSITION ONLY THAT THE PROPER TIME REMAINS A MAXIMUM. THUS EINSTEIN SOUGHT TO REPLACE NEWTON'S SIMPLE F= MA LAW.

Thus IT IS THAT SCALE LENGTHS CHANGE WITH GRAVITATIONAL FIELD AS WELL AS THE USUAL RELATIVISTIC CONTRACTION, WE CAN REPRESENT THE USUAL SPACE-TIME INTERVAL BY THE FOUR-VECTOR,

$$dt^{2} = dt^{2} - dx^{2} - dz^{2}$$

= $dt^{2}(1 - V^{2})$
 $dt = dt^{2}(1 - V^{2})^{1/2}$

Where we have omiTHED THE CE SINCE WE CHOSE IT TO be UNITY Now IN A GRAVITATIONAL FIELD THIS INTERVAL OF TIME WOULD be

$$dt^{2} = dt'(1 + \frac{\varphi(x, y, z)}{c^{2}}) - \alpha dx^{2} - \beta dy^{2} - \gamma dz^{2}$$

This relation is Good to The firstorder because of The APProxIMATION Used IN The GRAVITATIONAL POTENTIAL. WE NOTE THAT IN The first equation The four Vector Explicitly related The Two TIME INTERVALS. NOW WE ARE SAYING THERE MIGHT be SOME UNDETERMINED coefficients which have not been found AND vary from place to place in Space. In order to determine These coefficients accurately it would be necessary to be moving AT The or near The speed of light in order to MAKE A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION TO dt.

EINSTEIN Proposed to specify the coefficients As A function of POSITION IN AN INGENIOUS MANNER by describing the potential of GRAVITY. HE ASSUMED, IN FACT, THAT THE COEFFICIENTS DIFFER WITH POSITION. IN THE MOST GENERAL CASE WE CAN WRITE THE TIME INTERVAL AS,

dt = dt'(I+ 4(x,y,z)) - 9xxdx - 9yy dy2 + 933dz2 - 29xydxdy - ... where AT MOST WE CAN HAVE A TENSOR WITH 10 TERMS.

IF WE LET GTT = (It Q(x, Y, ZI), Then WE CAN EXPRESS This TIME AS

The TENSOR ELEMENTS JUY depending on where you are. IN NEWTONIAN WORLDS JUY = SUY.

40.

So we have A real mess on our hand with 10 potentials all coupled together. So we must try to put some order to Them. First we Argue(?) The guy's form a symmetric tensor; if it were antisymmetric, it would n't be any different than a lot of other tensors.

WE NEED SOME GEOMETRICAL INTERPERTATION IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND THESE GUY'S. THAT IS bECAUSE THEY ARE FUNCTION of SPACE AND INCREASE IN IMPORTANCE AS THE DENSITY OF MATTER INCREASES. SAID ANOTHER WAY, The GUY WOULD be MUCH GREATER AROUND THE CENTER OF A GALAXY THAN IT WOULD BE FAR FOR ANY OBJECT.

WHAT EINSTEIN ArGUED WAS THAT AS A CONSEQUENCE OF $dt = \sum guidxudxy$ SPACE TIME COULD NOT be FLAT bUT VATHER REPRESENTED AS A CURUED SPACE TIME. IN SUCH A WORLD MOTTER WOULD MOVE ON Shortes T-DISTANCE PATHS, I.E., dt IS A MINIMUM AS guy IS A FUNCTION OF POSITION. THIS IS EINSTEIN'S MOTION LAW OF GRAVITY OR SOMETHING TIKE THAT. THIS CURUED SPACE IS SOME WHAT UNDERSTOOD IF WE UISUALIZE A LIGHT BEAM SHOOTING OUT, AND SOONER OF LATER IT WOULD RETURN TO ITS STARTING POINT. WHEN WE IGNORE THE OBVIOUS QUESTION OF DOW FAR AROUND IS IT WE ARE SAFE. THE POINT DEING THE TRAJECTORY FOLLOWS A GREAT CIRCLE FOUTE.

What Then is The GEOMETTIE INTERPETATION of SPACE. LET'S LIMIT OUR DISCUSSION, for The MOMENT, TO EURUED SPACE ON LY; WE WON'T DISCUSS TIME. FURTHER LET'S ASSUME A TWO DIMENSIONAL AND ASK WHAT MEANING WE CAN ASSIGN TO CURVATURE.

IMAGINE A GANG OF DUGS LIVE ON THE BLACK DOARD; CALL THEM "A". Suppose ANOTHER GROUP "B" LIVE ON A DALL. WE CAN HAVE OTHER "WORLD' LIKE CYLINDERS, SADDLES, DONOTS, ETC. ASSUMING THE DUGS ARE A CURIOUS LOT, THEY MIGHT LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE SHAPE of THEIR WORLD IS - IS IT FLAT, A DALL, WHAT? THEY CANNOT CIRCUM-NAVIGATE DECAUSE THEY MIGHT DE ON A SADDLE; A TECHNIQUE MUST hold for ALL CASES. THERE TURNS OUT TO DE SEVERAL WASS THEY COULD GO ADOUT IT.

METHOD 1. They could march out a given number of EQUAL STEPS; MATK OFF A FIGHT ANGLE; GO UP THE SAME distance; Take Another FIGHT; And SEE IF FINALLY They COME BACK PRECISELY TO THEIR ORIGIN.





What would happen if The bug tried The SAME EXPERIMENT ON A BALL; WALKING EQUAL DISTANCES AND MAKING 3 FIGHT ANGLES, Which are defined on Spheres.



IN This CASE The BUG STARTS AT O; WAIKS ON PATH I AND ENDS AT POINT I IE. IF HE TOOK PATH Z HE WOULD HAVE Crossed PATH I AT P before ENDING AT ZE. CLEARLY THE bUG MUST LIVE IN A CURVED WORLD.

- METHOD 2: BY LAYING OUT RESPECTIVE TRIANGLE ON THE SURFACE AND MEASURING. THE SUM OF THE ANGLES. FOR A PLANE THEY TOTAL 180°; FOR Sphere They Are MORE THAN 180° AND FOR A SADDLE LESS.
- METHOD 3: A MORE INTERESTING WAY CALLS UP ALARGE NUMBER OF BUGS TO MARCH OUT EQUIDISTANCES FROM A POINT AND JOINING HANDS TO FORM A CIRCLE. IF ANOTHER BUG THEN WALKED AROUND MEABURING THE PERIMETER, HE WOULD FIND THE CIRCUMFERENCE DUMAYS GIVEN IN INTEGRALS OF POINES,

CITCUM = 2TIR

where R = NO. STEPS OUT, COMMONLY THE rAdius

For A Sphere we have something Different:

IN This CASE The circumference

IS GIVEN BY Ca=rAdius of Sphere Cir= 211 & Din 0 = 211 & Din R

Expanding the sine into A Series tofirst 2 terms

$$\frac{C_{1r}}{2\pi} = \Omega \left(\frac{R}{\Delta} - \frac{R^2}{3\Omega^3} \right)$$
$$\frac{C_{1R}}{2\pi} = R \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{3\Omega^2} \right)$$



The result we have obtained is NICE be cause it gives us an idea of how the curvature affects the circumference. We have the term $\frac{R^2}{a^2}$ which shows the effect of A small sphere, ThAT being a larger correction factor. Fernman likes to picture curvature as a function of Position As a Pimpled face where in certain regions the Pumps create A Greater curvature.

LET'S be MORE SPECIFIC AND DEFINE PRECISELY WHAT WE MEAN BY CURVATURE; IN OUR USEAGE IT WILL MEAN-EXCESS FROM THE THEORETICALLY EXPECTED RESULT. WE CAN BE MORE SUCCINCT MATTHE MATTICALLY

where we have TAKEN (1- $\frac{R^2}{3\alpha^2}$) ARE REWROTE IT AS (1- $\frac{\pi R^2}{3\alpha^2}$); Specified πR^2 As The Area And The curviture AS 1/3 α^2 . SIGNIFICANTLY The curviture is inversely proportional to The radius squared

$$Curv \propto \frac{1}{a^2}$$

WE NEED NOW SOME FORM OF SYSTEMATICALLY IDENTIFYING POINTS IN OUR SPACE. IN TWO DIMENSIONS THE X-Y AXIS WORK WELLS IN THREE WE CAN LAY OUT A NETWORK OF EVENLY SPACED LINES LIKE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDINAL LINES ON THE EARTH. THESE THILINES NEED NOT MEET AT RIGHT ANGLES, I.E.,

IN TWO DIMENSIONS WE CAN PICK The coordinates just right so

$$ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2$$

If we had STARTED WITH X= X(U,V) AND Y=Y(U,V), THEN WE WOULD HAVE HAD THE GENERAL CASE IN WHICH OF THE 3 COEFFICIENTS TWO WOULD HAVE BEEN INDEPENDENT, I.E. CORRESPONDING TO THE TWO DEGREES OF FREEDOM PERMITTED. WITH THE 3 g'S THEN IT IS POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE THE CURATURE

ANOTHER WAY OF THINKING OF THIS CURVED SPACE IS TO LET THE BUGS INVEON A HOT PLATE WHICH GETS HOTTER AS YOU GO. MOVE NEARER THE CENTER. THUS RULERS WOULD EXPAND AS YOU GO. OUT AND WE SHALL ASSUME THEY ALL EXPAND EQUALLY; EVEN THE BUGS THEMSELVES. SAY HE TAKES WHAT HE THINKS ARE 4 EQUAL STEPS LIKE HE DID ON THE BLACKBOARD AND SEE IF HE CAN END AT THE SAME POINT.



WE COULD DEVISE THE PERFECT TEMPERATURE LAW WHICH WOULD GIVE EXACTLY. THE SAME EFFECT AS DEING ON A DALL AS THIS DIAGRAM SEEMS TO INDICATE.

Thus even Though WE ARE ON A FLAT PLATE THE EFFECT IS THE SAME AS bEING IN A CURUED SPACE; THE LENGTH OF THE RULER STILL VARIES WARE WITH WHERE YOU ARE. SO SPACE IS CURUED FUNNY DECAUSE IT HAS A WORD EFFECT ON OUR MEASUREMENT.

WE would expect lengths on the Lot PLATE TO TAKE The form:

 $ds^2 = temp(x, y) \left[dx^2 + dy^2 \right]$

where T(X,Y) is the temperature function which corresponds to The two g's And The Third being EQUAL.

While IN TWO dIMENSIONS THE CURVATURE COULD be MEASURE BUT THE TWO CASES MENTIONED Above COULD NOT be SEPARATE. IN THREE DIMENSIONS THERE COULD be 3 DIRECTIONS OF MAXIMUM CURVATURE Which would describe The SHAPE. Now WE TALK About THE ACTUAL RADIUS bEING

> r = R + AUEIAGE X VOLUME ACTUAL MEASURED CUYUNTURE YAdius YAdius

For The MOMENT ALL WE'LL SAY IS THAT THE AVERAGE CURVATURE, The FEYNMAN MEAN CURVATURE, IS AN AVERAGE OF THE 3 MAXIMA. WE'LL SPEND MORE ON THIS LATTER.

Now we LET TIME JOIN SPACE AND TALK About four DIMENSIONS. IMAGINE NOW YOU STAND IN ONE SPOT FOR AN HOUR; MOUE ONE \$ FOOT; WAIT AN HOUR AND DO THE SAME EXPERIMENT AS before. WHAT WILL HAPPEN IS THAT THE TIMES WON'T be THE SAME; THE PROPER TIMES Are different. This MISMATCH is the SAME As before.

The Problem NOW IS EVEN MORE COMPOUNDED because There Are FORTY (UNUATURE COMPONENTS. EINSTEIN SOMENT TO SOLVE TO QUESTIONS:

1) GIVEN THE G'S WHAT IS THE MOTION TO MAKE THE TIME AN EXTREMUM

2). WHAT DETERMINES THE POTENTIAL O

He sought AN ANALOGUE of Acceleration = $-\nabla Q$ And for A Good fit in

where $\Delta = UNIVERSAL GRAVITATION CONSTANT$ $<math>\rho = density of MATTER$

He did NOT WANT TO HAVE THE g'S DEPEND ON ANY CHOICE OF COORDINATE SO HE HAD THE IDEA OF LETTING THEM EQUAL MATTER DENSITY. TO SPECIFY THE GAY'S, which represent The curvature, WE GO TO A BIG TENSOR COMPRISED OF VARIOUS DERIVATIVES OF g'O PREVIOUS WORKED OUT BY RIEMANN.

$$\mathcal{K}^{n} \Lambda^{\alpha \beta} = \left(\frac{g_{x}}{g_{x}} + - \left(\frac{g_{y}}{g_{x}} \right)_{x} + - - \frac{g_{x}}{g_{x}} \frac{g_{x}}{g_{x}} + - - \right)$$

IN NEWTONIAN PHYSICS ENERGY DENSITY WAS INTERPETTED AS THE SOURCE OF GRAVITY. THE ENERGY DENSITY BEING PART OF THE FOUR TENSOR which completely describes electromAGNETIC THEORY.

The ANALOGUE of The AVERAGE CURATURE DECAME, IN 9 DIMENSIONS, 10 MEAN M CURVATURES, THY; This TAKES The form of A ATENSOR OF SECOND ORDER differentials. EINSTEIN GUESSED This LAW

Ruy = BIGTUY = EINSTEIN'S LAW of GRAVITY

Here he ignored any NONLINEARITHES IN RUVEP.

Gravity Probe B Verifying "framedragging"





A word here NOT from The Lecture but from The BOOK, <u>General</u> <u>RELATIVITY</u> AND A GRAVITATIONAL WAVES by J.Weber (INTERSCIENCE PUBLISHERS).

EINSTEIN'S IDEA THAT GENERAL LAWS OF NATURE Should be EXPRESSED by EQUATIONS Which hold Good for All SYSTEMS of coordinates Eollowed from A Discovery of MINKOWSKI. He found THAT TRANSFORMING FROM ONE INERTIAL FRAME TO ANOTHER MOVING WITH YELATIVE VELOCITY J CORRESPONDS TO THE ROTATION OF AXES IN A FOUR-DIMENSIONAL SPACE-TIME COORDINATE SYSTEM. THUS AN EVENT becomes A POINT IN STACE-TIME, FOUR DIMENSIONAL SPACE.

THE SEPARATION BETWEEN TWO EVENTS OVER THE DIFFERENTIAL INTERVAL IS EXPRESSED AS WE ALLEADY HAVE DONE,

$$ds^2 = dx^2 - dx^2 - dx^3$$

THAT IS

If we rewrite the first expression AS,

 $-ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}$ Then The ELEMENTS of $g_{\mu\nu}$ Are $g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

guy is called the METRIC TENSOR And The MATRIX RETAINS ITS form under Loventz TRANSFORMATION.

As AN EXAMPLE, The curved TWO-DIMENSION AL SPACE ON The SURFACE of Asphere 15 described by The sourced Line Element,

$$g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = r^{2} d\theta^{2} + r^{2} sin^{2} \theta dq^{2}$$

 $g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{vmatrix} r^{2} & o \\ o & r^{2} sin^{2} \theta \end{vmatrix}$

SINCE EINSTEIN ESTABLISHED THE EQUIVALENCE OF A GRAVITATIONAL FIELD to AN OCCELERATED FRAME, HE NEEDLA CURVED-SPACE METRIC TO EXTAND rELATIVITY TO REGIONS WHERE GRAVITATIONAL FIELDS ARE PRESENT. Thus CURVATUREWAS REALIZED AS AN INTRINSIC PROPERTY, 1.8., AT AN POINT THE SAME VALUE IS OBTAINED IN EVERY COORDINATE SYSTEM. EINSTEIN'S THEORY OF GRAVITATION RELATES THE CURVATURE of SPACE TO THE DISTRIBUTION OF STRESS AND ENERGY. The Proper TIME-SPACE INTERVAL which WE have been discussing, i.e.,

has the propertile THAT IT CAN ALWAYS be REWRITTEN AS,

ds= Sur drudxy + hurdrudxy

where SMY = KTONNECKER DELTA

What This EQUATION represents is what we discussed earlier on PAGE 34. There we TALKED About TIDAL Forces, NON-UNIFORM MOTION AND CONCLUDED BY THE PROPER ACCELERATION WE COULD MAKE ONE POINT Exhibit UNIFORM MOTION BUT ALL THE SURROWNDING SPACE HAD A NEW POTENTIAL GRADIENT. WE HAVE SAID THE SAME THING ABOUE.

The Sur corresponds to our ACCELERATION AND The HUN ARE The NEW POTENTIAL GRAVITY SURROUNDING THE POINT. THUS EINSTEIN'S PRINCIPLE OF EQUIVALENCE REALLY MEANS THAT A GRAVITY EQUALS SOME NEW GRAVITY PLUS AN AddITIONAL ACCELERATION.

Schwarzschild found A SOLUTION for ds' for The CASE of TIME INDEPENDENT JUN'S AND IN Spherical Space. The STARTING POINT IS THE Spherically SYMMETRIC SQUARED LINE ELEMENT IN OF FLAT SPACE-TIME:

 $ds^{2} = c^{2}f_{0}(n',0) dt^{2} - f_{1}(n',0) dn^{2} + f_{2}(n',0) h^{2} (d\theta^{2} + nin^{2}\theta d\theta^{2})$ By choosing A New coordinate n such that $f_{2}(n',0) n^{2} = n^{2}$

$$ds^{2}=c^{2}f_{0}(\pi)dt^{2}-f_{1}(\pi)dx^{2}+-x^{2}(d\theta^{2}+\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$

If we set fo = e', f, = e', Then goo = - e', g, = e', g = r2, g33 = 220m20.

$$ds^{2} = + e^{2}c^{2}dT = -e^{2}dx^{2} = -x^{2}(d\theta^{2} + am^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$

IT TURNS OUT (SEE WEBER REF. PP 56-60) TO SATISFY THE RESULTING differential equations of the forms on PAGE 45

$$e^{-\lambda} = e^{\lambda} = 1 + \frac{K}{R}$$

Where K is A CONSTANT which is determined from The requirement THAT NEWTON'S LAW OF GRAVITATION be Approached AT LARGE distances from The MASS. To Accomplish This

$$K = -\frac{2GM}{C^2}$$

There for 6

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{\pi C^{2}}\right) dt^{2} - \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - \frac{2GM}{C^{2}r}} - \lambda^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \lambda \tilde{m}^{2}\theta d\theta^{2}\right)$$

IF THE LINE ELEMENT DETWEEN TWO POINTS IS GIVEN by THE PREVIOUS EXPRESSION OMITTING ALL BUT,

$$ds = \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{C^2 r}}}$$

Then we could find the radial distance between TO or circular Drbits SAY VI, VI Around The SUN. WE would SIMPLY have

$$radial SEP_{r} = \int_{r_{i}}^{T_{z}} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{CT_{y}}}}$$

This, however, is A horrible INTEGRAL TO EVALUATE SO TO The first order,

rad. Sep =
$$\int_{r_1}^{r_2} (1 + \frac{1}{c^2 r} + \cdots) dr$$

= $r_2 - r_1 + \frac{1}{c^2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r_1$

Thus we see A correction Appearing To The Previously KNOWN result of Just re-ri. The other Term is A CONSEQUENCE of The curvature of space.

FOR THE SUM AS A CENTRAL MASS,

$$\frac{GM_{SUN}}{C^{2}} = \frac{6.67 \times 10^{50} \text{ N-M}^{2}}{\text{Kgm}} \times \frac{2 \times 10^{30} \text{ Kgm}}{\text{Kgm}} \times \frac{1}{9 \times 10^{16} \text{ gm}^{2}}$$

$$= 1.5 \times 10^{3} \text{ m} \qquad \left(\text{NT} = \frac{\text{Kgm-m}}{\text{Sec}^{2}} \right) \qquad \text{Sec}^{2}$$

So The corrections to radii of the order of the farth's SAY 10" m , The effect of the curvature is small.

The way in which we define SPACE-TIME IS confusing to some so LET'S GO BACK OVER IT AGAIN.

The world is characterized by EVENTS, I.E., FLASHES, ETC. TO LOCATE Such EVENTS IT TAKES FOUR NUMBERS X, Y, Z, T IN/HICH CAN be MEASURED IN ANY SYSTEM. WE ASSUME THAT SIMILAR NUMBERS represent EVENTS IN SUCCESSION OF IN CLOSE PROXIMITY. WE THEN MUST BE PRECISE IN ESTABLISHING THE DISTANCE BETWEEN TWO EVENTS. THE WAY WE defined SPACE-TIME IS SUCH THAT THE ELEMENTAL DISTANCE IS GIVEN AS,

r

Where The coefficients Are functions of where you are. Now we could have just as well chosen some other coordinate system, i.e.,

 $X' = f_1(X,Y,3,T), Y' = f_2(X,Y,3,T), Z' = f_3(X,Y,3,T), T' = f_4(X,Y,3,T)$ And subsequently found

AS WE did Above

INE OBSERVE THEN THE LABELING IS PULLELY ALBITLATY. BUT IF WE PICK A PARTICULAR SYSTEM THE & GUN'S MIGHT TAKE ON SIMPLE forms so werdecide to chose it. For INSTANCE, if There weren'T ANY GRAVITATIONAL FIELD, we would find A SYSTEM for which

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dx^2 + dy^2 - dz^2$$

This is A FLAT SPACE-TIME. This representation is NOT UNIQUE SINCE OUR ORIGIN MIGHT MOVE, There MIGHT be rOTATIONS; or WE MIGHT MAKE A LORENTZ TRANSFORMATION which would preserve The form of The Above, I.E.

$$T' = \frac{t - vx}{(1 - v^2)} \qquad \chi' = \frac{x - vT}{(1 - v^2)}$$

If we then Thave A world in which the guy's ArE NOT ALL ONE, Then there is sust NO best way to pick the coordinates, i.e., NO SPECIAL INERTIAL FRAME AND WE Are LEFT LOOSE AS TO OUR SCALE.

IN This description of Space-TIME T, The TIME, ONLY Orders EVENTS; IT has no other Significance. Time varies from place to place JUST LIKE Are LENGTH WEN WHEN YOU TRY TO MEASURE IT. THAT IS, TO FIND ds WE MUST KNOW THE ANGLE do but more we MUST be explicit where you are,

SO THE ATC SEEMS LONGER AT GREATER & FOR THE SAME do. TIME IS LIKE THIS, IN ANALOGY.

LET'S EXAMINE THE FOLLOWING SITUATION:

Suppose we are a fixed distance to from the sun; Time runs VERTICALLY. WE HAVE A STANDARD CLOCK, MAY DE CESIUM, Which HAS A TIME & DETWEEN SUCCESSIVE CLICKS. WE HAVE TOOPOINTS a AND b which EMITT LIGHT TO A RECEIVER WHO IS ON ANOTHER TIME LINE AT ANOTHER DISTANCE To such THAT TOTY. WE WANT TO FIND THE TRAJECTORY of LIGHT AS IS GOES From ri TOrz.

WE HAVE THE FOLLOWING PICTURE,



WITHOUT SOLVING SOLV FOR TILL WE CAN ESTABLISH A FELATION-Ship between The INTERVAL EMITTED DIE AND THE INTERVAL received At? WE REASON THAT THE TIME EMITT AT a, Ta PLUS SOME CONSTANT TIME T EQUALS THE TIME RECEIVED TA, I.E.

$$t_a^A = T_a^E + T$$

LIKEWISE AT b,

or

$$T_{b}^{A} = T_{b}^{E} + T$$

SO WE CAN FIND IMMEDIATELY

$$Tb^{R}-Ta^{R}=Tb^{E}-Ta^{E}$$

 $\Delta \tau^{R} = \Delta \tau^{E}$ This Then relATES THE difference in The TIME COOLDINATES How FAR APART ARE a AND b. AT EMISSION? HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE TO GO FROM TO TO TE. TO SOLVE THIS WE MUST USE OUR formul A for ds which we developed LAST TIME, i.E.

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{\Lambda t}\right) dt^{2} - \frac{d\Lambda^{2}}{(1 - \frac{2m}{\Lambda (t)})} - \lambda^{2}(t) \left(\lambda \dot{m}^{2} \Theta d\varphi^{2} + d\Theta^{2}\right)$$
$$m = \frac{GM}{C^{2}}$$

where

WHAT WE HAVE TO DO IS SOLVE ds² for its minimum and that WILL be The MOTION. Thus The TIME ON The TRAVELING CLOCK WOULD ALWAYS READ A MAXIMUM AS WE ARGUED PREVIOUSLY.

If we first LOOK AT The TIME DIFFERENCE ONLY, THAT IS, WE ARE AT A fixed POINT IN SPACE such THAT dr=d0=d0=0, Then The TIME difference And coordinate difference are given by

$$ds = t^{E} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r_{1}}\right)^{r_{2}} \Delta t^{E}$$

where tE is measured by The clock AT E. Similarly

$$T^{R} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r_{2}}\right)^{V_{2}} \Delta T^{R}$$

Thus

$$\frac{T^{R}}{T^{\epsilon}} = \left(\frac{1-\frac{2m}{r_{1}}}{1-\frac{2m}{r_{1}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For SMALL M AND LARGE I WE CAN APPROXIMATE THIS BY,

$$\frac{TR}{TE} \approx 1 - \frac{m}{r_z} + \frac{m}{r_i}$$
$$\approx 1 - \frac{GM}{C^3 r_z} + \frac{GM}{C^2 r_i} = 1 + \frac{QR}{C^2} - \frac{QE}{C^2}$$

This result corresponds to what we discovered earlier when TALKING About The GRAVITADONAL red Shift.

OUR TASK NOW IS TO FIND A WAY TO DESCRIBE THE MOTION OF THE LIGHT; THEN DISCUSS THE PARTICULAR MOTION WHICH MAKES THE TIME A MINIMUM. FIRST WE GIVE $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}(t)$, $\Theta = \Theta(t)$, and $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ in terms of our coordinates. Thus we want to **s** examine the LAW of MOTION IN A GRAVITATIONAL FRIELD.







This is EINSTEIN'S LAW of MOTION IN GRAVITY.

WE CAN WRITE THIS OUT MORE EXPLICITLY AS

- finial

14

$$\left[\left(1-\frac{2m}{\lambda(t)}\right)-\frac{n^{2}}{1-\frac{2m}{\lambda(t)}}-\frac{n^{2}t}{(1-\frac{2m}{\lambda(t)})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}dt$$

This INTEGRAL IS VALID FOR OUR CASE OF FREELY FALLING bodies. FOR NON-RELATIVISTIC VELOCITIES AND WEAK GRAVITATIONAL FIELD WE CAN APPROXIMATE THIS IN TEGRAL AS

$$\int \left[1 - \frac{m}{R(t)} - \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n^2}{2} (nm^2\theta \dot{\psi}^2 + \dot{\theta}^2) \right] dt$$

$$we \quad let \quad \eta^2 = n^2 + n^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + n^2 nm^2 \theta \dot{\psi}^2$$

WE FINAL SEE THAT THE ORDIT MUST SATISFY

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{GM}{\lambda(t)} + \frac{y^{L}}{2}\right] dt$$

Where we have obtained The USUAL NEWTONIAN ORDIT PLUS A CORRECTION TERM. SINCE THE BRACKET TERM IN THE TOP EQUATION DOES NOT EXPLICITLY CONTAINED t IN ANY COEFFICIENT, IT IS POSSIBLE TO Add A CONSTANT T WITHOUT CHANGENG THE RESULTS. THIS WE ALREADY did. It is CHARACTERISTIC OF THE MOTION OF LIGHT FOR dS=0. If we only CONCERN OURSELVES WITH LIGHT TRAVELING RADIALLY, dS=0 IMPLIES

$$\left(1-\frac{2m}{\lambda(\tau)}\right)dt^{2}-\frac{d\lambda^{2}}{\left(1-\frac{2m}{\lambda(\tau)}\right)}=0$$

or

$$\frac{d\Lambda(t)}{dt} = \left(1 - \frac{z_m}{\Lambda(t)}\right)$$

TheN

$$\frac{d\Lambda(t)}{\left(1-\frac{2m}{\Lambda(t)}\right)} = dt$$

For LARGE RITI'S AND SMALL GRAVITATIONAL EFFECTS

$$\int_{T_{i}}^{L} d\tau \approx \int_{\Lambda_{i}}^{\Lambda_{i}} \left(1 + \frac{2m}{\Lambda(\tau)} \right) d\Lambda(\tau) = \Lambda_{2} - \Lambda_{i} + 2m \ln \frac{\Lambda_{i}}{\Lambda_{i}}$$

$$\therefore \quad \Delta T = t_2 - t_1 = (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) + 2m \ln \frac{\pi_2}{\Lambda_1}$$

Thus our ideal clock has measured ds which is NOT what we expected but rather has a correction term. This result is good only for our particular choice of the coefficient (1-2m). We must realize there was Nothing UNIQUE About This choice only that it simplified calculation. WE COULD HAVE PICKED SOME OTHER T TO BE r'=f(r). BUT NOW OUR definition of r As being the circumference divided by 2π is No LONGER VALID. FURTHER WE COULD HAVE redefined coefficients IN ds² by MAKING A SUBSTITUTION LIKE

$$t' = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{\Lambda}} T$$

BY This choice we would have made 977 =1 But complicATEd The oTher 9's sufficiently to decide NOT to select This. So not we must decide what we want because were Always sacrificing something.

Now LET'S SEE how TO CALCULATED The Orbit AS DEST WE CAN. WE WILL MAKE USE OF THE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE TO DO SO, I.E., WE WILL ADD A SMALL CORRECTION TO \mathcal{A} AND \mathcal{Q} SO THAT THE first order CHANGE IN dS IS ZERO. WE WILL WARY \mathcal{A} AND \mathcal{Q} ONLY because WE WILL BICK THE ORBIT TO BE IN A PLANE SUCH THAT $\Theta = 90^\circ$. This is Arbitrary bot IT SIMPLIFIES OUR CALCULATIONS. WE WILL LET.

$$r = \overline{r} + \rho$$
$$\varphi = \overline{\varphi} + \epsilon$$

AND

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{\Lambda(t)}\right)} - \frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{\Lambda(t)}} - \Lambda(t) \left(\Lambda \dot{m}^{2} \Theta \dot{\varphi}^{2} + \dot{\Theta}^{2}\right) dt$$

becomes

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ i \\ \overline{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}^{2} - \frac{\overline{\lambda}^{2} + 2\overline{\lambda}\overline{\rho} + \overline{\rho}^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda} + \rho}} - (\overline{\lambda} + \rho)^{2} (\overline{\phi}^{2} + 2\overline{\phi}\overline{e} + \overline{e}^{2}) dt$$

For SIMPLICITY WE'LL DEFINE AS The old STUFF, $\overline{5}$ 70 be old STUFF = $\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{\Lambda} - \frac{\pi^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{\Lambda}} - \pi^2 \frac{\phi^2}{\phi^2}} = \overline{5}$

IF WE FIRST VARY Q SINCE IT LOOKS EASIER, THEN P=O AND WE GET

$$S = \int_{1}^{+} \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{\pi}\right) - \frac{\pi^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{\pi}} - \left(\pi^{2} \dot{\vec{\varphi}}^{2}\right) - 2\pi^{2} \dot{\vec{\varphi}} \dot{\vec{e}}} dt$$

0 5 - 1

for first order Effects in The VARIATION

$$= \int \vec{s} d\tau - \int \frac{\vec{r}^2 \vec{q} \vec{\epsilon}}{1} d\tau$$

INTEGRATING THE LATER BY PARTS, I.E.

$$V = \epsilon \qquad du = \frac{\pi^2 \dot{u}}{d\tau}$$

$$V = \epsilon \qquad du = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{\pi^2 \dot{u}}{\tau}\right)$$

WE ODTAIN

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}\dot{\vec{q}}}{r}\right) \in dt$$

AND for A MINIMUM WE MUST require

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\overline{R}^2 \overline{Q}}{\Gamma} \right] = 0$$

which rields

$$\frac{r^2\dot{\psi}}{ds/d\tau} = h = r^2 \frac{d\psi}{ds}$$

This is then the conservation of ANGULAI MOMENTUM And GIVES ONE of The CONSTANTS OF THE MOTION.

$$S = \int_{i}^{t} \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{\bar{r} + \rho}\right) - \frac{\bar{n}^{2} + 2\bar{n}\bar{\rho} + \bar{\rho}^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{\bar{n} + \rho}} - (\bar{n} + \rho)^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}} dt$$

$$dS = \int \frac{\frac{m}{\bar{n}^{2}}\rho + \frac{\bar{n}^{2}}{\bar{n}^{2}}\rho - \bar{n}\phi^{2}\rho}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{\bar{n} + \rho}}} dt - \int \frac{\bar{n}\rho/-2m}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{\bar{n}^{2}}}dt}$$

INTEGRATING THE LAST TERM by PARTS AND SETTING THE TWO INTEGRANDS EQUAL SO SS=0 WE GET,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{d\bar{\lambda}}{dt} \right) / \left(\frac{l-2m}{\bar{\lambda}} \right)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{\bar{\lambda}}}} \right] = -\frac{m}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{m}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac$$

Then

$$\frac{dr}{ds^{2}} = -\frac{m}{r(\frac{ds}{dt})^{2}} + \Lambda\left(\frac{d\varphi}{ds}\right)^{2}$$

but
$$\frac{d\varphi}{ds} = \frac{h}{\Lambda^{2}} = 2\left(\frac{d\varphi}{ds}\right)^{2} = \frac{h^{2}}{\Lambda^{4}}$$

FINALLY

$$\frac{d\lambda}{ds^2} = \frac{m}{n\left(1-\frac{2m}{\Lambda}-\frac{n^2}{1-\frac{2m}{\Lambda}}\right)} + \frac{h^2}{\Lambda^3}$$

TO UNDERSTAND BETTER HOW THE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE WORKS, The MISSING STEPS IN THE PREVIOUS CALCULATIONS WILL BE PUT DOWN.

STATTING WITH

$$S = \int_{i}^{f} \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{\lambda}\right) + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{1 + \frac{2m}{\lambda}}} - \lambda^{2} \left(\sin^{2} \theta \dot{\phi}^{2} + \dot{\theta}^{2} \right) dt$$

For A variation in Q with $\Theta = 90^{\circ}$, i.e,

 $\varphi = \overline{\varphi} + E$ where $\overline{\varphi}$ is the Actual path and E is the varied path If we define 5 to be

$$\vec{s} = \sqrt{\frac{1-2m}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{L}}{1-\frac{2m}{\bar{\lambda}}} - \bar{\lambda}^{L} \dot{\vec{a}}^{L}} = \vec{S}$$

Then

$$SS = \int_{i}^{f} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{\pi}} - \frac{\dot{\pi}^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{\pi}} - \frac{\pi^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}}{1 - 2\pi^{2} \dot{\phi} \dot{e}} dt \qquad To The first order$$

$$IN \in IN \in IN \in I$$

$$= \int_{i}^{f} \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}^{2} - 2\pi^{2} \dot{\overline{\sigma}} \dot{\overline{e}}} d\tau = \int_{i}^{f} \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{2\pi^{2} \dot{\overline{\sigma}} \dot{\overline{e}}}{\frac{1}{5}}\right)^{1/2} d\tau$$

Expanding The square in Terms of & And holding The first order Terms

$$SS = \int_{i}^{f} \sqrt{\frac{s}{s}} d\tau - \int_{i}^{f} \frac{\pi^{2} \dot{\phi} \dot{\epsilon}}{\frac{s}{s}} d\tau$$
$$= \int_{i}^{f} \frac{s}{s} d\tau - \int_{i}^{f} \frac{\pi^{2} \dot{\phi} \dot{\epsilon}}{\frac{s}{s}} d\tau$$

And we require first order variations to vanish so if we INTEGRATE THE SECOND INTEGRAND by PART WE GET THE CONDITION

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left[\frac{\bar{\mu}^2 \bar{q}}{\frac{1}{5}} \right] = 0$$

which yield A CONSTANT of The MOTION

$$\frac{\pi^2 \dot{\varphi}}{ds_{dT}} = h = \pi^2 \frac{d\varphi}{ds}$$

The INTEGRATION BY PARTS IS CARRIED OUT by LETTENG

dv = $\dot{e} dt$ And $u = \dot{q}$ which gives v = e And $du = \frac{d\dot{q}}{d\tau}$ $\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\dot{s}} \int \dot{q} \dot{e} dt = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\dot{s}} \dot{q} e \left| \frac{t}{\dot{t}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\dot{s}} \right| \frac{d\dot{q}}{d\tau} e dt$ which give $\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\dot{s}} \right] = 0$ SIM(E Eli) = E(f) = 0 And The UARIATION MUST be ZERO.

CONSIDERING THE VARIATION IN 1, 1. E.,

$$\lambda = \pi + \rho$$

WE follow The SAME development AS before ONLY NOW WE HAVE,

$$\delta S = \int_{1}^{+} \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{zm}{\overline{\lambda} + \rho}\right)} - \frac{\overline{\lambda}^{2} + 2\overline{\lambda}\overline{\rho} + \overline{\rho}^{2}}{1 - \frac{zm}{\overline{\lambda} + \rho}} - (\overline{\lambda} + \rho)^{2} \overline{\rho}^{2} dt$$

HOLDING ONLY TO FIRST OR DER VARIATIONS IN P, WE REWRITE

$$|-\frac{2m}{\pi+\rho} = |-\frac{2m}{\pi(1+\rho)} = |-\frac{2m}{\pi}(1+\rho)^{-1} = |-\frac{2m}{\pi}(1-\rho)^{-1} = |-\frac{2m}{\pi$$

From This APProxiMATION WE Are Led to the NEXT APProxIMATION

$$\frac{1}{1-\frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda}+\rho}} \stackrel{:}{=} \frac{1}{\frac{1-\frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda}}+\frac{2m\rho}{\overline{\lambda}^2}}{1-\frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda}}-\frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}}} \stackrel{:}{=} \left(\frac{1-\frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda}}}{1-\frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda}}}\right) \left[1+\frac{2m\rho}{\overline{\lambda}-\frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda}}}\right] \stackrel{:}{=} \frac{1}{1-\frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda}}} \left[1-\frac{2m\rho}{(1-\frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda}})\overline{\lambda}^2}\right]$$

And if we disregard p² we finally Obtain for the Term under The square root

$$I - \frac{zm}{\pi} + \frac{zm\rho}{\pi^2} - \left[\frac{1}{1 - \frac{zm\rho}{\pi}} - \frac{zm\rho}{(1 - \frac{zm}{\pi})^2 \pi^2}\right] \left[\dot{\pi}^2 + z\dot{\pi}\dot{\rho}\right] - \bar{\pi}^2\dot{q}^2 - 2\bar{\pi}\rho\dot{q}^2$$

rearranging, we get,

$$\vec{5}^{2} + \frac{2m\rho}{\bar{\lambda}^{2}} + \frac{2m\rho}{(1-\frac{2m}{\bar{\lambda}})^{2}} - 2\bar{\lambda}\dot{\phi}^{2}\rho - \frac{2\bar{\lambda}\dot{\rho}}{(1-\frac{2m}{\bar{\lambda}})^{2}}$$

PUTTING This BACK INTO THE SQUARE ROOT, FACTORING OUT 5, AND EXPANDING TO FIRST ORDER VARIATIONS WE GET

$$\delta s = \int_{i}^{t} \dot{\vec{s}} d\tau + \int_{i}^{t} \frac{\underline{m}}{\overline{\lambda^{2}}} \rho + \dot{\overline{\lambda}}^{2} \frac{\underline{m}}{\overline{\lambda^{2}}} \rho - \overline{\lambda} \dot{\phi}^{2} \rho d\tau - \int_{i}^{t} \frac{\dot{\overline{\lambda}} \dot{\rho}}{(1 - \frac{2m}{\overline{\lambda}})} \dot{\vec{s}} d\tau$$

SINCE THE VARIATION MUST be ZERO, THE INTEGRATION OF THE LAST TWO TERMS ATTER PARTS MUST BE SET EQUAL TO ZERO,

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left[\frac{d\bar{\lambda}_{d\tau}}{(1-\frac{2m}{\pi})^{d\bar{s}}_{d\bar{s}}} \right] = \frac{-\frac{m}{\bar{\lambda}^2} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2 m}{\bar{\lambda}^2} (1-\frac{2m}{\bar{\lambda}})^{-2} - \bar{\lambda} \dot{q}^2}{d\bar{s}/d\tau}$$

$$\frac{d\bar{\lambda}}{d\bar{s}^2} = -\frac{m}{\Lambda (\frac{d\bar{s}}{d\tau})^2} + \Lambda (\frac{d\bar{q}}{d\bar{s}})^2 = -\frac{m}{\Lambda (\frac{d\bar{s}}{d\tau})^2} + \frac{h^2}{\Lambda^3}$$

or

The QUESTION AROSE how The CLASSICAL BENDING OF LIGHT WAS CALCULATED AND LATTER Shown by FINSTEIN TO BE TWICE WHAT IT should be.

If we consider AN Object of mass m, ENERGY E AND DELOCITY D MOUING IN A TRAJECTORY which will be considered ESSENTIALLY A STRAIGHT LINE AND SUCH THAT ITS CLOSEST APPROACH TO THE SUN IS 'Q', THEN THERE WILL CONSTANTLY BE A DOWN WARD COMPONENT OF FORCE TENDING TO bend IT TOWARD THE SUN, SAY. SO WE HAVE SOMETHING THAT LOOKS LIKE, THIS,

P

a

Z



$$\frac{d}{d\tau} P_{\perp} = \frac{G M_s}{\Lambda^3} \left(\frac{E}{C^2} \right)$$
mass of object

FOR NEARLY STRAIGHT LINE MOTION

$$P_{\perp} = \int \frac{GMs}{n^3} \frac{m}{r} \frac{dz}{r} = \frac{GMs}{r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{adz}{\sqrt{a^2+z^3}}$$

OR

NOW SINCE FOR SMALL ANGULAR deflections

$$\frac{P_{\perp}}{P_{\parallel}} = \Theta$$

THEN IN GENERAL

$$\Theta = \frac{2GHSM}{VaPii}$$

While AT LOW UELOCITIES PII = MU THEN,

$$\Theta = \frac{2GHS}{av^2}$$

Now if v = C, This CALCULATION is off by A factor of Two; where a now is the sun's radius.

EINISTEIN Showed The Frequency of LIGHT AT P WAS NOT THE SAME AT O AS IT moved Through SPACE which ESSENTIALLY IS A MEDIUM of CHANGING INDEX OF ' reflection Arising be from The curvature. He found

$$\Theta = 2 \frac{MSG}{a v^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}} \right)$$

Here is where Feynman starts in on "black stars(aka black holes) as he called them.

Before Going on to A NEW TOPIC There are a couple of Parodoxes That Arise when discussing Gravity. So well spend A little TIME TALKING About Them.

IN ALL CASES OF GRAVITATIONAL FIELDS WE TALKED INTERMS OF THE ELEMENTAL LENGTH DETWEEN TWO EVENTS DEING

$$ds^{2} = (1 - \frac{2m}{\lambda})dt^{2} - \frac{d\lambda^{2}}{(1 - \frac{2m}{\lambda})} - \lambda^{2}(\rho m^{2} \theta \phi^{2} + \theta)$$

So fAR we have limited ourselves to Gravitation effect of the first order, i.e., $1 - \frac{2m}{\Lambda}$ is very nearly 1 for Even white dwarfs where AN observed Gravitational Red Shift is Perhaps only ten Percent. So we DON'T have ANY MYSTERY About our Theory Yet.

WhAT, Though, happens when The radius & is of The order 2m or 2GM 2 Specifically when The radius Equals 2m. What Troubles DOES This cause in The APPARENT INFINITE TERM IN ds?

FIRST WE MUST RECALL THE ABOVE EXPRESSION IS GOOD OUTSIDE OF A STATIC Spherically Symmetric Mass which Thus Has ONLY radial motion of The MATTER INSIDE ITS BOUNDARY. So WE ENCLOSE THE REGION OF MATTER JUST LIKE GAUSS THEOREM DOES IN ELECTYOMAGNETIC THEORY AND SAY OUTSIDE THERE IS NO MASS. HOWEVER, BY LOGICAL SEQUENCE WE REALIZE THAT ds2 of Above IS NOT A SOLUTION INSIDE DUR BIB BALL.

To OUR CURRENT UNDERSTANDING OF ASTRONOMY TO SAY WHAT HAPPENS WHEN A < 2m seems ONLY A RESULT OF ALGEBRAIC MANIPULATIONS SINCE NO STARS have been observed with radii of This order. For instance, This radius for The sum would correspond TO SMASHING DOWN INTO A DALL is kilometers in radius. So we Ask 'What happens when matter is squeezed like This?

IMAGINE WE TOOK A LARGE AMOUNT OF DUST, SAY COLD ITON FILINGS AND SPREAD THEM OUT OVER A DIG SPACE SUCH THAT THEM MASS OF 10^B SUNS WOULD BE SPHERICALLY SYMMETRICAL. FOR A BIG ENOUGH rAdius WE HAVE NO TROUBLE; INITIALLY THEM WE ARE IN THE SAME POSITION AS WE HAVE ALVEADY CONSIDERED, LE., FIRST ORDER EFFECTS.

Now if the MASS begins to fail to gether under the Pull of its OWN GRAVITY There MUST be SOME CRITICAL density when the radius Equals 2m = 26M/c2 or m=6M/c2. Since Reritical 13 related to Peritical the density by

$$M = \frac{4}{3} \pi \Lambda_{er}^{3} \rho_{er} => \rho_{er}^{-1}$$

$$\Lambda_{er} = \left(\frac{3}{4} \pi \frac{M}{\rho_{er}}\right)^{1/3}$$

ALSO
$$Aeritical = \frac{GM}{C^2}$$

Therefore EQUATENG The Two EQUATIONS

$$\left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\frac{M}{\rho cr}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{G}{C^{2}}$$

$$\left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\frac{M}{\rho cr}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{G}{4\pi}\frac{G}{G^{3}}M^{2}$$

For the SUN

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left(\begin{array}{c} C & F & F & F \\ \hline \\ C & F & F & F \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \right)^{2} & = & \frac{3}{4 \, \Pi} & \frac{\left(\begin{array}{c} 3 \times 10^8 \, \text{m/sec} \right)^6}{\left(\begin{array}{c} 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \right)^3} \times \frac{1}{\left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \times 10^{30} \, \text{Kgm} \right)^2} \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \right)^2 \\ & = & \frac{3}{4 \, \Pi} \times \frac{729}{300 \, \times 4} \times \frac{10^{48}}{10^{-33} \, \times 10^{60}} & \frac{\text{m}^6}{\text{Sec}^6} \times \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\text{Kgm} \cdot \text{m} - \text{M}^2} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \right)^3 \, \text{Kgm}^2 \\ & = & 1.5 \, \times 10^{20} \, \frac{\text{Kgm}}{\text{m}^3} \end{array}$$

BUT WE WON'T WORRY About such densities but rather Pick A NICE REPRESENTATIVE density of our Iron filling UNIVERSE TO be MAY BE IGRAM/CC. WITH THIS WE CAN THEN FIND THE MASS M OF THE BALL. BUT IF WE LET THIS BALL START TO FALL IN ON ITSELF, IT WILL GENERATE HEAT, AND THUS RADIATE ENERGY. THUS RADIATED MASS HOWEVER, DOES NOT SOLVE OUR APPARENT INFINITY. THIS

POSSIBILITY BODES MIGHT SUGGEST SOME UNKNOWN FORCE FOR IS SUBSEQUENTLY GENERATED TO BUCK THE GRAVITATION AL COLLAPSE.

If we rode one of These IRON filings in, we could N'T Distinguish when we passed The critical RADIUS. Certain tidal forces would be exerted on us but we could locally remove These as we have discussed. But with The volume we are dealing TE with These are higher order effects so we are safe to say no Apparent Jolt or rumblings are felt at That critical POINT - Every ThING KEEPS FIGHT ON GOING DOWM.

As The BALL Shrinks it will EMIT LIGHT from its surface AND TRAVELS radially but it GETS SLOWED DOWN AND BENT Around. Thus The LIGHT LOOKS redder AND redder. WE UISUALIZETHE MAYS LOOKING LIKE,





WE WOULD THEN EXPECT THAT EVENTUALLY LIGHT WOULD bE BENT BACK TO THE SURFACE IN SUCH A WAY THAT AS WE LOOK AT THE BALL IT WOULD APPEAR TO BE GETTING BIGGER AND DIGGER WHILE IT GOT REDDER FIND REDDER. A SKETCH MIGHT MAKE THIS CLEARER,

AT SOME Proper TIME TO THE MAN ON THE IVON FILING HE WILL CEASE TO EMITT LIGHT BECAUSE IT WILL ALL FALL BACK TO THE SURFACE. So THE OBSERVER AT O SAYS HE DISAPPEARS. BUT FROM THE INSIDE HE HAS A FINITE TIME AT WHICH HE STOPS EMITTING. MORE PrOPER THAN SAYING THE MASS DISAPPEARS AS O LOOKS OUT THERE IS AN EXPONENTIAL COLLAPSE OVER AN FINITE INFINITE TIME PERIOD.



IMAGINE OUT FRIEND DANCING ON THE ITON FILING. TO O THE GUY DANCES SLOWER AND SLOWER UNTIL EACH MOTION TAKES ALMOST AN INFINITE TIME TO EXECUTE. FINALLY WHEN LIGHT INVERTS ITSELF; IT LOOKS TO O LIKE THE GUY WAS FROZEN IN AN ENDLESS MOVEMENT. THUS ONLY TO THE MAN AT & P IS THERE ANY THING UNIQUE ABOUT TIME, SPECIFICALLY WHEN HE PASSES INTO THE REGION OF R<M. SINCE LIGHT FROM THE PAST MAY STILL REACH HIM AT P; HE IS UNFORTUNATELY TRAPPED TO HIS DOOM SINCE HE CAN'T SIGNAL FOR HELP. NOW OUR IFON FILING FRIEND MUST ST FACE THE PROBLEM OF R APPROACHING ZERO AT WHICH POINT THE TIDAL FORCES WOULD BE COME INFINITE AND HE WOULD BE SMASHED TO DEATH, SUPPOSING HE OOT THAT FALL IN THE FIRST PLACE. THIS, INDEED, IS A PROBLEM WHICH WE MUST SOME HOW REASON OURSELVES OUT OF.

THAT'S really NOT TO HARD TO SOLUE; IF WE MAKE A TRANSFORMSATION Which removes The SINGULARITY AT A=0. But we're sjust GOING TO GIVE ourselves head aches somewhere else so That's NOT A Good Idea. Some dREAMERS have conceived a squashed ball so That The energy Arising from The GRAVITATIONAL CONTRACTION Would be spit out AT The EQUATOR IN SOME CENTRIFUGAL Force MANNER. THAT REQUIRES + A LOT OF ENERGY for A SQUIRT TO SAVE THE DAY AND SO THIS ISN'T TOO MUCH of A THEORY

There is ANOTHER THEORY UNIQUELY CALLED THE ALRIGHT-JACK THEORY, THIS STATES THAT SINCE THE OBSERVER O CAN'T PREDICT WHAT HAPPENS BEYOND A CERTAIN POINT WHY WORRY About THE OTHER GUY'S PRODIEM AS LONG AS I'M 'ALRIGHT-JACK!' WELL, THIS ARGUMENT SORT OF BEATS AROUND THE BUSH BY NOT ANSWERING ANYTHING.

The QUESTION of whether There is A proper TIME INSIDE The sphere IS A TRICKY ONE AND DOES NEED SOME THOUGHT.
IT would be possible to Perhaps, verify The EXISTENCE of ONE of These 'BLACK STARS' IF IT WERY A COMPANION TO ANOTher STAR Which IS VISIBLE AND WHICH TOGETHER MAKES UP A BINARY SYSTEM. IF A BLACK STAR WERE Effecting The ROTATION OF ANOTher VISIBLE STAR, WE MIGHT FIND IT BY OBSERVING THE PERTUrbed OR BIT AND CALCULATING THE MASS NEEded TO ACCOUNT FOR THE ERRORS. See figure below OF COURSE AN EASIER WAY WOULD BE TO USE THE BRAVITATION LENS effect THAT EINSTEIN DESCRIBED. BUT TO FIND ANOTHER LIGHT SOURCE BEHIND THE DARK STARK GO ITS LIGHT PASSED CLOSE BY THE UNSEEN MASS ENOUGH TO BE DENT IS HIGHLY IMPROBABLE BUT NOT IMPOSSIBLE. NOT IMPOSSIBLE.

Some Bright ThINKING ASTronomers have claimed That if A black star has a mass greater Than something like 1.44 sun masses, Then under normal pressures nothing would prevent The collapse of The star. The super nouae and unriable stars Appear to Throw off mass in what These Theorist claim is an attempt to get down to The 1.44 equilibrium condition. But Again This atgument is poor because it says The eas Explosions are caused by the mass being greater Than 1.44. In other words God is causing The Explosion 50 we won't be worried.

Well, THAT'S BILL I WANT TO SAY AboUT GRAVITY AND COSMOLOGY WHICH IS MORE THAN WHAT I INTEND OUT - TO GO DACK TO THE OUTLINE, GALAXIES ARE TO BE DISCUSSED NEXT. SINCE, HOWEVER, VERY LIKE IS UNDERSTOOD A DOUT GALACTIC THEORY WE WILL PUT THIS TOPIC ASIDE AND GO TO THE DISCUSSION OF STARS AND TALK AboUT THE THEORY OF THE INTERNAL CONSTITUTION OF STARS.

58

Hubbble image





Since black holes by their very definition cannot be directly observed, proving their existence is difficult. The strongest evidence for black holes comes from binary systems in which a visible star can be shown to be orbiting a massive but unseen companion. The indirect evidence for the black hole Cygnus X-1 is a good example of the search for black holes.

CHAPTER 4

INTERIOR STRUCTURE OF STARS

There ARE ALL SORTS of STARS: PUISATING SUPER NOUAE, MAIN SEQUENCE, red GIANTS, white dwarts, etc. As it turns out we know more A BOUT WHAT'S INSIDE These Things THAN WE do About The STRUCTURE OF THE EARTH AND THE MOON.

So how do we hope to study About The INSIDE of So ThereSUN when All we see is its surface. We can start by using The Theory of MATTER CONTRACTING, GENERATING HEAT, AND TADIATING ENERGY SIMILARLY AS WE JUST DID. SINCE About 1860 The ANALYSIS HAS be CARRIED OUT WITH A GAS BALL ANALOGY. THAT IS, TO STUDY THE STABLE CONFIGURATION which PREVAILS BECAUSE of SOME BEAUTIFUL TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION INSIDE IT. SO WE WILL STUDY THE SUN BY CONSIDERING AT EVERY DEPTH THE MATTER BE HAVES LIKE A PERFECT GAS. WE THEN MUST HAVE A RELATION EXISTS IN THE FORM,

where M is The molecular weight, T is TemperAture, pis The density, AND P The pressure, Due to GASES.

If we consider The SUN APProxIMATELY SPHERICALLY SYMMETFICAL THEN WE MUST HAVE

 $\frac{dP}{d\lambda} = \frac{GP(\lambda)M(\lambda)}{\lambda^{2}}$ where $P = P_{GAS} + P_{FA} d_{IATION}$ so The forces balance out on two layers and where M(r) is The MASS INSIDE of Λ and is JUST The NEWTONIAN GRAVITY Effect. So we don't Bother with ANY relativistic MASSES.

Also we KNOW,

 $\frac{dM}{dn} = 4\pi\rho n^2$

If we could connect PANdp, we would be done but we have the temperature dependency conting in which in is also height dependent.

WE CAN FIND THE ENERGY GENERATION DUE TO MADIATION IN TERMS of The LUMINOUS FLUX FLOWING OUT OF R, I.E., LET

where

L(R)= Lo = TOTAL LUMINOSITY of The STAR

WE CAN NEXT CALCULATE,

where E(R) The PATE of ENERGY GENERATION PER UNIT MASS AT RAdius R. GENERALLY E(R) is A function of density, TEMPERATURE AND COMPOSITION,

$$\epsilon = \epsilon(\rho, T, \mu)$$

THIS ENERGY GENERATION IS FROM THE THEORY OF NUCLEAR ENERGY GENERATION. WE WILL CONSIDER THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION TO BE CONSTANT AND NOT VARY WITH THE RADIUS FROM THE CENTER

There MUST ALSO BE A TEMPERATURE GRAdient resulting from The heat Flow SO WE RELATE THE TEMPERATURE TO THE LUMINOUS FLUX by

$$\frac{dP_{rAd}}{dr} = \frac{K\rho L(r)}{4\pi r^2 c}$$

where Prad = 1 at 4 And is the pressure due to radiation

AND K is the opacity and measures how hard it is for the heat to work its way out of the ball. General,

$$K = K(T, p, \mu)$$

The INTRODUCTION OF THIS TERM NECESSITATES ANOTHER THEORY OF rAdiated heat Transfer.

NOW WE ASK IF OUR FORMULAE ARE SUFFICIENT TO SOLVE THE EQUATIONS AND THEN COMPLETELY DESCRIBE THE BEHAVIOR ON THE GUTS OF THE STAR. SO WE WANT TO KNOW HOW THE HEAT IS TRANSFERED. WHENEVER A TEMPERATURE GRADIENT EXISTS IN A body THERE IS A NET TRANSFER OF HEAT OF THERMAL ENERGY FROM THE WARMER TO THE COLDER REGIONS AS THE BODY SEEKS EQUILIBRIUM. WE HAVE JUST DESCRIBED HEAT TRANSFER BY CONDUCTION.

ALSO, THERE IS A TRANSFER OF HEAT THROUGH THE ACTION OF MOVING FLUID OR GASEOUS MATERIAL. THIS IS CONVECTION AND FREE OR NATURAL CONVECTION IS WHERE THE MOTION IS PRINCIPALLY DUE TO GRAVITY ACTING ON THE DENSITY differences due TO FLUID EXPANSION.

While CONDUCTION IS NOT SIGNIFICANT IN STELLER PROCESSES, CONVECTION MOST CERTAINLY IS. ON THIS CONCLUSION WE MUST REALIZE WE HAVE NOT CONSTRUCTED A SATISFACTORY THEORY OF CONVECTION TO ALLOW US TO SOLVE THE EQUATIONS.

WE WILL HAVE TO TAKE A CLOSER LOOK AT THESE PROCESSES TO EXAMINE THEIR NATURE AND INTERDEPENDENCIES. WE NEED tO KNOW Such THINGS AS HOW THE OPACITY DEPENDS ON THE COMPOSITION; HOW E(R) VARIES WITH TEMPERATURE, ETC. WE'LL STAR THERE NEXT TIME.

LAST TIME WE WERE DISCUSSING THE STUFF INSIDE THE STARS AND HOW IT GOT OUT. WE GAW THE PRESSURE GRADIENT WAS GIVEN AS

 $\frac{dP(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = -\frac{GM(\lambda)}{\lambda^2}p(\lambda)$

where

P = PGAS + PLADIATION = TOTAL PRESSURE

NOW WE CAN FIND THE PGAS From The GAS EQUATION

PV = NRT

where V=volume R=universal constant T= Temperature REALIZING THAT The NUMber of molecules $N = \frac{1}{\mu}$, The Pressure is Given AS

 $P = \frac{RT\rho}{\overline{u}}$

where p = density = 1/V And T = MEAN MOLECULAR WEIGHT Now if we have A MIXTURE of TWO ELEMENTS, SAY, UI AND UZ, THEN LET X AND 1-X be THE RESPECTIVE FRONTION PRESENT IN IMOLE. THUS

$$P_1 V = X R \Gamma$$

 $P_2 V = (I - X) R \Gamma$

BY The LAW of PARTIAL pressures. Then The Number of GRAMS is

 $X U_1 + (1 - x) U_2$

So That ,

$$\rho = \frac{X u_1 + (1 - X) u_2}{V}$$

So our MEAN MOLECULAR WEIGHT, I, is defined to be,

 $\overline{u} = X u_1 + (1 - X) u_2$

The MEAN MOLECULAR STUFF IN The STARS IS Grouped INTO THREE PARTS, HYDROGEN, HELIUM, AND ALL THE OTHER ELEMENTS (O, Na, Mg, Si, K, Ca, Fe). WE CAN THEN ASSOCIATE A MASS FRACTION, LIKE WE did ADOUE, TO EACH OF THESE PARTS SUCH THAT

> X = fraction of Hydrogen Y = " " Helium I-x-Y = " " OTher stuff

WE CHOOSE This SpecificATION because There is some much hydroben and helium That The other part constitutes really A SMALL FRACTION of The STAR'S MASS. This fraction will become LATTER As we discuss the creation Through fusion of the higher Elements. SINCE I ELECTRON AND ONE NUCLEUS MAKE UP A HYDROGEN ATOM, WE SAY IT HAS A MEAN MOLECULAR WEIGHT OF Z. FOR HELIUM WHACH HAS 2 ELECTRONS, I NUCLEUS, AND 4 MASS UNITS, WE SAY. IT HAS A $U = {}^{3}/4$. Then for The HIGHER ELEMENTS THE MASS IS ROUGHLY TWICE THE CHARGE SO WE HAVE $U = {}^{2}/2$. The USUAL WAY TO DENOTE μ is by I _____ Z

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{2x + \frac{3}{4}y + \frac{1}{2}(1 - x - y)} = \frac{\lambda}{1 + 3x - \frac{1}{2}y}$$

THIS TOTAL MEAN MOLECULAR WEIGHT IS A GOOD APPROXIMATION WHILE IT IS SLIGHTLY TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT.

THE rADIATION PRESSURE, PARE IS GIVEN AS ARISING FROM BLACK BODY RADIATION WHICH, OF COURSE, IS NOT THE CASE

LAST TIME WE STATED THAT WITH THE TWO EQUATIONS OF $\frac{dP(r)}{dr} = -\frac{GM(r)}{r^2}\rho(r) \quad And \quad \frac{dM(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r)$

IF WE hAD A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRESSURE AND DENSITY "WOULD be Through. BUT WE NOW HAVE THE TEMPERATURE ENTERING INTO our definition of p so we must know the METHOD of The LEAT FLOW. To do This we saw we were led to two more EQUATIONS INVOLVING The OPACITY AND ENERGY GENERATION, I.E.

 $\frac{dR(\Lambda)}{d\Lambda} = -\frac{K(t,p)pL(\Lambda)}{4\pi\Lambda^{2}c} \qquad \frac{dL(\Lambda)}{d\Lambda} = 4\pi\Lambda^{2}E(\Lambda)p(\Lambda)$

where The TERM <u>dL(A)</u> Arises from The ENERGY Production between rand r+dr. <u>d</u>A

WE CAN ESTABLISH SOME RATHER LOOSELY INTERPETTED BOONDARY CONDITION AT THE CENTER AND AT THE SULFACE, I.E.,

AT CENTER P=Pc T=Tc p=pc l=lc=0AT surface $P(R_0)=0$ $T(R_0)^2T_0$ $p=p(R_0)=0$ $L(R_0)=lo$

where Lo = TOTAL AMOUNT OF ENERGY RADIATION From The STAR AND TO SAY P(RO) = O depends on how serious we TAKE The LAWS AS WE SHALL SEE DECAUSE IT IS HARD TO define The Surface AND CONSEQUENTLY THE SURFACE FROM THE ATMOSPHERE

The OPACITY is defined to be bisser for more opaque MATERIAL which IMPLIES A GREATER TEMPERATURE GRADIONT. The ENERGY GENERATION ECT) IS A RESULT OF NUCLEAR GENERATION. The WHOLE QUESTION OF DEFINING THE SURFACE LEADS TO A GREAT DEAL OF CONFUSION. THE ATMOSPHERE IS DEFINED IN TERMS OF THE MEAN FREE PATH OF A PHOTON AND HOW FAR IT MAKES IT THROUGH THE LAMERS OF GAS.

The reason why we see spectral lines from The STAR Arises from The fact That Throuchout The Gasfous Atmosphere There Are Iso Therms, Levels of constant Temperature. When a LIGHT of CerTAIN while leworn PENETRATES These levels, Excitation Accurs when The Temperature IS JUST FIGHT TO CAUSE Adsorptions. So we Actually SEE Various LAYERS IN The Atmosphere.

HOWEVER, FOR THE MAIN SEQUENCE STARS WE dON'T WORRY TOO MUCH About The EACT POSITION OF THE SURFACE because The flux which we calculated to to radiate from The star was integrated over the range of A. Since we obtain, SAY, 99% of to after hundred meters or so from The surface, The TOTAL CONTRIBUTION TO The NET FLUX OUT IS SO SMALL THAT WE IGNORE IT. SO WE SHOULD REALLY TALK About Some Effective Temper-ATURE of The Surface which from The BLACK body radiation SAYS,

$$\frac{Lo}{4\pi R_0^2} = \sigma T q$$

This Teff is what the Astronomers MEASURE And WITH A CALCULATION of LO AND THE DISTANCE TO THE OBJECT AS WE DETERMINE EATLIEF IN THE COURSE WE CAN FIND RO. SO THE EFFECTIVE TEMPERATURE IS JUST A MEASURE OF HOW MUCH STUFF COMES OUT DE UNEF AREA.

There Are A class of STARS which This APProximation would work for ANIT hat's The Red GIATNITS. For These STARS THAT have become helium burners And condensed to A hard dense core but in The Process blew out A LARGE AMOUNT OF MATTER. SINCE ITS TOTAL radius Ro MIGHT be A 1000 TIMES THET of The SUN, ITS TELF IS lower because of The Above relationship. At ANY rate we could still CARRY out The differentiation And find still perhaps 95% of The MASS IN The hard core but The extra 5°10 is so Spread out That we really MISS The radius IN This case.

As AN ASIDE, The QUESTION of WHAT HAPPENS After The Helium is GONE IS AN INTERESTING ONE. TO BURN THE HIGHER ELEMENT WITH HIGHER IONIZATION POTENTIALS THEY HAVE TO GET HOTTER AND HOTTER, WHAT HAPPENS IS WHEN THE HELIUM IS GOME IS THAT CHAOS SETS IN OUR BETTER YET IGNORANCE. THAT IS, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENS. White dwarfs are so dense that They Are white even Though They are cooling off, i.e., The radius is so SMALL SAY OF THE Order of The EARTH AND MASS OF THE SUN, THAT THEY PUT OUT A LOT OF ENERGY PER UNIT AREA. THE ANSWER OF HOW THE RED GIANTS FURN INTO WHITE DWARTS IS A FRITY TALE WILL TELL LATERS.



Mira

NOW WHAT ABOUT CONVECTION? IF THE STAR HAS A HOTTER CENTER AND A COOLER SURFACE SO THAT THE TEMPERATURE FALLS AS THE MATERIAL MOVES OUT, DOESNIT THIS MEAN WE HAVE TO THAVE CONVECTION? THE SOLUTION OF ANSWER TO THIS IS NOT AS SIMPLE AS THE CASE OF LOT AIR FISING AND COOL AIR FALLING.

Suppose we have A box of MATTER AT Level PI, I.E., CONSTANT pressure And we carry it to Level Pz. As the pressure is reduced the MATTERE EXPANDS. The importance Question is how the temperature IT has there compare with the MATTER THAT is Already There. IS IT couler or hoster THAN the Neighboring Stuff at P2? If it is hoster, it will float There And if it is less dense it will 60 on up. So the QUESTION of convection is considered by EXAMINING THE Local Temperatures.

IF WE CONSIDER PRAD. = O, THEN THE PRESSURE OF THE GAS FOR ADIADATIC EXPANSION IS GIVEN by

P=pr

where Y= 5/3 for A MONATOMIC NON-relativistic GAS

TO DETERMINE how much The TEMPERATURE WILL CHANGE WITH PRESSURE WE find

$$\frac{P}{\rho} = \rho^{\delta-1} \approx T \approx P \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}$$

CONSIDER AGAIN OUR TWO PRESSURE LEVELS, There will be a critical CONDITION AT Which CONVECTION WILL OCCUP AND THAT IS.

$$\frac{P_{2}}{P_{1}} = \left(\frac{P_{2}}{C}\right)^{T} = \left(\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}}\right)^{\frac{T}{T}}$$

DifferentiALLY,

OR

 $\frac{1}{\frac{dP}{DR}} \leq \frac{V-1}{V}$ As The CONVECTION CONVECTION. $\frac{1}{T} \frac{dT}{dR} \leq \frac{V-1}{V}$

When The LESS THATMINEQUALITY HOLDS THE CONDITION IS STADILY THAT IS, IF TI IS HIGHER THAN TI THERE WON'T BE CONVECTION. CONVECTION THEN OCCURS IN ALL THE MAIN SEQUENCE STARS. BUT EVEN ALITILE CONVECTION MEANS THE HEAT DISTRIBUTION IS DRASTICALLY CHANGED. WE WILL ASSUME FOR CONVECTIVE REGIONS WHERE THE FORCE NEEDED TO OVER COME THE VISCOUS DRAG OF THE MATERIAL IS NOT TOO GREAT, THE MACHANERY INVOLVED IN CARRYING THE HEAT IS NOT IMPORTANT.

Τ,

As we mentioned before, if we had a relationship between the pressure and density, our TASK would be essentially. All need be calculated would be the first pair of equations on page 62.

Well, with some guess work, i.e., using someone elses supposition let, $P = Ap\left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{n}}{n}\right)$ where A is some constant

AND LET THIS dISTRIBUTION HOLD THROUGHOUT THE STAR. THIS WILL BE OUR MODEL WHICH WE CAN USE TO EXAMINE THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS THIS EQUATION WILL HOLD IN FOR THE CONVECTIVE REGIONS AND EVEN for white dwarfs it is Almost a Good GUESS. This is CALLED THE EddINGTON MODEL TO LAY PRAISE OF BLAME IF NEED DE.

SO TO BEGIN WITH From,

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{GM(\lambda)}{\lambda^2}\rho(\lambda)$$

we find

$$M(\lambda) = -\frac{\lambda^2}{Gp(\lambda)} \frac{dP}{d\lambda}$$

Then from

$$\frac{d}{dn} = 4\pi \lambda^{2} \frac{d}{dn} p(\lambda) \quad \text{we get}$$

$$\frac{d}{dn} \left[-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{Gp(\lambda)} \frac{dP}{dn} \right] = +4\pi \lambda^{2} \frac{g}{p} p(\lambda)G$$

where The Pressure is the About Expression,

$$P = A \rho^{(1+\frac{1}{n})}$$

The CONSTANT A DEING THE defined As The UALUE of P/p(1+1/n) AT THE CENTER, I.E.,

$$A = \frac{P_c}{\rho_c^{(1+\frac{1}{2}n)}}$$

If we now Assume for SIMPLICITY, The density UATIES AS

$$p = p_c \Theta^n$$
 where $\Theta = \Theta(r_c)$

Then

AND WE OBTAIN

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{r^2}{\rho_c \Theta^n G} \frac{d \Theta(n)}{dr} \right] = -4\pi r^2 G \rho_c \Theta^n$$

This CAN be SIMPLIFIED TO,

$$\frac{P_{c}(n+1)}{4\pi\rho_{c}G}\frac{d}{d\pi}\left(\pi^{2}\frac{d\theta}{d\pi}\right) = -\Theta^{n}$$

If we introduce the NEW VARIABLES,

$$\Lambda = \alpha \xi \qquad \alpha = \left[\frac{4\pi \rho_c^2 G}{P_c (n + i)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\frac{P_c (n + i)}{4\pi \rho_c^2 G} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where 'a' has the demension of LENGTH

So we Now have,

$$\frac{d}{\xi^2} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} \right) = -\theta^n$$

This EQUATION IS CALLED THE LANE-EMDEN EQUATION OF INDEX N. The EQUATION GOVERNS THE DENSITY DISTRIBUTION IN ANY REGION WHERE R AND 'Q' ARE GIVEN BY THE ABOVE VALUES. THE EQUATION MOST HOLD THROUGHOUT THE MASS AND BE SUBJECTED TO THE FOLLOWING BOUNDARY CONDITIONS,

$$\theta = 1$$
; $\frac{d\theta}{d\xi} = 0$ AT $\xi = 0$

Once N, The INDEX, IS FIXED THE SOLUTION TO THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION IS OBTAINED. THE RESULTS HOLD FOR MANY STARS WHERE THE STANDARD MODEL HOLDS OF IS A GOOD APPROXIMATION.

The LANG-EMDEN FUNCTION CAN be CONSTRUCTED FROM A SERIES EXPANSION NEAR THE ORIGIN, I.E., A SERIES OF THE FORM,

 $\Theta = 1 + \alpha S^2 + dS^4 + \cdots$

This Equisities must satisfy the above boundary condition; so There is no giterm since doldg = 0 at the origin. Thus we only get even a powers of g. Upon substitution into The L-E EQUATION And EQUATING coefficients,

 $\Theta = 1 - \frac{1}{6}S^{2} + \frac{n}{120}S^{4} + \dots$

IN ALL THIS discussion of The GUTS of The STARS THERE ARE THREE QUANTITIES WE ARE MOST INTERESTED IN: The radius, MASS, AND LUMINOSITY. WE CAN EASILY DEFINE THE RADIUS R TO BE SIMPLY

$$R = \alpha S_0 = \left[\frac{P_c(n_{ti})}{4\pi \rho_c^2 G}\right]^{th} S_0$$

where So defines the first order zero of On. And Thus is AssociATED with the radius of the STAR.

A representative Graph of O vs. & MiGht be:





To FIND The MASS M(R) we use The differential Equation for M(2) Expressed as A function of S, I.E., M(S)

$$M(\xi) = \int_{0}^{\alpha \xi} 4\pi \lambda^{2} \rho(\Lambda) d\Lambda$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\alpha \xi} 4\pi \alpha^{2} \xi^{2} \rho_{c} \Theta^{n} \alpha d\xi$$

SINCE

0

$$\Theta^{n} = -\frac{1}{5^{2}} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(S^{2} \frac{d\Theta}{d\xi} \right)$$

$$M(\xi) = -4\pi\alpha^{3} \rho_{c} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(S^{2} \frac{d\Theta}{d\xi} \right) d\xi$$

Then,

$$M(S_{q}) = -4\pi a^{3} \rho_{c} S_{d}^{2} \frac{d\theta}{dS_{d}}$$

SUBSTITUTING BACK OUT TOTAL EXPRESSION FOR Q

$$M(S_{\delta}) = -4\pi \rho_{c} \left[\frac{P_{c}(n+1)}{4\pi \rho_{c}^{2}G} \right]^{3/2} S_{\delta}^{2} \frac{d\Theta}{dS_{\delta}}$$
$$M(S_{\delta}) = -\left[\frac{(4\pi)P_{c}(n+1)}{G} \right]^{3/2} \frac{S_{\delta}^{2}}{\rho_{c}^{2}} \frac{d\Theta}{dS_{\delta}}$$

ANd

WE NOW SEE THE MASS OF THE STAR IS ONLY DEPENDENT UPON THE CENTRAL PRESSURE PC AND UNDEPENDENT OF THE CRITICAL PRESSURE, pc. ALSO WE MUST KNOW THE SLOPE OF OVS SE AT So.

For A GIVEN R WE CAN define some AVERAGE density $\overline{\rho}$ of the star to be $\overline{\rho} = M(R)$

OR

$$\frac{4\pi}{3}R^{3}$$

$$\overline{\rho(\xi)} = \frac{M(\xi)}{\frac{4}{3}\pi\alpha^{3}\xi^{3}}$$

$$\overline{\rho(\xi_{0})} = -\frac{3}{\xi_{0}}\rho_{c}\left(\frac{d\Theta}{d\xi_{0}}\right)$$

FROM THE LAST EXPRESSION PC CAN be EXPRESSED IN TERMS OF THIS MEAN density, i.e.,

$$P_{c} = -\frac{\xi_{\bullet}}{3\left(\frac{d\theta}{d\xi_{o}}\right)}$$

DEVIATING A MOMENT FROM OUR MASS, rAdius, LUMINOSITY STUDY, WE should NOTE WHAT A MESS WE'VE GOT. IT'S A MESS because of ALL the INTER dependencies. If we choose to eliminate ANY ONE UARIABLE, which we can bo, There is still A Difficult TASK TO UNTWINE THE Differential EQUATIONS. WE HAVEN'T REALLY DEALT WITH THE OPACITY AND ENERGY GENERATION FACTORS WHICH FURTHER COMPLICATE MATTERS because of Their dependencies on Temperature, Pressure, DISTANCE, ETC. If we Eliminate, for INSTANCE PC, we Then DON'T KNOW Pc.

LOOKING INTO THE CENTRAL PRESSURE A LITTLE DEEPER, WE RECALL FROM PAGE GI THAT P= RTP/W. AT THE CENTER WE HAVE SOME CENTRAL TEMPERATURE To so THAT IF WE CONSIDER MOST OF THE PRESSURE IN THE STAR ARISES FROM THE GAS AT THE CENTER, WE., P. RADIATION IS NEGLIGIBLE. THE OUR WARIABLE 'Q' becomes

$$\alpha = \left[\frac{RT_{c}(n+i)}{4\pi G\mu\rho c} \right]^{4n}$$

SINCE So is JUST SOME NUMERICAL FACTOR RESULTING FROM THE L-E EQUATION FOR A GIVEN TI, WE CAN SUCK ALL THE CONSTANTS UP INTO THIS TERM AND FIND,

$$R \propto a \propto \left(\frac{T_c}{\mu \rho_c}\right)^{\prime \prime}$$

SIMILARLY WE CAN OBTAIN,

$$M(R) \propto \frac{T_c^{3/2}}{\rho_c^{1/2} \mu^{3/2}}$$

ELIMINATING pc from The Above Two expressions,

This MASS-radius rATIO IS USEFUL be CAUSE ObSERVATIONALLY IT CAN be determine And Thus GIVE The CENTRAL TEMPERATURE OF The JUICE. Eddingtion was, This way, Abbe to predict the inserior of the SUN had a Te of 20,000,000°.

From This ratio we see something interesting if we simply multiply by G, The universal Gravitation constant,

That is, we get,

where we recall GM/R to be The GRAVITATIONAL POTENTIAL of The STAR. If we DO This Then we CAN SAY THAT THE GRAVITATIONAL POTENTIAL ENERGY EQUALS THE THERMAL ENERGY. It The STAR IS IN EQUILIBRIUM, I.E., The ENERGY GENERATION EIR IS ZETO AND THE OPACITY K IS INFINITE SO NOTHING LEAKS OUT WE CAN APPLY THE VIRIAL THEOREM of Thermodynamics. This Theorem is concerned with the average Kinetic ENERGY OF THE PARTICLES IN A SYSTEM. WHEN THE GAS BALL IS IN EQUILIBRIUM AND THE PRESSURE IS DUE TO KINETIC ENERGY AND Prad. IS NEGLIGIBLE, There is only A Lot of MOLECULES ATTRACTING EACHOTHER AND HOLDING THEMSELVES TOGETHER. SINCE THE FORCES ARISING ARE ONLY FROM INTERACTING PAIRS OF PARTICLES, WE CAN MRITE THIS FORCE AS A GRADIENT OF SOME POTENTIAL ENERGY. WE have then when the attraction only depends on the separation DISTANCE T

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathbf{E}} = \langle \Sigma \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{i} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{V}}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{ij}) \rangle_{AVE}$$

where T: is the position vector of The it particle And VRi; The FORCE ARISING ON THE IT PARTICLE FROM ALL THE OTHER PARTICLES.

When the POTENTIAL is of the 1/2 form, the Gradient of This Gives - The gradient of this Gives - The gradient of the Gives - T SO A. - A YIELD - I WHICH IS AGAIN THE POTENTIAL ENERGY V.

WE NOW KNOW THAT

This cives us some idea how Much work IT TAKES TO COMPRESS THE GAS COMPARED TO HOW MUCH IT TAKES TO DE COMPRESS IT.

RETURINING NOW TO THE MASS-FAdius-LUMINOSITY DISCUSSION, WE WANT TO SET UP SOME PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF THE LUMINOSITY. IT IS REALLY PRELIMINARY BECAUSE OF ALL THE UNCERTAINTIES INVOLVED IN THE EVALUATION. If we had the temperature Distribution in the star and KNEW The opacity, The LUMINOSITY COULD be found from

$$\frac{d P(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = - \frac{K P L(\lambda)}{4\pi \lambda^2 C}$$

Recalling THAT THE OPACITY WAS A FUNCTION OF THE DENSITY, TEMPERATURE And chemical composition, EVERYTHING LOOKS IMPOSSIBLE. INDEED IF IT weren'T for A MIRACLE THAT GAVE THE pressure The VALUE of,

$$P = \rho^{1+m}$$

All would be LosT.

WITH THIS MODEL EDDINGTON WAS ABLE TO FIND, SOMEHOW, A TELATION for The OPACITY,

$$K = \frac{K_0 \rho}{T^{3.5}} q$$

where The g is some factor about equal to one that is inserted because The formula is not right without it.

For radiative equilibrium we had the equation

$$\frac{dPrAd}{dR} = - \frac{L(R)Kp}{4\pi R^2 c}$$

SINCE Prad = fat we bet

$$\frac{1}{3}a \frac{d T^4}{d r} = - \frac{\kappa_0 \rho_9}{T^{3.5} r}$$

For our Prelimary Evaluation we assume that L is proportion at to The TOTAL LUMINOSITY and The Temperature T⁴ is proportional to the Temperature at the center Tc⁴. From These assumptions we find

$$T_{c}^{*} \sim \frac{K_{o} \rho_{c}^{2} L}{T_{c}^{3.5} R}$$

Recalling from PAGE 68 THAT $R \sim \left(\frac{T_c}{\mu p_c}\right)^{1/2}$ or $P_c \sim \frac{T_c}{\mu R^2}$ Then,

$$T_{o}^{4} \sim \frac{K_{o}}{\mu^{2} R^{5}} T_{c}^{3.5} \sim \frac{K_{o}}{\mu^{2}} \frac{L}{T_{c}^{1.5}} \frac{L}{R^{5}}$$

$$L \sim \mu^{2} T_{c}^{5.5} R^{5}$$

$$\overline{K_{o}}$$

or

WE CAN NOW ELIMINATE To because we showed $\frac{M}{R} \sim \frac{T_c}{M}$ AND WE find GET AS AN APProximate expression for L,

$$L = \frac{\mu^{7.5} M^{5.5}}{K_{\circ} R^{\circ.5}}$$

This expression tells us that The Luminosity is VERY SENSITIVE To the chemical composition. Also it then follows it is fairly sensitive to the MASS. This expression is NOT too bad for a Rouch calculation. Empirically the Luminosity Goes as the fourth power of the MASS while of course, the mean molecular weight is NOT KNOWN. ANYWAY OUR GUESS THAT STARS ARE DIG GASS balls looks right.

Image of galaxy NGC 4945 showing the huge luminosity of the central few star clusters, suggesting there are 10 to 100 supergiant stars in each of these, packed into regions just a few parsecs across.

EDDINGTON had A LITTLE TRICK be devised to solved the four differential EQUATIONS WE have been DiscussING. The Trick INVOLVED AN ANSATZ, I.E., AN Assumption THAT'S OKAY. COLLECTING THE FOUR FUNDAMENTAL EQUATIONS OF STELLAR STRUCTURE WE HAVE.

(i). The EQUATION of hyprostatic EQUILIBRIUM,

$$\frac{dP}{dL} = -\frac{GM(L)}{R^2}\rho \qquad \text{where } P = P_{GRS} + P_{Rad}.$$

$$= \frac{\rho RT}{\overline{u}} + \frac{1}{3}aT^4$$

(22). The EQUATION FOR CONSERVATION of MASS,

$$\frac{dM(R)}{dR} = 4\pi r^{2} \rho(R)$$

(iii) The LUMINOSITY EQUATION,

$$\frac{dL(n)}{dn} = 4\pi \Lambda^{2} \epsilon(n)$$

(iv). The EQUATION FOR rADIATIVE EQUILIBRIUM,

$$\frac{dP_{TAd}}{dr} = -\frac{K\rho L(r)}{4\pi r^2}$$

What Eddington DID was to observe The obvious SYMMETRY of These EQUATIONS. IT would be nice if L(R)~ M(R) Then EQUATIONS is And is would be ANALOGOUS. As would i And iv. By INTroducing The QUANFITY M(R) AS,

$$\eta(n) = \frac{L(n)}{M(n)} / L_0 / m_0$$

where LO AND MO ARE THE LUMINOSITY AND MASS OF THE STAR WE CAN GET SOME INTERESTING RESULT. M(L) CAN BE INTERPETTED AS THE ENERGY GENERATION PER GRAM OF GOOP INSIDE RADIUS T AS COMPARED TO THE WHOLE STAR. FROM THIS RELATIONSHIP EQUATION IV DECOMES,

If by ANOTHER STREAK OF GOOD LUCK M(1) K(1) = CONSTANT, we CAN MAKE A better comparison with (2). First we LET B EQUAL THE NUMBER FRACTION of mome The TOTAL Pressure DUE TO GAS AND 1-B THE PRESSURE from radiation, 1.E.,

where B21 for MOST STARS.

. ~

Now divIDING (2) by iv,

$$\frac{dP_{R}}{dP} = \frac{KL(R)}{4\pi c G M(R)} = \frac{KN}{4\pi c G M} \frac{L}{4\pi c G}$$

INTEGRATING FROM A TO R AND rEQUITING PR=O AT Y=R

$$P_{L} = \frac{Kn}{4\pi c G} \frac{L}{M_{*}} P$$

where Kn is The Average over The STAR Now USING PL=(1-B)P

$$(1-\beta) = \frac{Kn}{4\pi cGMo}$$

OR

$$\overline{Kn} = (1-\beta) 4\pi CG M_{0}$$

The QUANTITY $\eta(\Lambda)$ has been defined so $\eta(R) = 1$ And Thus decreases as you move out from The CENTER. While The opacity GETS BIGGET AS YOU GO OUT because There's more GOOP IN The WAY for The ENERGY TO GET Through. So our exercise has been reasonable in That IT TELLS US THAT MOST of The ENERGY COMES from The Juice AF The CENTER.

GOING A LITTLE FUTTHER ALONG THIS SAME LINE WE CAN WRITE

RECALLING THAT PGAS = PRT AND Prad = 3aT4 So THAT

$$P\frac{RT}{M} = \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)\frac{1}{3}\alpha T^{4}$$

OR

$$P = \frac{\mu}{R} \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right) \frac{1}{3} \alpha T^{3}$$

Since $P \sim T^4$ and $p \sim T^3$ we can find A pressure density relationship, $\frac{P}{R} \sim P^{4/3} = P \sim P^{4/3}$

It we recall our stand and model formula of $P = \rho^{(1+\frac{1}{N})}$ we see for $\mathcal{N} = 3$ the two relations are the same. Thus for n=3we can look up the tables and thus get the luminosity. In this way we succeed in Evaluating L. In addition from Tc we can find Prad. at the center the we can guess at β . From MYR³ ρ_c can be found and then Tc Absolutely. Now let us suppose,

$$K = K_{op} T^{-3-s}$$
 where $S \sim \frac{1}{2}$

ALSO LET

Soluing The EQUATIONS AGAIN WE GET, $L = (\text{constant}) \frac{1}{K_0} \frac{M^{5+5}}{R^5} M^{7+5}$ where the constant is a function of α, δ, s

The QUESTION WOULD Arise NOW IF IT HASN'T ALVERDY, "WHAT Are WE DOING?" WE of COURSE, ARE NOT OUT TO TEST THEORIES IN ASTRONOMY BUT MATHER TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IS GOING ON OUT THERE. BY GATHERING EMPIRICAL DATA ON STARS HEARDY WE CAN MEASURE THERE MASS AND RADIUS FAIRLY WELL, ESPECIALLY IF THE STAR IS DINARY. FROM THIS DATA THE LUMINOSITY CALL BE DETERMINED AND SUBSEQUENTLY AND IDEA AboUT THE MOLECULAR COMPOSITION CAN BE GUESSED INTEL-LIGENTLY. BY HAVING SOME PHYSICAL THEORIES TO CORRELATE WITH THIS DATA FURTHER STARS CAN BE MEASURED AND ANALYZED BY A PROCESS SIMILAR TO MATHEMATICAL INDUCTION, NE., WE PROVE OUR FORMULAE OUT for THE SIMPLEST CASES THEN PROJECT ITS VALIDITY TO LARGE NUMBERS. THUS WE HOPE TO EXPLAIN OUR ODSERVATIONS.

INDEED, IT IS A GREAT ACCOMPLISHMENT OF MANKING TO HAVE SUCH A firm GRIP ON THE INTERIOR COMPOSITION of STARS MILLIONS AND DILLIONS of MILES from US WHEN, IN FACT, WE KNOW PRACTICALLY NOTHING ADOUT THE GROUND DENEATH US.



The White Dwarfs

EATLIET IN OUT COURSE WE SAID WE WANTED TO FIND OUT how RED GIANTS became white dwarfs. To do This ANALYSIS WE MUST KNOW WHAT IS GOING AN INSIDE THESE NOT STATS. WE MENTIONED THAT THEY Are ACTUALLY DYING STARS which have been compressed to RAdii ON The order of The EARTH but have A MASS COMPARABLE TO THE SUN. For These STARS ANY VESIGUAL hEAT ESCAPING from The Surface radiates out over such a small area that the thing looks holler Than hell. But remember its burner has been exhausted and it is actually COOLING off!

SINCE The TemperAture is so high, i.e., of the order of 10' degrees, The pressure is so high That P=p^{1+Yn} is No Longer A SATISFACTORY MODEL. WESTILL HAVE EQUATIONS : AND is but we must find AN EXPRESSION for This highly compressed MATTER. Since the real Thermal radiation is what the sorface, we can discuss the dwarfs AS CONSISTING OF DEGENERATE GAS AT ZERO DEGREES SQUEEZED DY ITSELF AND held TOGETHER by ITS OWN GRAVITY. THE IMPORTANT CONCEPT WE MUST DESCRIBE IS THIS QUANTUM SECRE SQUEERE RATHER THAN YANdom MOTION of The PARTICLE AS IN OUR GASEOUS Theory.

The MATERIAL WE ARE NOW DEALING WITH INVOLVES THE HEAVIER ELEMENTS because to be a white dwarf All The hydrogen and helium must be burnt up. For the higher elements we CAN SAY There Are two MASS UNITS PER ELECTION. WE WANT TO CALCULATE THE EQUATION OF STATE UNDER THIS DIG SQUEEZE.

According to The Exclusion Principle only two electrons can be put INTO THE SAME STATE CORESPONDING TO THE TWO POSSIBLE SPINS. ONCE The STATE IS FILLED. THE ELECTRONS GO TO THE MIGHER LEVELS UNTIL THE UARIOUS Shells Are complete. But the structure of the Atom is utterly Destroyed by The pressure and EveryThing is ionized into free electrons and nuclei.

WE MUST CONSIDER THE QUANTUM - MECHANICS OF JAMMING AN ELECTRON INTO A box of dimensions Q, b And c. Associated with The wave PROPERTIES OF THIS PARTICLE IS A MOMENTUM P,

P = K K

where K is The WAVENUMBER, 21 And & is The WAVELENOTH SINCE THE PARTICLE IS Free As The ENERGY IS KINETIC SO

$$E = \frac{P^{2}}{2m} = \frac{h^{2} K^{2}}{2m}$$
74
$$M \approx 1.0 M_{sun}$$

$$R \approx 5800 \text{ km}$$

$$V_{esc} \approx 0.02c$$

WE DON'T WORRY About THE NUCLEAR FORCES because The electrons GenerATE All of The Pressure Since The nucleus CANNOT be compressed. Only AT ENDEMOUS Pressures would nuclear forces be significant.

For A SPACE CUBE A WAVE FUNCTION CAN be constructed of The Three component whule functions in The X,Y, and Z directions. WE require the wave to vanish at The walls so

where $K_X a = n_X \eta$

Кч b = nт Кз C = n₃ П

This where function is Then A Solution to schrödingers EQUATION,

$$-\frac{h^{2}}{2m}\nabla\Psi=E\Psi$$

THAT IS

$$E = \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{z_{m}} \left(K_{x}^{2} + K_{y}^{2} + K_{z}^{2} \right) = \frac{P^{2}}{z_{m}}$$

AND WE CHOSE TO DEFINE ECPI TO BE THE TOTAL ENERGY.

$$E(P) = \frac{P^{L}}{Zm}$$

Thus EACH MODE EQUALS A SINGLE STATE. TO FILL ALL THE MODES REQUIRES A LOT OF ELECTRONIS IN FACT N of THEM. WHAT ENERGY DO WE HAVE TO GO TO? ALL THE MODES ARE OCCUPIED WHEN THE LAST ONE TO BE SQUEEZED IN HAS THE SAME PAS ITS SUCCESSOR. LET PO BE THIS FINAL MOMENTUM. THEN,

N = Number of modes whose · P2 2 Po2 or E(P) L Eo(Po)

What we have is a momentum space inside a sphere of radius Po with each point corresponding to A P. These Points form a rectangular LATTICE WITHIN THIS sphere SINCE ONLY CERTAIN MOMENTA OF ENERGIES Are PERMISSIBLE. The POINTS are separated by $\frac{\pi J}{2}$, $\frac{\pi J}{5}$, and $\frac{\pi J}{2}$. What we have is represented in The following drawing:



The TOTAL NUMBER of MODES IN ONE OFTENT of The sphere is,

$$\frac{N}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{4}{3} \pi P_0^3 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4\pi \cdot 4\pi \cdot 4\pi}} = \frac{4}{3} \pi P_0^3 \frac{V_{oL}}{(2\pi \pi)^3}$$

Accounts for Two spins

Therefore,

 $\frac{No. \ of \ electrons}{\text{linit volume}} = \mathcal{R} = \frac{N}{V}$

Under The EXTREME COMPRESSIONS IN THE WHITE dwarfs N MIGHT FISE 106 TIMES which MEANS PO INCREASE BY About 100 OF THE ENERGY by 10,000 volts which is conclusive evidence THAT EVERYTHING IS IONIZED.

HOW DO WE GET THE EQUATION OF STATE? WE NEED THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF ENERGY OF THE ELECTIONS IN THE BOX FOR EACH MODE ELPO).

TOTAL ENERGY,
$$U = \int_{0}^{P_{0}} \epsilon(P) 4\pi P^{2} dP \cdot \frac{V}{(2\pi \kappa)^{3}} \cdot 2$$

 $D = \frac{4}{5} \frac{\pi}{2m} P_{0}^{3} \cdot P_{0}^{2} \cdot \frac{V}{(2\pi \kappa)^{3}} \cdot 2$
 $= \frac{P_{0}^{2}}{2m} \cdot \frac{3}{5} \left[\frac{4\pi}{3} P_{0}^{3} \cdot \frac{V}{(2\pi \kappa)^{3}} \cdot 2 \right]$

Therefore,

SIN

 $U = \frac{3}{5} \left(\frac{P_o^2}{2m} \right) N$

This SIMPLY GLUES OF 3/5 times The AVERAGE ENERGY. From Above we see Po $\sim V^{-1/3}$ so ThAT -2/2

SINCE WE WOULD LIKE TO FIND THE PRESSURE, WE CAN DO SO SINCE,

$$P = -\frac{dU}{dV} = \frac{2}{3}\frac{U}{V}$$

where The pressure is EVALUATED OVER A CONSTANT NUMBER OF PARTICLES. Thus we find,

$$P = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{3}{5} \frac{P_0}{z_m} \right) \cdot \eta$$

but

$$P_{o} = \left[\frac{3(2\pi \pi)^{3}}{8\pi}\right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \eta^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$P = \frac{7}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{2m} \left[\frac{3}{8\pi} \right]^{\frac{2}{3}} 4\pi \pi^{2} n^{\frac{2}{3}} \cdot n$$
$$= \frac{\pi\pi^{2}}{5m} \left(\frac{3}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} n^{\frac{5}{3}}$$

Now to get from n to the nuclear density we use

 $p = (2 M_P) n$

where Mp is The MASS of The Proton

So WE GET THAT,

 $P = \frac{\pi h^{2}}{sm} \left(\frac{3}{\pi}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{1}{2m_{p}}\right)^{5/3} \rho^{5/3}$

Recalling our model $P = p^{(1+\frac{1}{h})}$ if $n = \frac{3}{2}$ Then we have ANALOGOUS pressure density relationships and we could look up in the TABLES $n = \frac{3}{2}$ To find out what happens.

When the energy becomes relativistic, i.e., the momenta does we insert $e(p) = \left[(me^{1})^{1} + P^{1}c^{2} \right]^{1/2} - me^{2}$ in the integral for U And when E is of the order of mc² then the pressure goes as $p^{4/3}$. The case when $P = p^{N/3}$ is interesting because them the star is neutrally stable. That is, when it is squeezed to one-half its since the energy rise and Gravitational potential Attraction BALANCE Eachother so the star Just Sits there. When $P = p^{S/3}$, the pressure rises too fast and the ball will bounces out. Thus for powers Higher than SI3 the stuff is stiff. We'll take A Look At the cause of the power between 4/3 and 5/3 more closely. This is more critical because the star can't mathematical

ITSELF UNDER ITS OWN GRAVITATIONAL ATTRACTION WITHOUT BREAKING UP.

SINCE WE ARE DEALING WITH ACOMPLETELY DEGENERATE ELECTRON GAS IN THE DISCUSSION OF WHITE DWARFS, WE MUST USE THE FOLLOWING DENSITY FELATIONShip,

- where Me is the MEAN MOLECULAR WEIGHT PER FREE ELETRON AND IS NOT THE SAME M AS WE USED IN THE THEORY of GASEOUS STARS.
- ALSO Me is the NUMBER OF ELECTRONS PER UNIT VOLUME THAT WE for ON THE PREVIOUS PAGE, 1.2.

$$N_e = \frac{B}{3} \frac{\pi P_0^3}{(2\pi \hbar)^3}$$

The MOMENTOM Po is The Threshold VALUE WE used EARLIER. If the STAR is telativistic so Pap^{4/3} with the Above value for p, it turns out (Chandra Sekhar P.422) That The Mass Assume A finite LIMIT,

$$M_{c} = \frac{5.75}{(M_{e})^{2}} M_{\odot}$$

where MO is the MASS of the sun.

IF A DWARF had This MASS IT WOULD REALLY BE IN A CRITICAL STATE. For if someone SPIT on The MASS, The Added WEIGHT WOULD CAUSE The STAR TO COLLAPSE UNDER ITS OWN WEIGHT AND NEUER STOP. SOME Theories CLAIN THAT IT GOES BEYOUND THE RELATIVISTIC LIMIT AND FALLS THROUGH A HOLE IN SPACE BUT WE WON'T GO INTO THAT! See below

For LESS CRITICAL CASES WE CAN DISCUSS FOR A MOMENT WHAT HAPPENS WHEN WE START TO PILE UP A BUNCH OF COLD MATERIAL LIKE THE EARTH. IF WE KEPT PILING ON ROCKS, THE Added WEIGHT WOULD CAUSE COMPRESSION of WHAT WAS UNDER IT. AS IT GOT MORE MODESIVE, THE RADIUS WOULD NOT GROW DRASTICALLY DECAUSE OF THE COMPRESSION. THIS HAPPENS WHEN THE SIZE IS COMPARABLE TO JUPITER WHICH IS ABOUT THE MAXIUM RADIAL SIZE A COLD OBJECT CAN GET WITHOUT EMITTING HEAT FROM NUCLEAR GENERATION. THERE ARE OR COULD BE, HEAVIER ONES BOT NOT BIGGER.

Feynman alluded to but did not pursue the transition and further collapse of the white dwarf to the neutron star state





OPACITY

So far we have put off AN EXPLANATION of how The OPACITY IS determined. Along with the determination of the ENERGY GENERATION FACTOR, This is the most important and most disficult part of stellar Theory. We return to the main sequence star to first find the opacity for A GAS.

RECALLING AGAIN PGAS = RTP, Prad = 1/3 a CT4 we remember Prad IS MECHANICALLY NOT IMPORTANT BUT IT IS IN The determination of The LUMINOSITY, LUR, I.E.,

OR,

$$\frac{dPrad}{dr} = -\frac{K\rho L(r)}{4\pi r^2}$$

$$L(r) = +\frac{4\pi r^2}{K\rho} \left(-\frac{dP}{dr}\right)$$

Thus There is a certain rate of heat flowing out of the star because of the Temperature Gradient $\left(-\frac{d}{3acT^4}\right)$ existing between the interior and the surface.

Therefore, we must consider the method of Photon diffusion as the heat tries to escape Through the MATERIAL of CERTAIN P, U, And T. that is, what are the mechanisms that limit the photon from zooming through AT The speed of LIGHT LIKE A NEUTRINO CAN do. The two Processes we will Discuss are

- (1) SCATTERING
- (ii) A bSORPTION re-EMISSION

FIRST, ELECTRON SCATTERING. THE HOT STARS, ME, T~10³⁰ K, ELECTRON SCATTERING IS AUERY IMPORTANT ADSORPTIVE PROCESS. According to CLASSICAL Theory OF ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES, AN ACCELERATED ELECTRON EMITS RADIATION OF INTENSITY

$$\frac{ze^{2}}{3c^{1}}\left(\ddot{x}\right)^{2} = \frac{ze^{4}}{3m^{2}c^{3}}E^{2} = \frac{8\pi}{3}\left(\frac{e^{2}}{mc^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{c}{4\pi}E^{2}\right)$$

The ELECTYON DRAWS This ENERGY from The INCIDENT RADIATION. IN The INCIDENT E-M WAVES (I.E., TADIATION), HALF OF THE ENERGY IS ELECTRIC AND HALF MAGNETIC, AND THE ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC FIELDS ARE AT RIGHT HNGLES SO THAT THE POYNTIME FLUX FOR THE WAVE IS $\frac{2}{9\pi}E^3$. Therefore, The SCATTERING PERELECTRON IS GIVEN by

$$\sigma = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left(\frac{e^2}{mc^2}\right)^2 = 10^{-25} cm^2$$

SINCE THE MASS OF THE PARTICLE IS IN THE DENOMINATOR, O FOR ALL other particles Than Election is much less so we confern ourselves with only electron during scattering SEGONDLY, Absorption - re-EMISSION: DURING THIS PROCESS THE ATOM IS NOT COMPLETELY IONIZED SO THAT IT HAS SOME ENERGY LEVELS LEFT. A PHOTON IS Absorbed to PUT THE ATOM IN ONE OF THESE HIGHERSTATES. LATER THE ATOM TURNS Around And EMITTS THE Absorbed PHOTON

IMAGINE WE HAVE AN ATOM IN ITS GROUND STATE, SAY LEVEL OF STATE M. The probability THAT THE ATOM WOULD Absorb A QUANTUM OF FADIATION HUMM THAT WOULD PUT THE ATOM IN THE HIGHER STATE T IS DENOTED BY BMM. THE PROBABILITY BMM IS CALLED THE EINSTEIN COEFFICIENT OF INDUCED EMISSION. THUS THE NUMBER OF ATOMS GOING TO THIS HIGHER LEVEL PER CENTIMETER PENETRATION OF THE PHOTON, OF THROUGH ITS MEAN FREE PATH IS GIVEN BY.

No. Going up = Bmn Nm

where Nm is the NUMBER of ATOMS PER UNIT VOLUME IN THE STATE M BUT THEN THE PROBABILITY THAT AN ELECTRON IN THE EXCITED STATE R EMITS THE SAME QUANTUM OF ENERGY ANN AND FALLS DOWN TO LEVEL M IS GIVEN BY Anm. Ann is CALLED THE EINSTEIN COEFFICIENT RFOR SPONTANEOUS EMISSION. WHEN THE NUMBER OF ATOMS GOING DOWN IS LESS THAN THE NUMBER GOING UP, THE CONDITION FOR NET Absorption is SATISFIED. THE RATION BETWEEN THE POPULATIONS OF STATES M AND N IS GIVEN BY

$$\frac{Nn}{Nm} = \frac{e^{-En/kT}}{e^{-Em/kT}} = e^{-(En-Em)/kT} = e^{-hv_{nm}/kT}$$

Expressing Them The Absorption of radiation As

SO THAT

but

Nn =

$$Bmm(1-e^{-hV/kT})$$

If we INTERPERT BM. N. AS THE OPACITY AVISING FROM direct Adsorption we may write the TOTAL OPACITY AS

Thus, we see That The real Absorption is made up of two parts primarily Due to Direct Absorption. We have our result upside-Down, however, CONVENTIONALLY TO OPACITY is defined as

$$\frac{1}{K} = \left\langle \frac{1}{K_{ab} \left(1 - e^{-h \psi_{kr}}\right) + K_{s}} \right\rangle$$

where we find the MEAN - OPACITY And Thus have A VALUE To put in the Expression,

$$L(\Lambda) = \frac{4\pi \Lambda^2}{K\rho} \left(-\frac{dP_{ned}}{d\Lambda}\right)$$

ANOTHER WAY TO DEFINE OPACITY IS IN TERMS OF THE FREQUENCY V. THIS TIME WE DEFINE THE MEAN MASS OPACITY COEFFICIENT AS

$$\frac{1}{K'} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{K(y)} \frac{\partial I(y,T)}{\partial T} dy}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial I(y,T)}{\partial T} dy}$$

where $I(y,T) = \frac{2hy^{3}}{C^{2}} \frac{1}{e^{hy/kT} - 1}$

The INTEGRATION bEING OVER ALL POSSIBLE Frequencies.

WITHIN THE STELLAR MASS THERE ARE THREE METHODS by which FADIATION IS Absorbed:

- (2). The bound free TRANSITION OF PHOTOELECTRIC Effect. Here A QUANTUM OF RADIATION OF ENERGY THAT IS GREATER THAN THE BINDING ENERGY OF AN ELECTRON SETS THE ELECTRON FREE. THERE IS CONTINUOUS ABSORPTION IN THE B-F TRANSITION; WHEN THE ENERGY IS GREATER THAN THE BINDING ENERGY.
- (ii). The free-free TrANSITION. A free electron moving in A hyperbolic orbit under The force of ATTRACTION of AN ION MAY Absorb A QUANTUM OF ENERGY AND be AccelerAted. SINCE A QUANTUM OF ANY ENERGY CAN be Absorbed, IN F-F TRANSITION, CONTINUOUS EM Absorption Results
- (iii). The bound bound TrANSITION OR bremsstrahlung. This is where solitary electrons CAN INTERACT with radiation by changing The direction and frequency of The incident beam of radiation; This is scattering.



Opacity is the measure of impenetrability to electromagnetic or other kinds of radiation, especially visible light. In radiative transfer, it describes the absorption and scattering of radiation in a medium, such as a plasma, dielectric, shielding material, glass, etc. An opaque object is neither transparent (allowing all light to pass through) nor translucent (allowing some light to pass through). When light strikes an interface between two substances, in general some may be reflected, some absorbed, some scattered, and the rest transmitted (also see refraction). Reflection can be diffuse, for example light reflecting off a white wall, or specular, for example light reflecting off a mirror. An opaque substance transmits no light, and therefore reflects, scatters, or absorbs all of it. Both mirrors and carbon black are opaque. Opacity depends on the frequency of the light being considered. For instance, some kinds of glass, while transparent in the visual range, are largely opaque to ultraviolet light. More extreme frequency-dependence is visible in the absorption lines of cold gases. Opacity can be quantified in many ways; for example, see the article mathematical descriptions of opacity

Chapter 5

ENERGY GENERATION

WE'LL NOW MOVE ON TO THE AREA OF WHERE THE STARS GET THE ENERGY TO KEEP GOING. WE WILL THEN TALK AND THE ENERGY GENERATION FACTOR INTroduced EARLIER AND WHICH WE RECALL WAS E(P,T,M). THAT IS, IT IS A FACTOR OF DENSITY, TEMPERATURE, AND CONSTITUTION. THE ANSWER TO THE QUIRY IS OBVIOUSLY - NUCLEAR REACTION.

AN EXAMPLE of A POSSIBLE REACTION, would be the consequence of A Proton SMASHING INTO A CARDON ATOM, I.E.,

where Y = GAMMA rAdiATIONY = NUTYINO

AN INTERESTING RESULT FOLLOWS IF WE CALCULATE THE ENERGY A PROTON WOULD HAVE AT THE SUN'S INTERIOR, I.E., AT About 20,000,000 °K. AT THIS TEMPERATURE &T WOULD BE About 2000 eV SINCE IEV IS About EQUAL TO 11,000 °K. WE CAN NOW Show for ENERGIES OF THIS ORDER THAT NUCLEAR REACTIONS CANNOT OCCUP; SOLELY DECAUSE THE PROTON CANNOT GET CLOSE ENOUGH TO THE CARBON DUE TO THE REPULSIVE FORCES. THE ENERGY AT CLOSEST APPROACH IS

 $E = \frac{Ze^{2}}{Re}$ where Re is The critical radius or closest Approach If we Do The MATH right, Re TURNS OUT TO be About Re ~ 3.5 × 10⁻¹⁰ cm

Now for A nucleus, The radius is of The order of

 $\Lambda = A^{1/3} \cdot 1.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}$

Where A is A FUNCTION of The STUFF INSIDE, I.E., The ATOMIC WEIGHT BUT ANYWAY WE SEETHAT De IS About 10002 SO The repulsion IS SO Great THAT The reaction could NEVER GET STARTED.

So for sometime the EARLY STELLAR WERE LEARY OF describING NUCLEAR rEACTION BUT WERE CERTAIN THE PHENOMENA MUST OCCUR. IT WAS ONLY WITH THE Advent of QUANTUM MECHANICS THAT AN ANSWER WAS found. Through Q-M it was realized That There is a finite PROBABILITY, I.E., THE BOLTZMAN DISTRIBUTION E^{-E/kT} THAT A PROTON WOULD HAVE THE RIGHT ENERGY TO GET EVERYTHING GOING.

ONEE THE PARTICLE APPROACHES THE NUCLEUS, IT MUST OVERCOME OF PENETRATE THE POTENTIAL BARRIER due TO THE COULOM DIC REPUISION. This potential rises sharpling with decrease radius, down to The nuclear radius where it Drops TO A CONSTANT NEGATIVE VALUE,



There will thus be some Probability factor given by the reaction cross-section for the collisions. We can get an idea of the cross-section, J, AS A function of Energy and on the same graph give the MAXWELL-BOLTZMAN ENErgy DISTRIBUTION e^{-E/}RT



What we can see from This Drawing, which is not to clear, is That most of the reaction occurs right around some Eo, i.E., The Two curves are multiplied together. For energies of the order of 1000 AT we are to far out on the e^{-E/AT} to give any contribution. At much lower energies, say S-10 AT we reach a reach of resonance or significant contributions to reaction.

WHAT WE MUST DO IS TO SEE HOW THE WAVE FUNCTION OF THE PROTON TAILS OFF EXPONENTIALLY AS IT COMESE INTO THE REGION OF THE NUCLEUS, I.E., AS IT GOES BEY OND THE CRITICAL RADIUS. To help UNDERSTAND THE NEXT DRAWING MIGHT HELP,

EQUATION by reducING IT From A Second to first order differential EQUATION.

OVER THE PANGE OF R where we are concerned, 1.2., For very Good ApproxIMATION WE MAY USE

$$U = \sqrt{2m Ze^{L}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{c}}}$$
where
$$U' = -\sqrt{2m Ze^{L}} \cdot \frac{1}{2\lambda^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{c}}\right)^{-1/2}$$
so That
$$-U' + U' = 2m Ze^{L} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{c}}\right) \quad becomes$$

$$+\sqrt{2m Ze^{L}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{c}}} + \frac{2m Ze^{L}}{\lambda^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{c}}\right) = \frac{2m Ze^{L} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{c}}\right)}{\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{c}}}$$

RECALLING NOW OUR SUBSTITUTION

$$\frac{du(n)}{dn} = \psi(n)$$

WE CAN WRITE THE FUNCTION AS

$$\pi \psi \propto \exp\left[-\int_{R_m}^{R_e} \sqrt{\frac{2mze^2}{K^2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi} - \frac{1}{R_e}} d\pi\right]$$

FINALLY THEZ PENETRATION CAN be expressed as The probability of The Amplitude squared AT Rm over That AT Re or

$$\mathcal{P} = \left| \frac{(\mathcal{N} \psi)_{\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N} m}^{2}}{(\mathcal{N} \psi)_{\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N} c}^{2}} \right|^{2}$$

The R, radius, has A place in The Amplitude because it is A measure of PENETRATION dISTANCE. SIMPLY ENOUGH THEN,

$$(\Lambda \psi)^2 \propto \exp\left[-2\int_{\Lambda m}^{\Lambda c} \frac{2m Ze^2}{\hbar^2} \int_{\Lambda e}^{1-\frac{1}{2}} d\Lambda\right]$$

AccordING TO THE WKB APPROXIMATION WE CAN EXPRESS THE WAVE FUNCTION AS

$$\psi = \exp\left(\frac{i}{k}s\right)$$

where S is a function of X AND IS A PHASE FACTOR The physical significance of S is That its rate of change of with position as/ax is equal to the MEAN MOMENTUM or

$$S = \int_{X_0}^{x} P dX$$
 where $p = \int_{Z_m}^{z} (E-V)$

TheN

$$\psi = \exp\left[\frac{i}{K}\int \sqrt{2m(E-v)} dx\right]$$

S is more Generally Approximated by A power series in K, i.e., $S = S_0(x) + K S_1(x) + \frac{K^2}{2} S_2(x) + \cdots$

This ApproxIMATION IS Good ONLY FOR SLOWLY CHANGING WAVELENGTHS by Assuming that The wave function is NOT CHANGED much from The form it would have if V were constant. Thus we have similar results if we made The substitutions some are about fight. The INTEGRAL INVOLVING The Eter factor $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2c}$ shows As T_m Approaches zero the $\frac{1}{2}$ Term dominates in such A way that ance you make it As far As TO Tm it Doesn't take that much more energy to GO A LITTLE form further. So we want to evaluate

$$I = \int_{0}^{n_{e}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n_{e}}} \, dn$$

MAKE THE SUBSTITUTION

R=RCDin20 And dR=ZRCDinocoso do

Then

$$I = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} Ac \ \lambda \otimes \dot{n} \Theta \frac{\cos \Theta}{\pi c} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sin^{2} \Theta} d\Theta$$

$$I = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \lambda \int Ac \ \lambda \otimes \dot{n} \Theta \frac{\cos \Theta}{\pi c} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sin^{2} \Theta + \cos^{2} \Theta} - \lambda \sin^{2} \Theta d\Theta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \lambda \int Ac \ \cos^{2} \Theta d\Theta = \lambda Ac \left[\frac{\Theta}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \sin^{2} \Theta\right]_{0}^{\pi/2} = \int Ac \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$O(1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2$$

SO OUR PENETRATION FACTOR NOW TAKES THE FORM

$$exp\left[-\frac{\pi \sqrt{2m z e^{2} R_{c}}}{K}\right] = PENETRATION FACTOR$$

We could chose to write this in terms of ENErgy by recally

$$\frac{Ze^{2} = E}{Ac} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{Re}{E} = \frac{Ze^{2}}{E}$$

$$exp\left[-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2mZe^{2}Ze^{2}}}\right]$$

If we expressed the energy AS $E = \frac{1}{2}m\sigma^2$

Then

Then

$$EXP\left[-\frac{2\pi}{5}\frac{2e^{2}}{5}\right]$$

where v is the relATIVE VELOCITY of the Two Things coming together.

DIMENSIONALLY WE CAN CHECK OUT RESULT by REWRITTING IT AS $2\pi 2e^2$. $C = -2\pi 2e(C)$ $e^{2\pi 2e^2}$. $C = -2\pi 2(C)$ $e^{2\pi 2e^2}$. $C = -2\pi 2(C)$

IT IS WORTHWHILE NOTING THAT IF Zez IS MUCH GREATER THANONE, CLASSICAL MECHANICS IS SUFFICIENT TO DESCRIBE THE REACTION BUT IF IT IS MUCH LESS THAN ONE, WE MUST GO TO QUANTUM MECHANICS TO DESCRIBE & THE SCATTERING. A HISTORICAL NOTE Bohr JUST HAPPEN TO HAVE WORKED WITH THE CASE WHERE THE FACTOR WAS MUCH GREATER THAN ONE AND MIRACULOUSLY GOT THE RIGHT SCATTERING RESULTS FINALLY WE CAN WRITE A SCATTERING CROSS-SECTION for The reaction As -212.2.2.2

$$\sigma(E) = e^{-\pi v} \cdot \pi \lambda^2 \cdot f(E)$$

where F(E) is some smooth function of Energy and Til2f(E) is the rate of the reaction modified by the PENETRATION factor TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THOSE with proper ENERGY.

This factor is so sMALL THAT WE CANNOT ODSETVE IT EXPERIMENTALLY. During the life of the SUN, for INSTANCE, The reaction occurs often for it to be SIGNIFICANT. IN The LAB The SCATTERING Cross-SECTION Is measured at high Energies And by TAKING OUT the Amount reduced by the penetration factor it is possible to GET AN Idea of what is happening. There are some resonances at certain higher energies but in the range of Lower Energies which we can't directly inork with to see the scatter there might Also be a resonance. If there is, Then F(E) is not varying as we figured and we have to correct with Another Approximate. A Graph might Look Like,



WE ARE AT A LITTLE LOSS AT THIS POINT bECAUSE THE THEORY OF NUCLEAR REACTION DOES NOT SUFFICE TO EXPLAIN HOW THE FUNCTION F(E) bEHAUGS. WE MUST KNOW MORE AS TO WHICH REACTION PREDOMINATE BECAUSE IN THE SUN WE KNOW A PROTON - PROTON INTERACTION GIVE TINY F(E)'S WHILE PROTON- CARBON TWELVE GIVE DIG F(E). CONSIDERING A MIXTURE OF THESE REACTION COMPLICATES THE THEORY.

FROM KINETIC THEORY WE CAN WRITE THE PATE OF REACTION OCCUPING AS

TATE of reaction = υσ(ε) e kt

where V is the MUTUAL VELOCITY of The COLLIDING PARTICLES; O(E) is the reaction cross-section occurring at the given ENERGY VALUE AND e^{-E/RT} gives the PErcENTAGE of PARTICLES with the right ENERGY to UNDERGO FEACTION.

This reaction rate CAN be ALSO WRITTEN IN TERMS OF AN INTEGRAL OVER THE FREQUENCIES OF ENERGY AND SIMPLIFIED BY COLLECTING ALL The CONSTANT AND OTHER GARDAGE IN A NICE FUNCTION F(E), I.E.,

INSETTING THE VALUE FOR OCE), WE CAN WRITE

where
$$\beta = \frac{1}{kT}$$

SINCE THE REACTION PRIMARILY OCCURS AROUND THE DUMP, I.E., AT EO AS SEEN ON PAGE 83, WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHERE THIS IS. THUS THE PROBLEM IS TO FIND THE MAXIMUM OF THE INTEGRAND. IF WE CONSIDER F(E) TO BE NICE AND SMOOTH WE WILL JUST EVALUATE IT AT EO TO GET THE CONSTANT F(EO) WHICH WE NOW TAKE OUTSIDE. SO WE ARE LEFT WITH MAXIMIZENG THE EXPONENTIAL FACTOR,

$$\beta E + \frac{b}{\sqrt{E}}$$

This AFGUMENT HAS A MINIMUM AT EO WHICH MAKES THE EXPONENT MAXIMUM. WE CAN APPROXIMATE EO BY A GAUSSIAN CURVE NEAR THE MINIMA AND THEN PREFORM THE INTEGRAL.

Thus we differentiATE The Argument with respect TO E AND SET EQUAL TO ZERO,

$$\frac{d}{dE}\left(\beta E + \frac{b}{\Gamma E}\right) = 0$$

Which GIVES UPON EVALUATING AT EO

$$\beta = \frac{b}{\chi (E_0)^{3/2}}$$

Since we want the product BEO we CAN write,

$$\beta E_0 = \frac{b^{2/3}}{\chi^{2/3}} \beta^{1/3}$$

OR

$$3Eo = \frac{b}{\lambda Eo}$$

SINCE WE ARE ONLY CONCERNED About FIRST ORDER FLUCTUATIONS FROM THE POINT ED WE WRITE

$$E = E_o(1+X)$$

INSERTING THIS INTO BE + DE-12 AND EXPANDING (1+x) "2 we find

$$\beta E_{0}(1+x) + \frac{b}{1E_{0}}(1-\frac{1}{2}x+\frac{3}{8}x^{2}+\cdots)$$

SINCE B = b, we see THAT FIRST ORDER Errors CANCEL TO LEAVE

RETURNING NOW TO THE INTEGRAL WITH THE ABOVE ESTIMATION ON The EXPONENTIAL TERM ABOUT E. WE SEE

$$rATE = F(E_0) e^{-\frac{3}{2}\frac{b}{1E_0}} \int e^{-\frac{3}{8}\frac{b}{1E_0}\chi^2} E_0 d\chi$$

where dE= Eodx

This INTEGRAL IS NOW IN THE GAUSSIAN FORM AND CAN be EVALUATED TO

$$\sqrt{\frac{8\pi\sqrt{E_{\circ}}}{3b}} e^{-3\beta E_{\circ}} \delta(E-E_{\circ})$$

Where The SELTA FUNCTION S(E-EO) Shows THAT EVERYTHING HAPPENS A EO

The ENERGY POINT EO IS GIVEN by Eo = / b) 3

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{o}} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{z}_{\beta}}\right)^{\mathbf{a}}$$

or AS OTHERS WRITE

where $A = \frac{A_1 A_2}{A_1 + A_2}$ The reduced ATO MIC WEIGHTS

This then is the LAW used to ANALYZE The reaction rate for stars,

Here starts two important topics that Feynman tore into as only he could

There are two CLASSIC QUESTIONS which are ASKED About STELLAR STRUCTURE:

- (i) what is the origin of the source Energy
- (ii) what is the origin of the Proportion of the ELEMENTS And ISOTOPES

EITHER ALL THE CURRENT PROPORTIONS RESULTED DURING SOME GIGANTIC EXPLOSION AT THE TIME OF THE UNIVERSE'S CREATION OR ITHE PROPORTIONS ARE DEING MAINTAINED by The FORMATION OF NEW STATS.

There is EVIDENCE The heavier ELEMENTS Are being SYNThesized by some STARS. For A CERTAIN CLASS OF STARS, CRILEd The GIANT S-TYPE STARS, Show AMOUNTS OF Technetium IN ITS ATMOSphere. SINCE The LONGEST LIVED ISOTOPE OF Technetium has A half-life Time of 2x10^S YEARS which is LESS The AGE of The STARS, IT MUST be MANUFACTURED by The STAR. The ACTUAL processes for This SYNTHESIZING Are for; for The Above process IT IS THE NEUTRON CAPTURE PROCESS.

We will bEGIN The discussion of these processes by TALKING About The Hydrogen Burning Process since most of the STAT stuff is hydrogen in the STAT's Youth. We CALL This the Proton-Proton (PP) chain. This is, perhaps, the most important reaction for main Sequence stars or stars with the TEMPERATURE About That of the sun.

The CYCLE STARTS OUT AS TWO PROTONS HITTING EACH OTHER,

(1) P+P -> D + B⁺ + V , I.E., dEUTRIUM + POSITION + NUTRIND THE NUTRINO IS LOST ENERGY bECAUSE IT IS SHOT OUT WITHOUT LOBING ITS ENERGY DURING ANOTHER COLLISION. THE THEORETICAL FATE OF THIS FEACTION IS GIVEN by

TATE =
$$\lambda PP \frac{H}{2}$$

where $H^2 = n_1 n_2$
AND $\lambda = \langle \sigma_{33} \rangle$ Thus $\lambda = \lambda (Temp_1)$

SINCE & IS SO SMALL FOR THIS REACTION, IT IS NOT OBSERVED EXPERIMENT but IN THE SUN THE SLAMMING AROUND OF PROTON IS SO FREQUENT THAT THE REACTION CROSS-SECTION IS IMPORTANT.

The Above reaction is not very stable because IT is highly improbable THAT THE PROTON FINDS ITSELF IN THE GROUND STATE OF DEUTERIUM. Also, if This were The ONLY reaction, The STAR would soon burn up AND TURN INTO A DEUTERIUM MASS. SINCE WE OBSERVE NO DEUTRIUM WE ASSUME EITHER

(i) There MUST be ATINY MATRIX ELEMENT OF BETA DECAY

(ii). There is a chance of Nuclear PENETRATION

What (i) MEANS IS THAT THERE IS A SMALL PRODADILITY THAT THE deutron, Me., The deuterium Nucleus UNderGOES TRANSformation into STABLE Species by Emission of Either Electrons or Positrons. These two processes define beta Decay.

WE INTERPEND (ii) TO MEAN THAT A PROTON CAN PENETRATE INTO THE deutron and cause A nuclear reaction,

(Z) $D+p \longrightarrow He^3 + Y$

This process happens very EASILY AND THE PATE IS GIVEN by

TATE = λ_{PD} H·D

where Y is A GAMMA of FADIATION, 1.2., heat

The Above TWO Process Are common to the following possible subsequent REACTIONS.

WE could have The reaction of The He3's Colliding, I.E.,

(3) $He^3 + He^3 \longrightarrow He^4 + p + p$

And we see we are back to the two proton reaction. The eyele Ends here with 7 MeV's of ENErgy being released with the neutrino CARTYING AWAY About 1.9% of That figure. This process has thus DEEN CALLED PROTON - PROTON I AND IS SUMMATIZED AS

 $P+P \rightarrow \beta^{+}+\gamma + D \Rightarrow D+P \rightarrow He^{3}+\gamma \Rightarrow He^{3}+He^{3} \rightarrow He^{4}+P+P$

This process is slow And Thus determines ESSENTIALLY The complete rate of reaction. That is, the other processes, to be discussed happen very FAST. For Those interested, the Feynman method of Thinking Acoustically will help to get a feel from what is going on. This Process goes blurp-blurp-blurp while The subsequent ONES go rur-r-r-r! IF Think That way can get Feynman to his Prominence, IT CAN get you Through Nuclear Reactions.

There Are A SET of DifferentIAL EQUATIONS WHICH CAN BE WRITTEN for the PATE of CHANGE of the different substances. For Deuterium,

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = \lambda_{PP} \frac{H^2}{Z} - \lambda_{PD} H \cdot D$$

where HAND D REPRESENT THE CONCENTRATIONS PRESENT.

FOR STEADY BURNING, I.E., where The deuterium change is ESSENTIALLY ZEro we we can solve for the ratio of D/H, I.E.,

$$\frac{\lambda_{PP}}{\lambda_{\lambda_{PD}}} = \frac{D}{H}$$

SINCE $\lambda = \lambda (Temp)$ AT The 20,000,000 °K of The SUN This number IS About 10⁻¹⁸.

WE CAN SAFELY SAY THAT THERE IS NO DEUTERIUM IN THE SUN OR FOR PreciseNESS ONE PART IN 10⁻¹⁸. WE SHALL NOTE THAT THE RATIO OF D/H for SEA WATER IS About 1/2×10⁻⁴. The NATURE of This MISMATCH IS NOT KNOWN. SINCE THE VIOLATION IS HERE ON EARTH WE MUST HAVE LOST A LOT OF HYDROGEN SOME WAY.

WE NOW GO ON TO CONSIDER ANOTHER POSSIBLE REACTION, THAT BEING WITH THE PRODUCTION OF HE" THE POSSIBILITY OF COLLIDING WITH AN HE",

(4) $He^4 + He^3 \longrightarrow Be^7 + \chi$

RECALLING OUR FIRST TWO STEPS INCLUDE (1) AND (2) WE SEE. ANOTHER GAMMA OF ENERGY IS LOST. AS THE CONCENCERATION OF HE" builds, REACTION (4) IS MORE PRODABLE THAN (3) DECAUSE IT IS HARDER FOR TWO HE³¹S TO FIND EACH OTHER THAN FOR A HE³ TO FIND A HE⁴.

BUT BETYLLIUM SEVEN ISN'T VERY STABLE AND UNDER GOES DETA DECAY TO GIUE,

(5)
$$\operatorname{Be}^7 + e \rightarrow \operatorname{Li}^7 + \gamma$$

This reaction is weak but Lithium seven interacts with a proton,

(6) $Li^{7} + P \longrightarrow He^{4} + He^{4}$

This is AVERY FAST REACTION CONSIDERING THE HIGH CONCENTRATION OF PROTON IN THE STAR.

IF WE GO BACK TO STEP (4) AND CONSIDER A PROTON COLLIDING WITH A Be?, WE SEE THAT,

$$B_e^7 + P \longrightarrow B^8 + Y$$

BUT BORON EIGHT IMMEDIATELY UNDERGOES DECAY,

$$B^{\theta} \xrightarrow{(.785ec)} Be^{\theta} + \beta^{\dagger} + \gamma$$

Then, FINALLY

(7)

(8)

(9)

Be⁸ -> 2 He⁴

This is called Proton-Proton II Process while the steps 4-6 describe The P-PII process. P-PII Loses 27.3 % of The ENERGY Produced to The NUTRIND which has such a small (About Zero) Cross-Section That it ESCAPES. Among These Processes P-PII IS MOST IMPORTANT. So far we have only Discussed The Proton Proton chain which occurs in Young STARS. During This Process Nutrinoes are liberated which carry Away a small amount of energy. We would LIKE to have A good Nutrino Detector Then we could "see" The center of The STAR SINCE The shoot right Through The MASS.

The rATE OFLIBERATION OF NEUTRINOS IS SO HIGH THAT AT THE EARTH'S SURFACE THE FLUX OF INCOMING NEUTRINOS FROM THE BE? DELAY IS

\$ (Be?) = 10" per cm2/sec

And for the Boron decay

\$ (B8) =2:10 Percm / sec

which is AN BE ENDEMOUS OF OUNT of NEUTRINOS.

Some PEOPLE have suggested observing These Neutrinos by Observing The reserve BETA DECAY CAUSED by LOW ENERGY NEUTRINOS from The SUN HITTING A DARREL OF CARDON TETRACHLORIDE WITH A LITTLE CHLORINE UNITING WITH A NEUTRINO TO FORM ARGON 37 + AN ELECTRON,

 $Cl^{37} + \gamma \rightarrow A^{37} + e^{-1}$

MOST OF THE NEUTYINOS WOULD BE OBSERVED OF COME FROM THE P-P III REACTION BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE HIGHEST ENERGY EVEN THOUGH THE Above FLUX FATION SAYS THE P-P II REACTION PRODUCES ABOUT A 1000 TIMES AS MANY AT THE EARTH. EVEN WITH THIS LARGE FLUX ONLY About 4X10⁻³⁵ ATOMS OF CL³⁷ PER SEC/PER ATOM WOULD UNDERGOE THIS DECAY. WE WOULD NEED THEN AboUT 100,000 GALLONS OF CARDON TETRA CHLORIDE TO SIGNIFICANTLY MEASURE THIS DOM DAR DMENT.

NOT ONLY WOULD THIS EXPERIMENT DE EXTREMELY COSTLY BUT ITS RESULTS WOULD DE HIGHLY PROBABLISTIC DECAUSE WE WOULD ONLY GET ONE RESULT SO IT COULDN'T DE CHECKED. SUCH SPURIOUS EFFECTS AS GAMMA RAYS AND OTHER SUCH THINGS COULD INTRODUCE LAIGE ENTORS.

The Problem of the CENTURY IS TO DEVISE A NEUTVINO DETECTOR CAPABLE of Great Accuracies.

NUCLEAR SYNTHESIS

IN THE Above REACTION WE STATE WITH HYDROGEN AND GET OUT HELIUM. WITH THIS REACTION IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO GET OUT OF THIS CYCLE BECAUSE There IS NO STABLE ETEMENT NUMBER 5. IT IS POSSIBLE FOR HE³ AND He⁴ TO COMBINE TO Li⁷ BUT THAT IS VERY UNSTABLE AND QUICKLY COLLAPSES BACK TO HE⁴. MAY BE HE⁴ + HE⁴ YIELD BE⁸ BUT BE⁸ QUICKLY DECAYS TO 2 HE⁴ SO THERE IS LITTLE POSSIBLITY OF GETTING OUT OF THE CYCLE HERE.
Question: Where do the higher elements come from? Hydrogen burning alone can't explain the process by which the higher elements are formed?

The Problem of how The higher ELEMENTS WERE FORMED WAS A TRUE MYSTERY dissappointment to The Theorist. The Theory of hydrogen burning JUST CAN'T EXPLAIN HOW THESE ELEMENTS WERE FORMED. If WE CALCULATE The ratio of These intermediate ELEMENENTS or ISOTOPES Li⁶, Li⁷, Be, B.⁸ TO The CONCENTRATION of HYDROGEN WE Find DUE TO THE EXTREMELY QUICK REACTION THE RATIO IS VIRTUALLY ZERO.

IT IS A MIRACLE THAT THEY EXIST AT ALL AS MARE AS THEY ARE. THERE SEEMS TO BE MORE IN THESE PROPORTIONS THAN CAN BE UNDERSTOOD. THE CURRENT BELIEF IN THE "LATGE" PROPORTIONS OF THE ELEMENTS FOUND ON GARTH SEEMS TO BE DUE TO BAMBARDMENT OF GAMMA MAYS SUCH THAT DIB AND PIECES OF MATTER ARE KNOCKED OFF OF HIGHER FLEMENTS (THIS PROCESS IS CALLED SPALLATION). WHERE THOSENIGHER ELEMENTS CAME FROM IS QUESTIONABLE AND THE ARQUEMENT IS NON-SEQUITAR (1.E., IT BEGS THE QUESTON) UNLESS WE ACCEPT HOYLES THEORY - TO BE DISCUSSED.

If THE P-P REACTION WAS THE ONLY ONE, WE WOULD BE IN A LOT OF TrONDLE. MAYDE THE JUNK ON EARTH IS THE RESULT OF SOME STAR THAT EXPLODED AND SPIT THE HEAVIER CRAP OUT TO GIVE US THE PROPORTIONS WE NOW SEE. BUT EVERY ELEMENT PRESENTS ITS OWN PROBLEM AND THEY SEEM TO FORM A REAL CHALLENGE TO FIGURE OUT HOW THEYWERE FORMED.

WE'LL COME BACK TO THE QUESTION OF SYNTHESIS A LITTLE LAMEY. Now WE TURN TO ANOTHEY NUCLEAR FEACTION --

CARDON - NITROGEN CYCLE

AS A SIDE TEACTION TO THE C-N CYCLE WE WILL DISCUSS THE C-N-O CYCLE OR "bi"- CYCLE AS SOME TATHER CORNHY SAY. WE CONSIDER A COLLISION OF CARDON 12 NUCLEUS WITH A PROTON TO FORM NITROGEN 13 PLUS A GAMMA MY,

$$C^{12} + P \longrightarrow N^{13} + Y$$

IN A ShorTHAND NOTATION WE SHALL USE,

$$C^{12}(P, \chi) N^{13} = C^{12} + P \rightarrow N^{13} + \chi$$

TO MEAN The SAME THING.

The reaction once started continues on in the following manner, $C^{12}(P, \chi)N^{13}(\beta^{+}, \chi)C^{13}(P, \chi)N^{14}(P, \chi)O^{15}(\beta^{+}, \chi)N^{15}(P, \chi)C^{12}$

The result of this whole cycle is to Produce back The carbon Nucleus C^R Plus flour protons which combine to form A helium Nucleus. Thus C^R serves As A CATALYST FOR The production of helium. Right Now we won'T worry where The C^R came from.

This rEACTION WAS PROPOSED before The Proton Proton cycle so IT WAS NOT KNOWN WHERE THE ENERGY CAME FROM TO GET IT STARTED. ALSO IT IS DIFFICULT TO CALCULATE WHICH REACTION IS DOMINANT. Another side bar about incompetence in the workplace. Note it is 2 years later that the Peter Principle was published by Laurence Peter, then at USC.

While we are wandering ASIDE IT MIGHT BE A POINT OF INTEREST TO POINT OUT THE CLUE TO UNDERSTANDING EVERYTHING. INEVITABLLY when A MAN OF COMPETENCE ENTERS INTO ALINE OF BUSINESS THERE IS SOME BLOCKHEAD Above him Who we has to be an incompetent IDIOT. Whow DID he GET THERE? If The OLESSER MAN EXhibits his SKILLS HE SOON WILL BE PROMOTED TO A POSITION OF HIGHER STATUTE AND RESPONSIBLITY. AS HIS COMPOTENCE INCREASES SO DOES HIS IMPORTANTE UNTIL HE TOO IS PROMOTED OUT OF HIS OWN LIMITS AND HE BECOMES THE BUNGLING FOOL HE ONCE SCORNED BY THEN, HOWEVER, HE DOESN'T LOOK Around AND IS LESS CRITICAL OF HIS PEERS AND SUPERIORS.

CONTINUING ON WITH THE CARDON-NITROGEN CYCLE WE MUST NOTE THAT FOR THIS CYCLE TO DEGINS REQUIRES THE PRESENCE OF CARDON. WHERE DID IT COME FROM ? IT ISN'T A STABLE PRODUCT OF THE P-P CYCLE YET IT IS PRESENT.

After The hydrogen is exhausted the star goes Through A Grauitational CONTRACTION which results in A much higher Temperature and DENSITY, i.e., About 10⁸⁰ K And 10⁵ Gm/cc respectively. These are the Red GIANTS. At These extreme conditions helium is fused with itself to form CARDON. The reaction was Proposed by SALPETER

Not ONLY DOES THIS REACTION CONSUME A LOT OF ENERGY BUT ALSO THE BEYYLLIVE IS VERY UNSTABLE IN ITS GROUND STATE AND DISINTEGRATES BACK INTO TWO HELIUM ATOMS IN ABOUT 10⁻¹⁴ SEC. So how DO WE GET CATOON?

There is A STrong resonance in The He" + He" reaction at About 310 KeV such That The helium Piddles Around Long Enough for The Be⁸ concent Tration TO BUILD UP ENOUGH SO THAT

$$\frac{Be^8}{He^4} \approx 10^{-9}$$

While 10" of something ISN'T much of ANYThing it is NOT NOTHING. So There is the Possibility of the following reaction,

SALPETER ESTIMATED About IIN 101° NUCLEI IN A STAT IS A Be⁸ IN DYNAMICAL EQUILIBRIUM IN The about Process.

TO SAY A REACTION CONSUMES ENERGY OF IS ENDOTHERMIC MEANS, IN THE CASE Above, THAT The bound state of the Beryllium Atom is -95 KeV below The IONIZATION POTENTIAL where The ELECTRONS would be free. Hovie, in proposing his steady state theory, argued that the initial stellar matter was hydrogen. As such it would be extremely unlikely that the resulting temperatures could not possibly create Enough Beb to unite with He4 to create C12 and Thus get the higher elements Going. Hovie proposed that there must be an energy level at 7.360 Mev of even parity and spin 0 for a decay to C12 + V. His theory rested upon this point otherwise he couldn't explain how the other Elements were formed. Having Announced this to the nuclear Physicists who wouldn't believe that missed such a level in spectroscopic examination of (Arbon, They searched and found & level at 7.625 mev of the right spin And Parity. This then explained how the heat Kept building in the red GIANT.

HOYLE HAD THEORIZED THAT DURING NUCLEAR REACTION OF THE FIRST GENERATION STAP, I.C., THE HYDROGEN BURNERS SOME OF THE HEAVIER ELEMENTS. Where SYNTHESIZED. SECOND GENERATION STARS WHICH CONGEALED FROM THE REMAINS OF A FIRST GENERATION CONTAINED THESE HIGHER ELEMENTS BUT BURNT, PRIMARILY, HELIUM. BUT THE CYCLE IS REPEATED AND THE HEAVIER ELEMENTS GET MORE PLENTIFUL. BUT HOYLE HAD TO GET THE HEAT FROM SOMEWHERE TO THE GET THE C¹² FORMED AND HE WAS CORRECT IN HIS PREDICTION.

HOWEVER, HE UNFORTUNATELY ABANDONED HIS THEORY WHEN EXAGGERATED VESULTS CONCERNING QUASATS LED HIM TO BELIEVE HIS THEORY WAS INCONSISTENT. WITH A MORE CAREFUL STUDY HE WISHES NOW THAT HE HADN'T MADE HIS STATEMENT. FOR NOT ONLY DOES HIS THEORY STAND AS A MILESTONE IN ASTRO-PHYSICS BUT IT REPRESENTS THE POWER OF CAREFUL THINKING AND REFLECTING THE PROPERTIES OF THE UNIVERSE INTO THE ATOM. Feynman on an accidental universe?

What we really NEED TO STUDY are the MIRACLES of the MYSTERIES IN The UNIVERSE. The CHARACTER of the UNIVERSE DEPENDS ON TOO MANY "ACCIDENTS." IT SEEMS UNLIKELY THAT God GAUE HOYLE AN ENERGY LEVEL SO HIS THEORY WORK OUT. OTHER "ACCIDENTS" LIKE THE MASS of THE PROTON DEING A TINY DIT SMALLER THAT THE MEUTRON HAVE FAR REACHING CONSEQUENCES AS TO THE NATURE OF OUR UNIVERSE. JUST how much of WHAT WE SEE DEPENDS ON ACCIDENTS?

Stellar nucleosynthesis refers to the assembly of the natural abundances of the chemical elements by nuclear reactions occurring in the cores of stars. Those stars evolve (age) owing to the associated changes in the abundances of the elements within. Those stars lose most of their mass when it is ejected late in the stellar lifetimes, thereby enriching the interstellar gas in the abundances of elements heavier than helium. For the creation of elements during the explosion of a star, the term supernova nucleosynthesis is used. The goal is to understand the vastly differing abundances of the chemical elements and their several isotopes as a process of natural history.



IT TURNS OUT THE REACTION, Het Be -> C12 +Y, IS A DARN HARD WAY TO MAKE C12 but IT IS MADE ANYWAY. PERHAPS THERE IS ANOTHE WAY IT CAN GET GOIND AND, INDEED, THERE IS:

 $3 \text{He}^4 \longrightarrow \text{C}^{"+r}$

THAT IS, IN The CORE of Red GIANTS THREE HELDING GET TOGETHER FASTER THAN A HELIUM CAN FIND A SCARCE BERYLLIUM ATOM TO SMASH APART AND FOR C? THIS REACTION TENDS TO PROMOTE THE PRODUCTION OF C¹² which CAN THEN UNDERGO A WHOLE STRING OF SUCCESS REACTIONS.

C¹²(a, 8)O¹⁶(a, 8) Ne²⁰(a, 7) Mg²⁴(a, 8)S²⁸(a, 8)Š³²(a, 8)A³⁶ ANd A³⁶(a, 8)Ca⁴⁰

So we have TUN The GAMUT From C12 TO CA40 by This & - process. If the STAR BURNS IT HELIUM UP, IT MIGHT UNDERGO ANOTHER GRAVITATIONAL COLLAPSE AND HEAT STILL HIGHER. IF IT DOESN'T DLOW UP, CATOON NUCLEI CAN COLLIDE AND FORM,

$$C^{12} + C^{12} \longrightarrow Mg^{24} + \chi$$

$$-7 Ne^{20} + He^{4}$$

OTHER REACTIONS WITH OXYGEN, AND NEON NUCLES WITH CARDON COULD form ALL THE ELEMENTS UP TO ITON. If The STAY BECAME UNSTABLE IN THE INTERIM IT COULD EXPLODE AS HOYLE PREDICTS AND SPRAY THE SPACE WITH THE HEAVIER ELEMENT WHICH COULD COLLECT TO FORM THE HIGHER GENERATION STARS AS HE CALLS THEM.

FOR A TYPICAL STAR OF RELATIVE SUN MASS, IF THE ENERGY CONSUMPTION RATE 15: Man Zergs

Then it is primarily A Proton-Proton reactor.

If, The rATE is Higher but of Similar MASS

the rates of reaction will be greatly accelerated.

While for A MASS AND RATE of

Dr

WE ARE IN The helium - Red GIANT Phase.

CHAPTER 6

Proportions of The ELEMENTS IN A STAR

The NEXT QUESTION TO ASK IS IF THE HIGHER ELEMENTS ARE PRODUCED, IN WHAT PROPORTIONS DO THEY APPEAR. to show The EMPIRICAL DISTRIBUTION WE WILL PLOT C¹², O¹⁶ And Ne²⁰ AGAINST THE HELIUM CONCENTRATION, THAT IS, WE WILL EXHIBIT HOW THE FLUCTUATION OF THE HIGHER ELEMENTS TUNI AS THE HELIUM IS SLOWLY CONLISUMED.



CUTVES Showing relative concentration of C¹², O¹⁶, And Ne²⁰ As A function of the helium consumption.

The Above GrAPH is AT DEST AN INTELLIGENT GUESS AS TO THE ACTUAL Proportions. One problem AS Discussed A LITTLE FAILIER, IS AT LOW TEMPERATURES THE C¹²(a, y) TATE IS NOT WELL-KNOWN; SO WE DON'T REALLY KNOW HOW FAST IT GETS GOING. Also THE HIGHER ELEMENTS ATE FORMED VERY SLOWLY DUE TO THEIR CONCENTRATION AND AS THE HELIUM BURNS OUT IS IS HAID TO PREDICT WHAT PROPORTIONS THE OTHER ELEMENTS WILL ASSUME AS THEY STAIT TO REACT WITH EACH OTHER - PROVIDED THE STAR REMAINS STADLE.

Of A LITTLE MORE INTEREST. THE PROPORTION OF THE ELEMENTS IN THE SUN ARE About

C'z	N.	0'•	Ne	Mgzy	
5. 5	1.0	9.6	5	0.3	

The Proportion of Ne²⁰ TO O¹⁶ Presents A Problem IN EXPLAIN why we see so much Neon. IN order TO GET ESSENTIALLY A 2:1 TATIO THE TEMPERATURE MUST be very high TO have O¹⁶ GO OVER TO Ne²⁰. But Ne²⁰ has a Large cross-section and would go right on to MAGNESIUM which oddly enough is Fore About 17% Less concentrated That Ne²⁰. ONE source of the inconsistency Might be That The Ne²⁰ Abund ANE Mais hard TO determine relative To The others because it has A high ionization And hard TO SEE Spectroscopically.

So we hope the Nero concentration is wrong or else we have A LOT of Explaining to DO.

WE HAVE PRETTY WELL COVERED THE ELEMENT PROPORTIONS OF PRODUCTION. WITH THE REACTIONS MENTIONED ON THE PREVIOUS PAGE AS THE HELIUM BURNS OUT AND THE TEMPERATURE APPROACHES 10° K THE HIGHER ELEMENTS BECOME POSSIBLE, I.E., UP TO ITON. BUT AS THE TEMPERATURE RISES, THE NEUTRINO PRODUCTION BECOMES MORE IMPORTANT AND WE NOW TURN TO IT. FIRST, however we might MENTION THAT WE HAVE become ENGROSSED IN A SIDETTACK FROM OUT MAIN GO AL. WE SET OUT TO SOLVE THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF A STAR AS EARLIER DESCRIBED BY SEEKING TO FIND EXPRESSIONS FOR THE OPACITY AND ENERGY GENERATION. TO THIS END WE HAVE DECOME DOGGED DOWN IN THE NUCLEAR REACTIONS AND SYNTHESIS INSIDE STARS. WE WILL GET DACK TO THE PROBLEM OF SOLVING THOSE EQUATIONS IN A WHILE BUT NOW WE WILL STAY ON OUR SIDETRACK.

- AS AN OUTLIME TO DATE WE CAN COLLECT THE NUCLEAR SYNTHESIS AS FOLLOWS (1). Het (helium) was obtained by the hydrogen burning process while
 - He' WAS AN INCOMPLETE Process of The P-P CHAIN
 - (2). DEUTERIUM, LITHIUM, BERYLIUM, AND BORON WE BY-PASSED DURING THIS P-P PROCESS AND WE SO UNSTADLE THAT THEY COULD NOT HAVE RESULTED from The INTERNAL COOKING of A STAR. WE PROPOSE SOME NON-THERMAL PROCESS IS INVOLVED WHICH STIRS THE GOOP UP TO THE SURFACE; PERHAPS, THE SOLAR FLARES OF EXPLOSIONS GENERATE HIGH ENERGY PARTICLES WHICH bombArd HELIUM AND CREATE SUCH THINGS AS LITHIUM.
- (3). C¹², O¹⁶ The NEXT TWO MOST ABUNDANT ELEMENTS COME from herium burning, from This process we CAN ALSO GET O'8 & Nett

These CONVENTIONAL NUCLEAR PROCESS Are

ſ

P-P> helium CNO> helium	} hydrogen burner, The carbon comes from previous synThesises
3He → c"2	3 helium burners
C12 + C12 -> Mg 24	C burners
$0'' + 0'' \longrightarrow 5^{32}$) O burniers

We recall we once TAIKED About POPULATION I And I STAIS where The I'S we classified as Those in The Arms of SPITAL GALAXIES And The II'S were in The Gobular Clusters. The II'S are very pure of The heavier elements and were made when hydrogen was prevalent. While The I'S are Younger and richer in The higher Element. There is some Support in Hovie's explanation that The II'S were A result of A condensation following an explosion of A II. But The Spiral Arms Might have been formed Differently or The Core of The Spiral could have squirted out The DUST And CRAP in Some UNDEKNOWN FASHION. There Are NUTHING but QUESTIONS here. We Just Don't Understand how The Stuff Got out from The INSIDE of The Stars.

WE MISSED STEPS 4 AND 5	And They Are
(4) Ne20, Na2, Mgy AL, Si	from carbon burning
(5) My, AL, Si, P, S ³²	From Oxygen burning

So WHAT NEXT?

What about the Abundance of Elements around IRON? CAN The Abundance be explained by some internal Stellar process or Perhaps is it due to an explosion. After helium has been burnt we are left with primarily Si⁸ and S³² with Si being very Abundant After The Oxygen burning. We have to study some nuclear Properties.

WE WANT TO PLOT THE PACKING FRACTION AS A FUNCTION of A TO GIVE THE VARIATION OF THE AVERAGE DINDING ENERGY PER NUCLEON. THE BINDING ENERGY OF NUCLEUS IS A MEASURE OF HOW MUCH UMPF IT TAKES TO KNOCK IT INTO PROTONS AND NEUTRONS. THIS DINDING ENERGY IS RELATED TO THE DIFFERENCE OF MASS OF THE NUCLEUS AND THE SUM OF THE NEUTRONS AND PROTONS, I.E., THE MASS DEFECT AM. THIS, BY EINSTEIN, GIVES US THE ENERGY $B = AMc^2$

EXPANDED OUT IN TERMS OF THE MASS OF A NEUTRAL ATOM M(Z,N) WHERE ZEQUALS THE PROTONS AND N THE NEUTRONS AND PROTON AND NEUTRON MASSES MP AND MN RESPECTIVELY.

$$B(z,N) = [m_p Z + m_n N - M(z_N)]C^{-1}$$

THE PACKING FRACTION IS THEN B/A WHERE A IS THE NUMBER OF NUCLEONS. THE VALUES MP AND Mn ARE 1.00759 AND 1.00898 respectively TO MAKE THE PLOT WE GET SOMETHING LIKE.



So how Do we EXPLAIN All Those DOTS? As we might expect As helium runs out And The burner turns off There is no pressure to hold The STAR UP AND IT WILL COLLAPSE which cause The TEMPERATURE TO FISE TO The POINT THAT MAGNESIUM AND SILICON CAN REACT WITH THEMSELVES TO form STILL higher elements. BUT The CONLOMD POTENTIALS of These NUCLEIE ARE SO HIGH THAT SOMETHING ELSE MUST SWRELY HAPPEN before The TEMPERATURE GETS HOT ENOUGH SO THE REACTIONS CAN GO.

Binding energy is the mechanical energy required to disassemble a whole into separate parts. A bound system typically has a lower potential energy than its constituent parts; this is what keeps the system together—often this means that energy is released upon the creation of a bound state.



AND, IN FACT, NOT GAMMA MAY REACTIONS GET GOING TO CAUSE THE FOLLOWING,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & \\ & & & \\$$

ENERGETIC GAMMAS Where UPON, The Hober ATEd Proper QUICKLY EATS The Phosphorous And WE EVENTUAL GET BACK STABLE SILICON 28,

 $S^{32}(Y, P) P^{31}(Y, P) S^{28}_{i}(Y, N) S^{29}_{i}(Y, N) S^{28}_{i}(Y, N) S^{28}_{i}(Y$

WE THUS GENERATE A LOT OF PROTONS AND NEUTRONS which CAN DANG INTO other NUCLEI AND EVENTUAL FORM HELIUM

The TEMPERATURE IS Around 2.5 × 109 °K for GAMMA RAY rEACTIONS.

If The TEMPERATURE DOES ON UP TO 3×10^9 K Then All These chains LIKE $S_i^{28}(\Upsilon, P) A_{\chi}^{27}$ GET ENORMOUSLY COMPULATED. The NET RESULT IS THE DECAY PERIOD OF NEUTRONS DEING 3×10^3 SEC. OR About ONE hours SAYS THINGS HAPPEN PRETTY SAST. IF WE GO ON UP IN TEMPERATURES, further reactions become ENDO-Thermic or They Absorb ENERGY AS Shown by A decrease in binding ENERGY.

BUT The rEACTION RETES ARE SO FAST AND FURIOUS PROTONS CAN EXIST IN EQUILIBRIUM WITH THE NUCLEI. SO WE HAVE TO Shift OUR ANALYSIS TO ONE OF STATISTICAL MECHANICS TO EXPLAIN NOW THE PROTON GOES AROUND TRYING TO FIND ITS LOWEST ENERGY LEVEL.

THAT IS, TO GET THE NUMBER OF NUCLEI WITH A, Z AT A GIVEN TEMPERATURE T,

$$N(A, Z) = G(A, Z) \left(2\pi M kT \right)^{3/2} A^{3/2} e^{\frac{E(A, Z)}{kT}} e^{-\frac{MpZ}{kT}} e^{-\frac{Mn(A-Z)}{kT}} \right)^{3/2}$$
where $M = MASS of NUCLEUS$
 $Mp, Mn = \frac{densint}{MASS} of proton \xi NEUTRON$
 $E(A, Z) = NUCLEAR ENERGY$
 $G(A, Z) = SPIN FRACTOR$

where we can find the concentration of Proton to be,

$$M_{p} = 2 \left(\frac{2 \pi M_{p} kT}{kT} \right)^{3/2} e^{0} e^{-\frac{M_{p}}{kT}}$$

The NULLEAR ENERGY IS WHAT WE discussed EATLIER AND CALLED BLAGE)

$$\mathcal{E}(A, \mathcal{Z}) = C^{2} \left[-M(A, \mathcal{Z}) + \mathcal{Z}Mp + (A - \mathcal{Z})M_{N} \right]$$

ACTUALLY THE BOTTOM OF OUR PACKING PLOT IS NOT TOO WELL DEFINED AND IT IS A TRADE OFF AS TO WHICH ELEMENT AMOUNTS SUCH THINGS AS Fe⁵⁴, Fe⁵⁶, Ni⁵⁶ DCCUPIES THAT MINIMUM VALUE. THIS MINIMUM VALUE IS NOT TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT AS WOULD BE ANTICIPATED BUT RATHER GOES WITH THE CONCENTRATION OF PROTONS AND NEUTRONS, NP, NN.

If, IN FACT, Np=nn, Then The TENDENCY is TOWARDS NICKEL. WE EXPECT TO reach This Equal concentration if we start with Si²⁸ Why? Because & reactions do NOT change The charge Nor do we lose AnyThing but some ENErgy During B-DECAY.

WE DO NOT UNDERSTANDED WHERE THE ELEMENTS TO THE RIGHT OF THE MINIMUM COME FROM. THEY HAVE A POSITIVE DINDING ENERGY AND COULD NOT HAVE HAVE BEEN FORMED BY STAR COOKERY.

ANOTHER PROBLEM IS FOR INCREASED ENERGY OR TEMPERATURE FE⁵⁶ COLLAPSES back To helium so what happens after The hour? is everything That is so well constructed destroyed by a cataclysmic explosion?

To Add further MISAPPREhension to This whole confused and Problem riddled Theory TO GUYS NAMED FEYNMAN AND GOUMANN INTroduced A NEW Theory ON NEUTRINO PROCESSES which Threw A MONKEY WRENCH IN This Astrophysicist's Theory.

BETA-DECAY

The first process we will MENTION IS ONE WE HAVE DEEN DISCUSSING but will define And MAKE A LITTLE CLEARER HERE. Most of The Artifically produced radioactive nuclei undergo transformation into stable species by EMISSION of Either ELECTRONS or POSITIONS - COLLECTIVELY THIS IS B-decay. If A NUCLEI IS UNSTITUDE DECAUSE IT HAS TOO MANY NEUTIONS, IT WILL EJECT AN ELECTRON. If IT HAS TOO MANY PROTONS, IT EJECTS A POSITRON. The former Are STABILIZED by CONVERSION OF A NEUTRON INTO AN ELECTTON (Which LEAVES The NUCLEUS) AND A PROTON. THE LATTER UNDERGO CONVERSION OF A PROTON INTO A NEUTRON PLUS A POSITION, which IS EMITTED FROM THE NUCLEUS. BOTH EJECTED POSITION AND ELECTRONS HAVE ALL POSSIBLE VELOCITIES.

IT IS POSSIBLE TO ACHIEVE STABILITY ANOTHER WAY AND THAT IS BY HAVING AN ELECTTON ENTER INTO THE NUCLEUS AND REACT WITH A PROTON TO FORM ANGUMON. The ENERGY FORMED IN THIS PROCESS IS LIBERATED BY A NEUTRINO WHICH HAS A ZERO REST MASS. DECAY OF THIS KIND IS CALLED ELECTRON OR K-CAPTURE SINCE THE ELECTRON CAPTURED BY THE NUCLEUS GENERALLY COMES FROM THE INNER-MOST ELECTRON OF DIT, THE K-LEVEL.

AN EXAMPLE OF ELECTRON CAPTURE,

 $Be^7 + e^- \longrightarrow Li^7 + V$

URCA - PROCESS

The second Process which we discuss is That Theorized by FORMAN AND Gell-MANN IN 1957. The Process is called The U-u-ur, Ka Process. The Process Goes LIKE This

 $e^{-} + (Z, A) \xrightarrow{\text{INWERSE } \beta \cdot \text{Dec}AY} (Z-1, A) + \gamma$ $(Z-1, A) \xrightarrow{\text{e}} + (Z, A) + \overline{\gamma}$ $e^{-} + (Z, A) \xrightarrow{\text{e}} (Z, A) + e^{-} + \gamma + \overline{\gamma}$

This process SAYS AN ELECTRON IS DRIVEN INTO The NUCLEUS AND IT IS CALLED THE URCA (The GAMBLING CAPITAL OF THE WORLD, IN BRAZIL, AT The TIME OF THE WRITING) PROCESS BECAUSE EITHER WAY THE PROCESS GOES IT LOSSES A LITTLE ENERGY. BUT IT IS A WAY TO GENERATE NEUTRINOS AND ANTI- NEUTRINOS WITHOUT ANY FUNDAMENTAL CHANGES OCCUTING. BUT THE NEUTRINOS CARRY AWAY A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF ENERGY, AGAIN THE GAME GOES THAT THE GUTS CAN'T HOLD UP THE STAR; IT BEGINS TO COLLAPSE AND GET HOTTER. THIS GETS THE PHOTONS GOING BETTER; MORE ELECTRONS GET DRIVEN INTO THE NUCLEUS AND POOF THERE GOES A SUPERNOVAE OR SOMETHING. HOWEVER, THIS PROCESS IS OVERSHADOWED BY AN ALTERNATIVE ONE. BUT THIS WHENCH THE STAR PRODUCES A STRANGE EFFECT AND THAT IS TO LIMIT THE TIME WHICH IT COULD OCCUT. THAT IS, THE CROSS SECTION for THIS REPORTS SO SMALL $\int \sigma = 1.4 \times 10^{-45} (\frac{c}{V_{RELATIVE}}) (\frac{w^2 - 1}{mater} cm^2)$ THATE THE POSITION CHARTERS IN A CHARTER OF INCLESS IN THE COULD OCCUT.

The POSITION GENERATED by The Protons AS MENTIONED ON The Previous PAGE AT A GIVEN TEMPERATURE PHEOS POSSESS A HIGH RATE OF ENERGY LOSS TO THE NEUTRINOS, NE.,

$$\frac{dMv}{dt} = 4.6 \times 10^{15} (T \times 10^{9}) \operatorname{erg}_{cm^{3}/sec} \text{ for } T > 3 \times 10^{9}$$

$$= 5 \times 10^{18} ($$

AT TEMPERATURES of The order of 3x109 K The FIME TO EMPTY THE MESS of ITS THERMAL ENERGY IS.

> ThermAL ENERGY = 2 hours HATE of VEMISSION

There MUST be AN IMPLOSION OF CHAOS OF SOMETHING SCREWY GOING ON. This Process has shook up A few Astro-Theorists but went UNNOTICED for A while. We have to Got further Though to seek some sort of credible explanation. There are a couple more Processes which we CAN STILL DISCUSS AS PART of This URCA PROCESS.

The process involving A Similar Y+V Production results from A PLASMON DECAY, NE.

$$\chi \longrightarrow \chi + \underline{\lambda}$$

NOTE: WE HAVE PREVIOUSLY USED & TO DENOTE A GAMMA MAY; HERE WE GIVE IT THE MEANING OF A FUNDAMENTAL PATTICLE THE PLASMON. THIS PROCESS WILL GO AT THE RIGHT PLASMA FREQUENCY.

ALSO, THERE IS THE POSSIBILITY OF THE PLASMON INTERACTING WITH AN ELECTRON IN THE FOLLOWING WAS,

Y+e -> e + Y + V

The TWO COMPONENT NEUTRINOS ATE NOT INVARIANT UNDER SPATIAL REFLEXION. THAT IS, THE NEUTRINO SPIN IS ANTI-PARALLEL TO ITS MOMENTUM AND WHILE The SPIN OF ANGULAR MOMENTUM FEMAINS UNCHANGED UNDER A SPATIAL REFLEXION, The MOMENTUM CHANGES SIGN. THE MEUTRINO HS REMAINS UNCHANGED ONLY IF WE PREFORM SIMULTANEOUS SPATIAL REFLEXION AND CHARE CONJUGATION. THUS THE PARITY CONVERSATION LAW IS VIOLATED BY THESE PARTICLES.

A CHARGE CONJUGATION SIMPLY MEANS PARTICLE - ANTI-PARTICLE FLIPPING OF INOTATION. LIKE A POSITRON TO AN ELECTION. INTERESTING TO NOTE THE NEUTRINO WAS NO ELECTRICAL CHARGE, WILL NOT INTERACT WITH AN ELECTRO-MAGNETIC FIELD, A SPIN OF V2, AND REST MASS OF ZERO. BUT IT STILL DOES A LOT FOR ITS "NOTHINGNESS"

SUMMATIZING OUT PAIR Productions

(1) $e^- + e^+ \longrightarrow \gamma + \overline{\gamma}$ (z) $\gamma \longrightarrow \gamma + \overline{\gamma}$ (3) $\gamma + e^- \longrightarrow e^- + \gamma + \overline{\gamma}$

WE CAN PLOT THE PATES OF PAIR PRODUCTION TO SEE Which is DOMINANT AND WE GET.



Our Problem is to expLAIN why process (1) If favored since EXPERIMENTALLY The cross-section is so small we cannot observe it. However Beta-Decay IS FAMR Understood to A high degree today since the CP charge and Parity, VIOLATION WAS been styaightened out. But the existence of Normal Beta Decay is not clear. That is to say the direction of BETA Decay

P+e ~~ n+y

DEPEnds and the ENERGY of The SYSTEM. More EXPLICITY Fermi OTIGINALLY POSTULATED B-DECAY WAS ANALOGOUS TO ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION AND AS SUCH THE EN E-M RADIATION IS DUE TO A TIME-DEPENDENT INTERACTION between the radiation system (The ATOM) And its surrounding E-M field. The INTERACTION LEADS TO AN EXCHANGE OF ENERGY between the system AND THE FIELD.

The Above reaction can be rewritten differently in terms of the Anti-Particles but the reaction is the SAME

$$\eta + e^{\dagger} \longrightarrow P + \overline{V}$$

Thus we can think of the reaction as occurring in the following schematic Diagram



THAT IS THERE IS A TRANSITION FROM A NEUTRON TO A PROTON WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY THERE IS TH TRANSITION OF A POSITION INTO ANNEW ANTI-NEUTRINO. WHILE WE DON'T KNOW WHY THE REACTION GOES WE CAN DESCRIBE ITS EXISTENCE INTERMS OF A TRANSITION AMPLITUDE OF THE TWO REACTIONS JUST MENTIONED.

To EXPRESS THIS TATE OF BETA DECAY ARISING BY A CERTAIN TYANSITION AMPLITUDE between these particle we will ADOPT A Shorthand NOTATION. If the BETA DECAY WAS TEWRITTEN STILL ANOTHER WAY, TEMEMDERING TO MAKE A CHARGE CONJUGATION WHEN WE TAKE THE PARTICLE FROM DNE SIDE TO THE OTHER, I.E., $N + \overline{P} = --- = + \overline{Y}$

WE WRITE

The a is a coefficient which we will DISCUSS IN A MINUTE. THE TERMS IN PARENTHESIS REPRESENT THE AMPLITUDES (WITH LITTLE FACTORS OMITTED WHICH TELL WHETHER THE SPIN OF THE NEUTYON BIND PROTON IS ONP OR DOWN BUT SPIN IN BOTH ARE THE SAME) OF B-DECAY AND THIS AMPLITUDE DOES DEPEND ON THE SPIN DIRECTION. HOWEVER THE WORLD IS SO CONSTRUCTED THAT THE HAMILTONIAN OF THIS TEACTION WOULD have AN AMPLITUDE SUCH THAT IT DESCRIBES A UNIDIRECTIONAL TRANSITION SO WE MUST ADD THE HERMITIAN CONJUGATE OF THE ABOVE REACTION TO PERMIT REAL EXPECTATION VALUES TO BE OBTAINED.

 $a'(\vec{e} v)(\vec{P} n)$

WE THUS PRESERVE THE HEMITICITY OF THE HAMILTONIAN BY WRITING IT AS

$a'[(\vec{e} v)(\vec{P}N) + (\vec{N}P)(\vec{v}e)]$

where The coefficient is the same in Both Processes.

At The TIME OF The URCA Process Publication There were other weak DECAY Processes which had to be considered for A complete Description of The fundamental Processes. Unfortunately, The existence of other weak interaction led to An inherent compling between the processes which completelical complicated the MATHEMATICAL DESCRIPTION.

There is present A No MU-MESON OF MUON DECAY which goes AS,

$$\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{2\times10^{-5}ec} e + \gamma + \overline{\gamma}$$

The NEUTRINO AND ANTI-NEUTRINO EVOLVED ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THE MU-MESON AND ELECTION RESPECTIVELY, N.E.

$$\mu^{\pm} \longrightarrow e^{\pm} + \nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}e$$

WE CAN REWRITE THE EQUATION AS

THE COMBINED HAMILTONIAN ANALOGOUSLY TO THE ABOVE YEACTION

$$a\left[(e^{-}v)(\overline{V}_{\mu}\mathcal{A}) + (\overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{V}_{\mu})(\overline{\mathcal{V}}e)\right]$$

IT IS NOTED THAT THE NEUTRINOS ARE NOT THE SAME AND SOME HOW THEY "remember" where They came from. That is the Neutrino "KNOWS" IT IS A MU-MESON NEUTRINO AND THE ANTI-NEUTRINO KNOWS IT IS AN ELECTRON ANTI-N.

WE have STILL ANOTHER MODE OF TRANSITION AND THAT IS FOR A NEGATIVE MUON. IT IS CALLED MUON CAPTURE; THE M IS CAPTURED by A NUCLEUSLIKE THE K-CAPTURE. THIS PROCESS IS.

$$\mathcal{M} + P \longrightarrow n + \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}$$

The TRANSITION AMPLITUDE CAN be wriTTEN AS
$$\alpha'' \left[(\mathcal{M} \gamma) (\mathcal{P} N) + (\mathcal{N} P) (\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}) \right]$$

where AGAIN The ANTI-NEUTRON REMEMbers IT IS A MU-ANTI NEUTRINO. NOTE THAT ALL THE COEFFICIENTS Q', Q, Q" ARE different so far but WE WILL SOON DISCUSS THERE INTER RELATIONSHIPS,

STILL ANOTHER SET of DECAY MODE HAS BEEN PREDICTED INVOLVING THE LAMBDA (A) PARTICLE. ONE SUCH DECAY IS AS FOLLOWS .

$$\bigwedge \longrightarrow P + e^{-} + \overline{\gamma}$$

SUMMARIZING THOSE REACTION RATES

$$b\left[(\bar{e}\nu)(\bar{P}\Lambda) + (\Lambda P)(\bar{\nu}e)\right]$$

$$b\left[(\bar{\mu}\nu_{\mu})(\bar{P}\Lambda) + (\bar{\Lambda}P)(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}\mu)\right]$$

$$b''\left[(\bar{N}P)(\bar{P}\Lambda) + (\bar{\Lambda}P)(\bar{P}N)\right]$$

These EQUATIONS PLUS THE OTHER. THREE CONSTITUTE ALL OF BETA DECAY. The Problem is There Are All coupled to gether. This coupling comes some from the coefficients which Are NOT ALL EQUAL but found to be,

> Q' = .97Q Q'' = a' within ± 1 or 2% b = (.24)a ± 10% $b' \simeq b$ ± 10% $b'' \approx b'$ pretty well

So WHAT DO WE DO?

Well, WE SEE WE CAN EXPRESS ALL THE HAMILTONIANS IN TERMS OF Q, I.E., WrITING THE FIRST PART OF THE HAMILTONIAN.

- $a \left[(\vec{e} \gamma) (\vec{\gamma}_{\mu} \mu) \right]$ (1) .97a $\left[(\vec{e} \gamma) (\vec{P} N) \right]$ (2) .97a $\left[(\vec{e} \gamma) (\vec{P} N) \right]$ (3) (.24a) $\left[(\vec{e} \gamma) (\vec{P} \Lambda) \right]$ (4)
- $(.24a) [(<math>\bar{M} \nu_{\mu}$) ($\bar{P} \Lambda$)] (5) (.24a) [($\bar{N} P$) ($\bar{P} \Lambda$)] (6)

Now we see where The Problem really is And That is The coupling Between The reactions. Coupling such as Equation (1) and (2) And (3) And 5) etc. To uncouple these equations we guess at a form something like the following for the transition Amplitude proportional to J*J

 $\alpha \left\{ (\vec{e} \gamma) + (\vec{u} \gamma_{\mu}) + \left[\vec{P} (.97N + .24\Lambda) \right] \right\} \left\{ (\vec{\gamma} e^{\dagger}) + \vec{\gamma}_{\mu} \mu + \left[(.97N + .24\overline{\Lambda}) P \right] \right\}$

To see why this was chosen let's expand it like we would (a+b+ c) (d+e+f)

where $J = \Sigma(BA) = (\overline{e}v) + (\overline{N}P) + (\overline{M}v) + (STRANGE PARTICLE)$ The cross Term ($\overline{P}N$)($\overline{e}v$) Gives N decay, ($\overline{V}M$)($\overline{e}v$) Gives M decay, AND ($\overline{M}M$)($\overline{N}P$) Gives M capture. The Products LOOK SOMETHING LIKE,

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(\vec{e} \gamma) (\vec{\nu} e^{+}) + a(\vec{e} \gamma) (\vec{\nu}_{\mu} \mu) + \cdot 97 \alpha (\vec{e} \gamma) (\vec{N} P) + \cdot 24 \alpha (\vec{e} \gamma) (\vec{\Lambda} P) \\ & Q(\vec{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}) (\vec{\nu} e^{+}) + a(\vec{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}) (\vec{\mu} \mu) + \cdot 97 \alpha (\vec{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}) (\vec{N} P) + \cdot 24 \alpha (\vec{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}) (\vec{\Lambda} P) \\ \cdot 97 \alpha (\vec{P} N) (\vec{\nu} e) + \cdot 24 \alpha (\vec{P} \Lambda) (\vec{\nu} e) + \cdot 97 \alpha (\vec{P} N) (\vec{\nu}_{\mu} \mu) + \cdot 24 \alpha (\vec{P} N) (\vec{\nu}_{\mu} \mu) \\ & \alpha (\cdot 97 \vec{P} N + \cdot 24 \vec{P} \Lambda) (\cdot 97 \vec{N} P + \cdot 24 \vec{A} P) = \\ & (\cdot 97) \alpha (\vec{P} N) (\vec{N} P) + a (\cdot 97) (\cdot 24) (\vec{P} N) (\vec{\Lambda} P) + \alpha (\cdot 97) (\cdot 24) (\vec{P} \Lambda) (\vec{N} P) + \alpha (\cdot 24)^{2} (\vec{P} \Lambda) (\vec{\Lambda} P) \end{aligned}$$

So we have A real mess - well, yes to the extent we have implied The EXISTENCE of some reaction coupling by trying to simplife the decay HAMILTON IN OUR INITIAL form. Such reactions As $Q(e^{-\gamma})(\overline{\gamma} e)$ And $Q(\overline{\mu} \gamma_{\mu})(\overline{\gamma}_{\mu} \mu)$ are now implied to go dependence on the Amplitude "a". Experience tells us (?) we can substitute the reaction MY whenever we have $e^{-\gamma}$. But this poesn't solve our problem.

BY IMPLYING SUCH REACTIONS AS $Q(\vec{e}y)(\vec{y}e)$ MUST OCCUT IN ASTAR IT IS WITH A BENIGHN DISLIKE FOR too current stellar And NUCLEAR PROCESSES THAT WE DO SO. THE PROBLEM IS - HOW DO WE KNOW THE MONKEY WRENCH IS IN THE STAR AS WE HAVE JUST THROWN IT THERE. THE ASTRONOMER YELLS TO FEYNMAN TO GO BACK TO HIS CRAZY THINKING AND LEAVE HIS WORLD ALONE. BUT EVENT THIS DOESN'T DISCOURAGE A CONGENIAL GUY LIKE FEYNMAN SO HE GOES BACK TO HIS PAPER AND SAYS, ALL RIGHT YOU GUES YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU SEE BUT I DO. IF YOU DON'T SEE MIX REACTION, WELL IT MIGHT NOT FEALLY EXIST BUT THAT ONLY MEANS THE SIMPLICITY OF BUGH WRITING THE HAMILTONIAN IS IMPAIRED. SO I'LL TYY SOMETHING DIFFERENT.

The Processes Predicted Are NOT Admissible As They STAND because PARITY AND CHARGE ARE NOT CONSErVED. While rogether CP is conserved, The whole scheme looks bad. If we could invenit A way which the charge SIGN could flip around we would be for Altricht. If we reflect the whole Process in a charge-parity-time (CPT) MIRTOR we might have something. The constant phase factor we insert need not be real so for each Process we insert a corresponding phase, i.e.,

 $a \left\{ (\vec{e} v) + e^{i\theta}(\vec{\mu} v_{\mu}) + \left[\vec{P}(.97e^{iA}N + .24e^{i\omega}\Lambda) \right] \right\} \left\{ (\vec{v}e^{+}) + e^{i\theta}(\vec{v}_{\mu}\mu) + \left[(.97e^{iA}N + .24e^{i\omega}\Lambda)P \right] \right\}$

BUT WE COULD JUST AS WE GO THROUGH AND CHANGE THE PHASE TELATION ON M, N AND A TO NULL OUT THE Effects of O, A, W RESPECTIVELY SO WE DONIT DO ANYTHING. Suppose we let loose of The idea That we want a product of These reaction for our HAMILTONIAN And EXAMINE what would Happen to changing The Phase on Just one Equation SAY (3). We could write

$$a\left[e^{i\theta}(\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\mu})(\bar{P}N)+e^{i\theta}(\bar{N}P)(\bar{\gamma}_{\mu}M)\right]$$

WELL, WHAT Did WE DO? WE ESSENTIALLY CHANGED THE WAVE FUNCTION of The ANTI-MUON, I by A FACTOR O. BUT THIS MESSES UP EQUATION(1)

$$\alpha \left[(e^{-\gamma})(\overline{\nu}_{\mu},\mu) + (\overline{\mu},\overline{\nu}_{\mu})(\overline{\gamma},e^{+\gamma}) \right]$$
(1)

AND WE STILL PON'T HAVE MUCH SO IT'S TIME TO QUIT AND THINK MORE About This.

Well, There is an unhappy ending to the tale I was telling last week; Thats means I have been unable how to get a satisfactory result.

We TheorizED The EXISTENCE OF A (EY) INTERACTION IN B-DECAY BUT WE HAVE FORGOTION TO MENTION A COUPLE OF THINGS. WE TRIED TO WRITE The COMPLETE PRODADILITY CUIPENT AS THE PRODUCT OF THE SUM OF THE TWO TRANSITION AMPLITUDES, I.E., THE WAVE FUNCTION AND ITS CONJUGATE AND GOT SOMETHING THAT LOOKS LIKE

• (ev + Av + PN + PA) (ve + vu + NP + AP)

TO A SIMPLIFIED APPROXIMATION.

WE TRIED VATIOUS PHASE CORRECTIONS; THAT DIDN'T DO ANYTHING. THEN WE LOOKED AT THE SIX INDIVIDUAL PROCESSES AND TRIED TO CHANGE THINGS SO THE COUPLING IS REDUCED BY INSERTING SOME COMPLEX 2'S ON SOME OTHER STUFF.

ANOTHER APPROACH, WHICH I FORGOT TO MENTION, HAS TO DO WITH THE THEORIZING of A PARTICLE DENOTED by W which couplese All The currents And explains Their behavior, 1.2. we write

 $(\bar{e}_{Y}+\bar{\mu}_{Y}+\bar{P}_{N}+\bar{P}_{\Lambda})W$

where we depict The INTERACTION IN A FEYNMAN DIAGRAM.



SINCE W HAS bEEN OBSETUED OR ANY SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE DISCOVERED TO SUPPORT IT EXISTENCE, WE DON'T FIND MUCH HELP HERE. Worth inserting here is more on Feynman's introduction of the "W" coupling force

In today's understanding this is the W-boson which was followed by the Z Boson. From Wikipedia:



The W bosons are best known for their role in nuclear decay. Consider, for example, the beta decay of cobalt-60, an important process in supernova explosions.

It is worth pointing out here that this Feynman discussion of the need for the "W Boson" was in early 1967 in advance of the "1968 ...weak interactions by Glashow, Weinberg and Salam.

Interesting Feynman came in from this nuclear synthesis perspective which is a different path than from unifying electromagnetism. Typical Feynman like his sum over all histories approach to quantum theory.



ALSO WHEN WE WROTE THE (PN) INTERACTION WE IMPLY SOME SMALL SCATTERING Effect DURING (S-DECAY. BECAUSE PARITY IS VOLATED IN B-DECAY WE MUST EXAMINE MUCLEAR TRANSITIONS IN WHICH A VERY SMALL PART OF THE NUCLEON FORCES ARE NOT PARITY CONSERVED. THAT IS, WE LOOK AT THE NUCLEI LEVEL FOR TRANSITIONS WHICH ARE IMPOSSIBLE IF PARITY IS CONSERVED.

AS AN EXAMPLE CONSIDER A STATE OF R SPIN 2 EVEN PARITY BUT IS NOT A PURE STATE AND I PART IN 10⁻⁷ IS SPIN 2 ODD PARITY. If The PARITY IS A LITTLE COCKEYED A GAMMA MAY WILL BE EMITTED AS IT MAKES A TRANSITION TO A SPIN ZERO EVEN PARITY STATE. IF PARITY IS VIOLATED WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO DETECT WHICH WAY THE GAMMA IS CIRCULARLY POLARIZED. THE WHOLE THING IS NOT CLEAR AND EVEN IF THIS THING EXISTS ISS NOT CLEAR HOW SIGNIFICANT IT IS TO (PN) TRANSITION.

I TRIED TO: DNE, ESTABLIST SOME DEAUTIFULLY SIMPLE OF NATURAL FORM of THE TRANSITION AMPLITUDE AND, TWO, TO CHECK THESE SMALL MATTIX ELEMENTS. I could NEITHER FIND A SIMPLE FORM OF A NUMERICAL CHECK. THUS WE CONCLUDE THERE MUST BE A CRISS TERM. CHAPER 7 NEUTRINO PROCESSES H.Y. CHIU

NEUTRINOS ARE IMPORTANT BECAUSE THEY ACT AS ENERGY SINKS BECAUSE THEIR MEAN FREE PATH IS About 1000 LIGHT YEARS. THUS THE STAR IS TRANSPARENT TO THE NEUTRINO.

The Urca Process

The Loss of ENERGY due to ordinary B decay is called the URCA PROCESS.

$(z,A) + e^{-} \implies (z-1,A) + v$

The ELEMENTS which CONTRIBUTE MOST TO THE URCA PROCESS ARE CR35 AND 532

NEUTRINO BREMSSTRAHLUNG

The Theory of Feynman And Gell-MANN describes The weak interactions As produced by a current which interacts with itself. The current,

$$J = (eV_e) + (PN) + (\mu V_{\mu})$$

INTERACTING WITH ITSELF Produces The reactions,

n ---> P+e + ve ~ → et ve + Vu (P,n) (p,n)* $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}}) (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}})^{\mathsf{T}}$ $(P, n) (\mu, V_{\mu})^{\dagger}$ (e, Ve) (e, Ve)^{\dagger}

The SQUARE TERM PROCESS $(e, Ve)(e, Ve)^T$ becomes IMPORTANT when The ThERMAL ENERGY KT is of the order of the Electron MASS, I.E., $M \sim 0.5 \text{ MeV}$, $T \sim 7X10^{90} \text{K}$. ALSO $(\mu V_{\mu})(\mu V_{\mu})^{\dagger}$ is IMPORTANT when KT is About THAT of MUON, $\mu = M_{\mu} \sim 106 \text{ MeV}$ AND $T \sim 10^{12.0} \text{K}$ because the PAIRS Are IN EQUILIBRIUM with the Photon FIELD.

PHOTO - NEUTRINO PROCESS

FOR A SEAL PHOTON,

PAIR ANNIHILATION PROCESS (TEMPERATURES > 109 °K) The ANNIHILATION OF AN ELECTRON-POSITRON PAIR INTO NEUTRINOS. THE PAIRS ARE IN THERMODYNAMIC EQUILIBRIUM WITH THE PHOTONS because the time scale of Pair Production And Annihilation to Photoms is much shorter than that of ANY NEUTRINO PROCESS

PLASMA PROCESS (HIGH P, TEMP & TO9 K)

A free Photon CANNOT decay into NEUTRINOS because the decay of a zero MASS PARTICLE INTO TWO PARTICLES IS FORBIDDEN BY ENERGY-MOMENTUM CONSERVATION. IN AN ELECTRON GAS PHOTONS MAY APPEAR TO HAVE AREST MASS. THE RELATION between the frequency w And the wave number K is for $w > w_0$ (the PLASMA freq.) $\pi^2 w^2 = \pi^2 w_0^2 + \pi^2 c^2$

IN THIS CASE A PHOTON MAY DECAY INTO A NEUTRINO-ANTI NEUTRINO PAIR.

The PAIR PROCESS IS IMPORTANT IN STELLAR COLLAPSE AND PLASMA PROCESS IN THE CYCATION of White dwarfs.

111 a

WE IFTURN, ONCE AGAIN, TO OUR MAIN LINE OF DISCUSSION AND THAT WAS TO SOLVE THE FOUR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WE FIRST TOOK UP ON PAGES 59. AND 60. WE NEEDED A KNOWLEDGE OF THE OPACITY K and ENGINE GENERATION EVEL IN ORDER TO COMPLETE OUR DISCUSSION

SO TO START OFF IN AN UNCERTAIN WAY AS TO HOW THE GAS COLLECTS WE MAKE TWO ASSUMPTIONS :

(i). The STAR DOES NOT ROTATE

(ii). The STAR DOES NOT LOSE MASS

The first ASSUMPTION COuld LEAD TO QUITE AN IMPORTANT OMISSION because A ROTATENG STAT CANNOT BE IN EQUILIBRIUM. This MEANS CONVECTIVE REGIONS EXIST WHICH STIRS THE GOOP UP FROM THE CENTER AND MAKES A MESS OUT OF OUT CALCULATIONS.

WE BEGIN THEN WITH A SPRETICALLY SYMMETTIC GLOP OF GAS Which FALLS IN UNDER GRAVITATIONAL FORCE AND HEATS UP UNDER THE COMPRESSING FOR. THE FIRST PROBLEM IS THAT THIS CONTRACTION IS VERY TIME DEPENDENT AND HOW LONG THE STUFF FLOATS AROUND IS ANY BODY'S GUESS. FURTHER, WE EXCLUDE FROM OUR CONSIDERATION DYNAMICALLY VARYING STATES, 1.E., AT A GIVEN TIME WE CONSIDER THE STAR TO BE IN HYDROSTATIC EQUILIBRIUM.

The STAR COLLAPSES UNTIL THE COMPRESSION STOPS DECAUSE OF # THE TEMPERATURE FISE AND THE MASS DOWNCES AND SETTLES DOWN INTO THE PRE-MAIN SEQUENCE STAGE. THE TEMPERATURE IS TOO LOW FOR NUCLEAR REACTION SO IT FLODIS AROUND BUT AFTER A WHILE HEAT LEAKS OUT. THE. CONSEQUENCE: IS TO ALLOW THE STAR TO COLLAPSE CAUSING A HEATING AND A HIGH THERMAL GRADIENT AND OPACITY RESULT. IT IS NOW ABOVE ADIABATIC CONDITIONS AND, THERE FORE, WILL CONVECT THROUGH OUT THE STAR. BUT A SATISFACTORY CONVECTION THEORY IS NOT KNOWN TO EXPLAIN THIS PRE-MAIN SEQUENCE STAGE WHERE NO REACTIONS HAVE YET BEGUN. THE FIRST STABE OF THE STAR CAN bE depicted in The FOLLOWING H.R (HERTE-SPRUNG RUSSELL DIAGRAM).



As the CENTER GETS NOTTER DUE TO THE CONTRACTION OF COMPRESSIVE FORCES, IS becomes TRANSPARENT. THE BIG TEMPERATURE GRAdieNT NO LONGER EXISTS AND THE CONVECTION CEASES. THE TEMPERATURE AT THE SURFACE, however, rises because The radius shrinks.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS bEGIN AND THE CATEON DERESENT DEGINS TO BURN, $C^{12}(P, g)c^{13}$ AND THE NITTOGEN PATTAISO GOES, $N^{14}(P, g)O^{15}$. The NITROGEN BURNING IS VERY SLOW. THE CYCLE GETS STUCK. BUT AS THE C^{12} burns the opacity lowers, MORE HEAT ESCAPES, THE CENTER DECOMES MORE CONVECTIVE AND WILL BURN WHAT C^{12} remains at a faster and faster rate. The temperature rises. And we start the hybrogen (P-P) cycle and the start becomes A MAIN SEQUENCE STAR. THIS OCCURS ABOUT 5 X10⁷ YEARS AND About 99% of The ENERGY IS from This NUCLEAR REACTION AND THE GRAVITATIONAL effect is ESCENTIALLY GONE. THIS IS for A STAR of MASS ABOUT THAT OF THE SUN. THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS CAN BE SHOWN IN THE FOLLOWING DIAGRAM:



The NATURE OF The Surface depends to A LARGE DEGREE ON The CONVECTIVE regions below And This becomes very complicated. The changes in chemical composition (OPACITY), TEMPERATURE GRADIENTS, ETC PLAY AN IMPORTANT PART IN This Process but we Just DON'T KNOW THAT MUCH About Them. We DO KNOW THAT WHEN CONVECTION STARTS THE YEADJUSTMENT OF THE MATTER REQUIRES GRAVITATION WORK SO THE STAR' COOLS" ASSEEN DURING C'2 BURNOUT. The P-P reaction The commences after the CONVECTION STOPS, 1.2., WHEN There Are NO high TEMPERATURE OF ADJENTS LEFT.

FOR MORE MASSIVE STARS THE C12 bURNING CONTINUES AND THE CORE REMAINS CONVECTIVE SO THAT THE DISTRIBUTION OF STARS "FALLING" INTO THE MAIN SEQUENCE DEPENDS ON ITS MASS AND THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE PROCESS AND ACTUAL OBSERVED AND PLOTTED MAIN SEQUENCE STARS IS AMAZINGLY CLOSE,



The DISTRIBUTION OF STARS ALONG THE MAIN SEQUENCE IS NOT SENSITIVE TO COMPOSITION AWAY FROM THE LINE AS IT IS ALONG IT, I.E., SMALL FLUCTVATION IN COMPOSITIONS WOULD MOVE THE STAR UP OF DOWIN THE LING A LOT BUT IT WON'T GET OFF OF IT VERY FAR.

ONEE THE STAR LANDS ON THE MAIN SEQUENCE IT IS RELATIVELY CALM AS IT burns its hydrogen And Sits There for About 10" YEARS UNLESS IT is A VERY MASSIVE STAR. NOW WE DISCUSS THE DIFFERENCE.

MAIN SEQUENCE STARS

WE SEPARATE THESE STARS INTO TWO GROUPS :

(1). UPPER MAIN SEQUENCE - CARDON-OXYGEN-NITROGEN CYCLE PREVALENT (2) LOWER MAIN SEQUENCE - PROTON-PROTON CYCLE IMPORTANT

STRUCTURALLY THESE STATS ARE DIFFERENT AS SEEN by The rEACTIONS OCCURING. The LOWER MAIN SEQUENCE STATS LIKE THE SUN HAVE A MUCH LOWER CORE TEMPERATURE AND MUCH HIGHER CENTRAL DENSITIES WITH THE CORE IN RADIATIVE EQUILIBRIUM IN THE P-P PROCESS. THERE IS ALSO AN OUTER CONVECTIVE SHELL OUTSIDE THE CORE. THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION WILL VARY WITH TIME AND ALSO TADIALLY OUTWARD SINCE THE TATE OF DURNING HYDROGEN DEPENDS ON TEMPERATURE Which decreases OUTWARD.

The UPPER MAIN SEQUENCE STATS, ON The OTHER HAND, HAVE A GONDUCT CONVECTIVE CORE DURING THE CNO CYCLE SO IT IS WELLE STIPPED UP. THE OUTSIDE IS IN *AdiATIVE EQUILIBRIUM. THESE STARS HAVE HIGHER LUMINIOSITY THAN THE LOWERS SO IT bURN HYDROGEN FASTER AND THUS DON'T LAST AS LONG.

A Good Theory of CONVECTION IS NEEDED TO UNAERSTAND THE MIXING ACTION. A PARAMETER CALLED THE MIXING LENGTH HAS bE CONCEIVED TO EXPLAIN HOW DEEP EACH LITTLE CONVECTIVE CELL IS AND HOPEFULLY EXPLAIN HOW Such THINGS AS LITHIUM GET TO THE SURFACE OF THE SUN. PRESUMABLY THE COOLER STARS HAVE THICKER CONVECTIVE CORES. BUT AN "A" TEMPERATURE STAR MIGHT HAVE A FAST POTATION AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM SPITS OUT MATTER WITH HIGH ANGULAR VELOCITY AND ALL SORTS OF STUFF. WE CAN GIVE A TOUGH IDEA how MUCH ENERGY THE CONVECTIVE CORE PRODUCES IN PROPORTION TO THE REST OF THE STAR AND ALSO Show THE RATIO OF THE ENERGY PRODUCTION OF THE CATBON CYCLE TO THE PROTON-PROTON CYCLE,



The curve Lec/L Shows The core is responsible for NEARLY 90% of The ENERGY PRODUCTION WHILE THE CARBON AND PROTON CYCLE ARE ATE EQUAL IN ENERGY POWER GENERATED AT 2MO. For STARS OF MASS IS NO NEARLY HAIF THE MASS IS IN THE CONVECTIVE CORE.

The HYDROGEN IS CONSUMED AND A helium core is formed. The energy GENERATION IN THE CORE STOPS, THE TEMPERATURE GRADIENT CANNOT BE MAINTAINED. AN OUTER HYDROGEN SHELL FORMS BUT THE RAPID CHANGE IN STRUCTURE CAUSES THE STAR TO MOVE AWAY FROM THE MAIN SEQUENCE AND IT ENTERS THE RED GIANT PHASE AS A HELIUM BURNER.

The next lecture begins with population I and II stars.



Population I stars: ordered motion. Circular orbits in the disk plane; younger, more metal-rich. **Population II** stars: random motion. Eccentric orbits passing through disk plane; older, more metal-poor. TODAY WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A LONG TOUR OF THE HEAVENS AND DESCRIBE THE CHARACTER OF THE STARS AS SEEN BY OBSERVERS TODAY. THIS FOLLOWS FROM A DISCUSSION I HAD WITH JESSE GREENSTEIN THIS MORNING SO THE MATERIAL IS NOT WELL DIGESTED, BUT I'LL LEARN MORE ABOUT IT AS TIME GOES ON.

WE DIVIDE THE STARS INTO TWO CATEGORIES - POPULATION I AND IT: POPULATION I CONSIST OF THOSE STARS IN THE SPITAL ARMS. THEY ARE YOUNGER AND HEAVIER IN THE HIGHER METALS. THEY ARE SECOND GENERATION AND SURTOUND US AND PROVIDE A GOOD SOURCE OF MATERIAL FOR ODSERVERATIONS.

POPULATION I These Are The STATS COMPRISING The GOODULAT CLUSTERS. They PASS VERTICALLY "Through The GALACTIC PLANE AND ARE CALLED HIGH VEIOCITY FIELD STARS. These move by Its AND OUT ARE DUR SOURCE OF DATA.

WE BEEN WITH THE POP. I'S AND CATEGORIZE THEM IN THE FOLLOWING WAY:

- (1). MAIN SEQUENCE THESE WE HAVE PRETTY WELL DISCUSSED ALREADY.
- (2). RED GIANTS THESE ARE MASSIVE STARS WHICH HAVE BROKEN OFF THE MAIN SEQUENCE AND SPREAD OUT VERTICALLY (SEE NEXT PAGE) AND THE SPREAD IN LUMINOSITY DEPEND ON THE SPLIT IN COMPOSITION: (Q). THE MI STARS ARE NORMAL AND FOLLOWING THE STELLAR EVOLUTION TO THE RIGHT
 - (b). S STARS have heavy elements in odd proporpions like LANTHANUM TO IRON IS A BOUT A 100 TIMES THAT IN THE SOM. WE COME BACK TO EXPLAIN THIS LATTER.
 - (c) The C STATS Are The CATBON FICH STATS, 1.E., C¹³ IN PARTICULAR AND C¹³/C¹¹ IS About 1/2 for The highest CONCENTRATION AND 1/100 for The other but 1/100 is still A LOT OF C¹³ TO HAVE ATOUND. ON EARTH ITS About 1/10,000.

The S-STATS Are UNUSUAL IN THAT A CERTAIN AMOUNT of TECHNESIUM has been observed in The ATMOSPhere. SINCE To has a halflife of 10⁵ years IT MUST be made IN The core NOW and DE carried TO The surface IN Some UNKNOWN Fashion. The Masses of The STATS Are About 4M0

- (3). SUPER-GIANTS THESE HAVE MASS 10-20 THAT OF THE SUN
- (4). White DWARFS IF These Are remnants of burned out stars Thenwe might EXPECT Some charred embers DOWN below The H-R DIAGRAM AS COOL - STATS.
- (5) ONE odd BALL CLASS OF STARS ARE THE MAGNETIC STARS. THESE HAVE STRONG MAGNETIC FIELDS which EXCITE OF ACCELERATE PARTICLES TO VELOCITIES NECESSARY FOR NUCLEAR VEACTION.



THAT IS A Brief I'VN DOWN OF THE HAPPY STATS NOW WE WANT TO DISCUSS THE REAL ODD DALLS - THE VATIABLES. WE CLASSIFY THEM INTO THE FOLLOWING GROUPS:

(1). CEPheid VARIABLES - These ARE VERY PERIODIC IN THEIR FLUCTUATION AND OSCILLATION IN PERIODS FROM 1/12 - 70 days. NU MASS IS KNOWN for sure but it is believe to be from 3-10 MO. The period of These OSCILLATIONS IS GIVEN by

BY A DIMENSION AL ANALYSIS WE CAN ATOUE THIS rELATIONSHIP. If The STAR IS UNGOING A SPHERICAL MODE OF OSCILLATION THE NORMAL MODE OF UIDRATION HAS A FREQUENCY AboUT EQUAL TO THE SPEED OF LIGHT WITH

> P = R, RAdius VEIOCITY of Sound

but The ULLOCITY CAN be ESTIMATED FROM THE KINETIC ENERGY EQUALLING THE GRAVITATIONAL POTENTIAL ENERGY SO

Thus,

$$P = \frac{R^{3/2}}{1m} = \frac{1}{1m/R^3} = \frac{1}{1p}$$

WE DON'T MEAN THE WHOLE STAT IS PULSATING BUT FATHER THE OUTER Shells Are MAKING THE REAL MOVEMENT. IT IS LIKE SNAPPING A WhIP; THE SACTION IS INVATIANT ALONG THE FADIALS SO THE TIP MUST MOVE A LOT SINCE THERE IS SO LITTLE MASS TO DISTURD.

The STAR'S ATE Thought to be burning helium in LAYERED Shells, And SEEM to be well observed but one cepheid Stopped completely About four YEARS AGO And HASN'T KICKED UP YET. SO DO THEY START AND STOP? IS THERE A drift in frequencies of Oscillation if They wary in The direction of AN Expanding UNIVERSE, I.E., TOTAL higher VELOCITIES,

- (2). DWART CEPheids These Are FASTER PULSATING, U.Z. DAYS. IT IS NOT KNOWN WHERE THEY FALL EVOLUTIONARY WISE. IF THEY ARE MORE dense. They would have short periods for The Above REASON.
- (3). The long-period variables TAKE 150-450 DAYS PER CYCLE AND These are NOT Perfectly regular in Their Pulsating.
- (4). RV TAURIJ THESE ARE SEMI-REGULAR OR QUITE IRREGULAR WITH 50-150 DAYS IN A PERIOD. THESE HAVE SHOCK OSCILLATIONS, I.E., The OUTER SHELL COMES dOWN ON THE INNER STUFF AND SET UP Shock WAVES. THAT RUN UP AND DOWN THROUGH THE STAR.
- (5). W. URSA MINOR These Are 6 hours in Periodicy And Are binary STATS IN CONTACT FOLLING About EACHOTHER.
- (6). The OLD NOVAE Are STARS THAT FLARE UP TO MAY BE 10° TIME THE LUMINOS ITY OF THE SUN THEN PETER OUT. THEY ARE UNDERSTOOD TO BE OLD double or DINARY STARS IN CONTACT ROTATING AT VERY HIGH FREQUENCIES; IN FACT THEY ARE JUST FIZZING. THE PERIODS ARE A FEW MINUTES, I.E., THEIR RADIUST FIZZING. THE PERIODS ARE A FEW MINUTES, I.E., THEIR RADIUST STARS THERE ARE DINARY WHITE HAST. WHILE MENTIONING DINARY STARS THERE ARE DINARY WHITE dwarfs -ONE WITH A PERIOD OF 4 HOURS 39 MINUTES. ANOTHER WITH AN EXCEPTIONALLEY REGULAR (I PART IN 1071 PERIOD OF IMINUTE MEASURED OVER SEVERAL VEARS. IT IS BELIEVED TO HAVE A SLIGHT DUMP ON ITS SURFACE AND OS CILLATES ABOUT THE PERTURDATION LIKE A PENDULUM.
- (7). FLATE STATES SIMILAT TO NOVAE FLATE UP TO A FACTOR of SO before PETERING OUT IN A MATTER OF 4 HOURS. ACTUALLY THEY ARE CALLED M-FLATE STARS AND WHY COOL STARS do THIS IS NOT KNOWN.

ANOTHER CLASS AS THE PLANETARY NEBULAE which have very hot centers LIKE A LITTLE white dwarf surrounded by GAS. The frequencies Are different in This star And it might be a PLACE TO check for the EXISTENCE of The (er) (er) TETM IN THE B-decar.



SKETCH ShOWING relative position of VARIABLE STATS for POP. I

POPULATION I STARS

As we MENTIONED EARLIER THESE STARS ARE MAINLY FOUND IN THE GODULAR CLUSTERS. THEY ARE OLDER AND SUBSEQUENT THE HIGHER PORTIONS OF THE MAIN SEQUENCE IN VOID SINCE THEY HAVE LONG SINCE BURNED OUT. A TOUGH SKETCH OF THE SCATTERING OF THESE STARS ACTOSS A. H-R DIAGRAM LOOKS LIKE,



POP. IT

The doubling in LUMINOSITY, 1.2, TWO VALUES AT About K IN TEMPERATURE IS FATHER COMMON BUT MYSTERIOUS AS TO WHAT THE EVOLUTION PROCESS IS Through This PHASE.

The red GIANTS burn off The MAIN SEQUENCE AND MORE OF LESS AGGREGATE IN A CLUSTER. These STARS ARE METAL DEFICIENT; THE RATIO OF METALS TO HYDROGEN 13 A 100 TIMES LESS THAN THE SUN. THEY ARE GENERALLY LOW YOTATION AND THERE ARE NO CLOSE DINARY STARS. EVEN DINARIES OF LARGE SEPARATION ARE FATE.

IT IS ESTIMATED FAAT BO% of The STARS ARE POP. II WHILE IN OUR VICINITY IT IS THE OTHER WAY INFOUDD OF THE POP. I'S SINCE WE ARE IN A SPIRAL ARM.

The STARS ON The Previous diagram are classified in the following Groups:

- 1. The CARbon rich And Therefore, rich in heavy elements.
- 2. W. VIRGINIS STARS ARE REALLY POP II CEPHEIDS. THEY ARE PERIODIC EXCEPT THAT THEY ARE A FACTOR OF BOR SO LESS INTENSE THAN POP I'S OF THE SAME PERIOD.
- 3. R.R. LYRAE The COMPLETE DENAMIC CALCULATIONS OF THEIR PULSATION ARE KNOWN. THEY HAVE BEEN WORKED OUT ON A COMPUTER AND THEY FALL CLOSE TO THE MATHEMATICAL VALUES. IT IS NOT KNOWN THOUGH IF THEY ARE INCOMING OF OUT GOING HELIVM OUTNERS. THERE IS A GAP which WE CAN'T EXPLAIN AS YET.
- 4. The Red Noute Are rare and They MIGHT CONSIST of Some old POP I's. 5. L.P. VARIABLES have different distributions as a function of Period.

FINALLY ONLY ONE PLANETARY NEDULA IS KNOWN IN POP. II. See below

All MASSES ATE OF The order of 1-.75 MO because The heavy ones have Burned out. The .75 MO STARS ARE The MOST NUMEROUS STARS IN The UNIVERSE: They JUST SIT THERE AND BURN AND BURN AND BURN. IN This Group TheRE ARE NO KNOWN STARS Above 10³ LO where POP. I NOVAE CAN FLARE UP TO AS high AS 10⁵-10⁶ LO.

SUPER NOVAE

THE LAST Group WE WANT TO MENTION ARE THE SUPERNOVAE. THESE BLOW UP TO About 10° LO. They happen About once Every 400 years And WE are due SINCE THAT LAST ONE OCCURRED About 1550 which Tycho Brahe observed. There no are no pre super-novae observed like Pop. I novae That only reach 10° LO AND FRIL BACK IN A CLUSTER.

There are two types of Supernovde:

TYPE I which occur in Pop. II STARS TYPE Z which occur in Pop I STARS



120

"Cat's Eye" Planetary Nebula-Hubble image

TYPE 2 SUPERNOVAE Are NOT IDENTIFIED WITH ANY REGION ON THE H-R DIAGRAM. THEIR ORIGIN IS UNKNOWN. THEY A RANGE FROM 10^{8.5} - 10¹⁰ LO WHEN THE BLOW UP AND LAST ABOUT A WEEK MONTH. THE POWER LIBERATED IN THE FORM OF LIGHT IS OF THE ORDER OF 10⁵⁰ ERGS. THE AMERICAN EXPERTS CLAINL THAT 10⁵²-10⁵⁴ ERGS ARE LIBERATED IN THE ACTUAL EXPLOSION TO GET ALL THAT LIGHT OUT BUT THE RUSSIANS AND FEYN MAN DISAGREE BECAUSE THEY TALK A BOUT COLLECTIVE ELECTYON MOTION AND DON'T NEED THE EXTRA POWER IN EXPLAINING SYNCHOTON IADIATION. BUT IF WE ASSUME SAY 10⁵⁴ ERGS OF POWER GOES UP IN SMOKE, THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO IMOC², I.E., CONVERTING THE SUN INTO RAW ENERGY.

TYPE I POP II SUPERNOVAE TEACH 10" LO Which corresponds to The order of MAGNITUDE of The TOTAL LUMINOSITY of OUR GALARSY. This LARGE MASS IN The Form of radiation causes The LIGHT TO be DENT AND IT TAKE About S4 days for it to DIOW ITSELF OUT. There are EMISSION LINES which discloses some UNKNOWNI SUBSTANCE CALLED X which isclose to hydrocen. The remNANTS OF A SUPERNOVAE CAN DESEEN IN The form of The CRAD NEDULAE which DIEW UP IN 1054 AND recorded by The Chinese. But The EUROPEANS WERE SOFAT INTO THE DATK AGES NOT ONE SCRIDE RECORDED IN



Multiwavelength X-ray, infrared, and optical compilation image of Kepler's supernova remnant, SN 1604.

ONE IDEA PRESUPPOSES THE EXISTENCE OF ITON IN THE STELLAR ATMOSPHERE. THEN SOME OTHER PROCESS PRODUCES NEUTRONS, SAY C¹³(K, n) O¹⁶ which ATE VERY SLOW. BUT A LOT OF THEM HIT THE ITON. THEY ARE Absorbed AND THE ATOMIC NUMBER STARTS TO CLIMB WILL BETT DECAY INSURES THE CHARGE NUMBER CORRESPONDENCE. IT IS REASONABLE TO ASSUME THEM AFTER A LONG ENOUGH PERIOD OF TIME WE COULD BUILD UP TO URANIUM. IT IS DELIEVED THAT COLLAPSING CORES PRODUCE JILLIONS OF NEUTRONS AND THUS PROVIDES THE NECESSATY SUPPLY.

HOWEVER, THE ELEMENT EUROPIUM HAS A HIGH CROSS SECTION AREA FOR NEUTRONS AND WE WAN'T TO SAY THAT WE WOULD HAVE FEW OF THIS ELEMENT IT CAPTURES NEUTRONS SO FAST IT IS CONSTANTLY CHANGING TO SOMETHING ELSE. THE MATE AT WHICH THIS PROCESSS TAKES PLACE IS GIVEN BY

Where N is The Number of NEUTRONS CROSSING A UNITAREA EACH SECOND THAT IS, IT IS A NEUTRON FLUX AND THUS PROPORTIONAL TO 1/0, The CROSS SECTION of The Absorbing species while U is A number denoting The The NUMber of NUCLEI of Absorbing element per cc.

IT IS ILLUSTRATIVE TO Show This daming UP Affect if No 18 PLOTTED AGAINST THE ATOMIC NUMBER:



There is definitely A bump AT 140, 1.2., where The NEWTONS PILE UP AND whith A while before They Go Around. Thus The Elements BY YORNId The POINT FALL off IN NO. AT 140 THERE ARE TIGHTLY bound NUCLEI which causes This Phenomena. Thus A logical deduction That different PLACES IN The UNIVERSE would show different degress of bomp. WITH THE OLD SECTIONS SHOWING A SMALL BUMP SINCE THEY HAD A LONG TIME TO WORK THOUGH. INDEED, This IS THE CASE. THEREFORE, THE NEUTRON BONDARDMENT IDEA SEEMS TO HOLD WATER. TODAY REPRESENTS ESSENTIALLY THE LAST LECTURE ON STELLAR STRUCTURES. THAT IS TO SAY MY FINITE CAPACITY TO DIGEST AN INFINITE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL HAS BEEN REACHED. WHILE I AM IN THE PROCESS OF FINDING OUT WHAT SOME OF THE REACHED. WHILE I AM IN THE PROCESS OF FINDING OUT WHAT SOME OF THE REALLY ENTHUSIASTIC THEORIES A DOUT STELLAR EVOLUTION ARE, I WILL PROCEED ALONG OUR PATH ORIGINALLY OUTLINED WITH A FEW MODIFICATION DUE TO SOME UP DATED KNOWLEDGE WHICH I WILL ACQUIRE.

Nuclear synthesis of elements higher than iron

ONE LAST ATEA WE WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS DEALS WITH NUCLEAR SYNTHESIS AND how The heavier THAN ITON Elements are formed. We talked about The CNO cycle AND HOW IT IS difficult to measure These ratios. Also how some stats have too much or too little C¹² from the Three hydrogen burning process. How lithium 6 and 7, Bervillium, and Boron could Not remain in equilibrium and tet they are observed in the stellar spectra. Also how the Iron Peak seems to be in equilibrium and Perhaps a predicted ey wer reaction dissipates energy to MAINTAIN The equilibrium. We saw the maximum Abundance of ANY element is /Ron because it has the hibbest binding energy per Nucleon. Since we have cleared up All of these critical problems we will mention how the heavier elements ate formed because the Experts claim that Iron would NATURALLY decay BACK to helium.

Spallation The Processed believed to be the cause of the higher elements is spall attom. This involves high energy protons or NEUTrons Bombarding The elements and KNOCKING or Chipping off little Pieces. Thatis like A 100 Mev Proton highing an Oxorden Atom to form Li⁶, Li⁷ Plus other debris, This is not a fission process but rather like its names i implies a knocking off. This is really cosmic ray bombard ment. Experimentally we can produce a spallation effect and get a MEASURE Able QUANTITY of Li⁶, Li⁷, Be. The ratio of Li⁶, Li⁷/ Be is at best about 20:1 with high Mev Protons. Since in a star Li⁶ would befaten right Away by an Alpha Patticle, it is Expected that the Degtectable ratio in Stars would Never exceed 20:1. But two stars have been observed which CONTIDICT This statement. Soit is very hard to MEASURE the QUANTITIES of Li⁶ And Li⁷ Since Their spectral Alines Lie so Elose to One Another.

> But where Does The URANIUM COME From? WE USE THE ENERGY NECESSARY TO DIND IT TOGETHER but how WAS IT FORMED? FROM A VOLCANO MAY DE? CERTAINLY WHATEVER THE PROCESS IT COULD NOT HAVE DEEN AN EQUILIBRIUM ONE. Was

SINCE THAT A BOUT TAKES CARE OF MY CURRENT KNOWLEDGE WE WILL HAVE TO GO TO SOMETHING ELSE AND STAIT BY ASKING FOR ANY QUESTION. WE MIGHT BRIEFLY MENTION THE PATH WE OFIGINALLY SET FOR OUTSELVES AS TOWHAT WE ARE GOING TO COVER YET. THE AREAS ARE

- (1). GALACTIC DYNAMICS
- (2) rAdio EMISSION, how They Are EMITTED, SUNCHIVITION TADIATION
- (3) COSMIC rAYS
- (4). RADIO GALAXIES AND QUASARS
- (5). SOLAR SYSTEM, PLANET, SUN, AND THE "NOTH INGNESS" OF SPACE

TOPKS 2,3 AND 4 WILL BE DEALING WITH PLASMAS OF ACTUALLY A MAGNETO HYDRODYNAMICS discussion.

SOMEONE brought UP The QUESTION OF COSMOLOGICAL Theories which reminds me of one interesting point which I failed to make AT The TIME. WE TALKED About The COSMOLOGICAL EXPANSION FROM SOME EAILIER TIME AND NOW EVERY THING IS FLYING APART. THIS PRESUPPOSES SOME TIME PERIOD WHEN THE UNIVERSE WAS PACKED SO TIGHTLY THATIT would be possible for Photons to EXIST IN EQUILIBRIUM. If we ACCEPT THE BASIC COSMOLOGICAL ASSUMPTION THAT EVERYTHING LOOKS The same here as IT Does Anywhere else we would like to show THAT THERE REMAINS A RESIDUAL DISTRIBUTION OF PHOTONS IN THE EXACT SAME PROPORTION KNOW AS THERE WAS AT A PREVIOUS PROPER TIME. THAT IS TO SAY, AFTER THE EXPLOSION THAT SQULFTED EVERY THING OUT, AS THE DENSITY REDUCED AND THE TEMPERATURE COOLED AND THE PHOTONS STOPPED INTERACTING, WE ESTABLISHED SOME BLACK body radiation TEMPERATURE TS AND PROPER TIME ts. The SPACENG DETWEEN THE NEXT NEATEST GALAKY IS GIVEN by Rg. AT TIME to NOW THEFE IS A COTTESPONDING TEMPERATURE TO GIVEN BY THE RATIO,

$$\frac{T_{o}}{T_{s}} = \frac{R_{o}}{R_{s}}$$

THIS EQUATION DOES NOT SAY ANYTHING PROFOUND BUT IT DOES EXPRESS IN A MATTHER SUCCINCT FASHION A VERY COMPLICATED PHENOMENA. IT STOPS how The EXPANSION OF THE UNIVERSE IS FELATED TO THE OVER ALL PHOTON INTERACTION TENDING TO CAUSE A UNIFORM BACKGROUND OF BLACKbody FADIATION THROUGHOUT ALL SPACE. "CBR"

WE MIGHT PICTURE THIS PHENOMENA AS WE DID EATLIER IN THE COURSE BY DRAWING A PROPERTIME CORVE ShowING THE NEBULAR MOTION FROM SOME PRESUPPOSED SINGULARITY OF ORIGIN. BY DEFINING OUR REFERENCE POINT AT T'S WE AVOID THE DIFFICULT PRODIEM OF EXPLAIN THE REAL INITIAL CONDITION. TODAY WE MIGHT THINK OF RO AS THE DISTANCE FROM US TO ANDROMEDA. THE NEXT GRAPH MIGHT HEIP:



THE DISTANCE RO AT Proper TIME TO, NOW, Should be EQUAL ACTOSS THE ADJOINING GALACTIC SPACINGS A-B-C-D--- While The corres-PONDENCE AT to IS THAT O->A or Ro IS THE SAME PROPORTIONALLY AS S->A' OR RS AS THE DECREASE IN OVER ALL BLACK BODY FADIATION To/TS.

SUPPOSE WE ONLY CONSIDER A LOCAL DE PHENOMENA. SUPPOSE WE had A MIRTOR AT to PLACED ON ANDROMEDA., The PHOTONS MOVING THROUGH THAT POINT COME IN ALL DIRECTIONS WITH EQUAL PROBABILITY. THIS MEANS THAT IF A MIRTOR WAS THERE EACH REFLECTION WOULD CORRES-POND TO A LOST PHOTION, I.E.,



IF THE MIRROR IS THEN ALLOWED TO EXPAND, THE PHENOMENA IS ANALOGOUS TO A STANDING WAVE PATTERN IN AN EXPANDING BOX. THAT IS, IF WE CONSIDER THE NUMBER OF QUANTA IN THE BOX, ONLY THE FM MODES CHANGE. THE WAVE NUMBER & CHANGES BY A SCALE FACTOR TO A NEW VALUE

The DISTRIBUTION OF PHOTONS PER GIVEN WAVE NUMBER & IS GIVEN BY The BOITZMAN DISTRIBUTION,

FERM - DITAC

$$n_{k} = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{kv}/kT} + 1} = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{k}/kT} + 1}$$

Where The ENERGY of The PHOTON = hv = hkc Thus AT The NEW WAVE NUMBER

$$n_{\alpha k} = \frac{1}{e^{\alpha h k c / k T} + 1}$$

The MIRROR IS NOW ASSUME TO BE A BLACK BODY AT AN ESTIMATED TEMPERATURE Of 5°. AT THIS LOW TEMPERATURE THE ENERGY IS PROPORTIONAL TO V². WE ASSUME A UNIFORM BACKGROUND NOISE CORRESPONDING TO A BOUT A 3°KBLACKBODY RAGIATION. THAT IS, A 3° BLACK BODY DISTRIBUTION. AS FAR AS OUR CRUDE MEASUREMENTS GO THIS SEEMS TO FIT THE BELIEF THAT THIS IS THE TEMPERATURE OVER ALL SPACE.

This special topic on black body radiation and arriving at the 3 deg K approximation is a strong validation of Feynman's insight into a cosmological theory that was just emerging with the Penzias-Wilson experiment described above.



CHAPTER & NUCLEAR SYNTHESIS

TODAY I AM GOING TO BACK TO NUCLEAR SYNTHESIS , hopefully, TO FINISH UP This Discussion before GOING ON. This is The LECTURE I Should HAVE PREPARED LAST TIME.

AS YOU FECALL THE BROBLEM IS TO EXPLAIN HOW THE ELEMENTS BEYOND IRON DRE formed. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF THEORIES WHICH SEEM TO PROPORT TO UNDERSTAND. THE PROCESS. WE WILL ONLY DESCRIBE TWO THEORIES. THE IDEA, AS WE BRIEFLY MENTIONED, HAS TO DO WITH NEUTRON bombArd-MENT. IF THE bond Ard MENT IS SLOW ENOUGH THE ELEMENTS WITH ADD NEUTRONS UNTIL THEY UNDERGO A B-DECAY AND THUS JUMP TO THE NEXT Z-NUMBER. IF THE PROCESS GOES FOR A WONG PERIOD WE CAN SENTHESIZE AMOST OF THE ELEMENTS.

WE SKETCH A SMALL PART OF THE ISOTOPE TABLES AND EXAMINE HOW MOVEMENT CAN OCCUP UP THE TABLE IN ATOMIC WEIGHT.

51	1					1	İ		121		123
									57		43
50	112		-114	115	116	117	118	119	_120_	2	122
	1.02		.69	-38	14.3	7.6	0	s,1 8.5	32.5	27 hrs	48
-21		H3	113	145	115	2					
Tu			4.2		95.81	13565					
48	011	113	112	1	114	115	r				d
<u></u>	1240	12.8	2 q .8	12.3	28.8	54 hrs	7.6				

N = NUMber of NEUTRONS ->

Z

The red NUMbers INdicATE The percentage of the STAbiliter ISOTOPE. LET'S follow (Admium 110. Suppose IT is Bombarded by A SLOW NEUTRON flux And IT KEEP Adding A NEUTRON UNTIL IT reaches Cd¹¹⁵ which is unstable. The density of NEUTRONS DURING This SLOW S-Process IS ESTIMATED TO BE About 10⁷ cm³. This is A LOT of NEUTRONS AND The LOGICAL QUESTION TO ASK IS where They come from. IT SEEMS LIKELY THAT THEY ARE LEFT OWER FROM THE CARBON CYCLE AND HIGHER REACTIONS LIKE $C^{13}(\alpha, n)O^{16}$ $O^{17}(\alpha, n)Ne^{20}$ or $Ne^{22}(\alpha, n)Mg^{25}$

The origin of These reactions Appears to be occurring in The interior of Red GIANTS because in A special Group of These STATS which Are METAL rich we see Technetium and other higher ELEMENT. SO A DENSITY of 10⁷ DOES NOT SEEM TOO high for The processes to Produce inside red GIANTS

This process GOES UNTIL IT FEACHES AN UNSTABLE ISOTOPE. AT THAT TIME B- deay will occur faster THATL ANOTHER NEUTTON CAN be CAPTURED SO WE JUMP TO THE NEXT ELEMENT, SAY INDIUM 115. THE PROCESS CONTINUES; A NEUTTON IS CAPTURED; B- decay follows; NEUTRON CAPTURE = (ETS US GO THROUGH TIN 121 Which decays TO ANTIMIONY 121, ETC., ETC.

WE Are QUICK TO NOTE THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF BLANK SPACES ON EITHER SIDE OF THIS DOTTED LINE. THAT IS, SOME, OR PEIHAPS MANY, ELEMENTS CANNOT BE MADE IN THIS WAY. WE WOULD REQUIRE AN EXTREMELY LONG TIME TO LET NEUTRONS TRICKLE PAST Ca¹¹⁶ TO STATT HIGHER CYCLES. BUT IF THE DENSITY OF NEUTRONS WAS HIGH ENOUGH SO THAT B-DECAY OCCURS before CAPTURE, THE WHOLE PROCESS IS ALTERED. THERE EXISTS THEN TWO PROCESSES WHICH OCCUR TO CREATE THE MAJORITY OF THE HIGHER ELEMENTS:

(11 SLOW OF S- PROCESS WHERE ALL THE B- dECAYS GO AS EXPECTED (21. rapid or t-Process where the NEUTRON FLOX is SO FAST B- decay

DOES NOT HAVE TIME TO OCCUP dT ALL. THE ELEMENTS ARE LABELED AS TO THEIR MEANS OF PRODUCTION AND WE SEE THAT THE Y-PROCESS FILLS IN THE LOWER PORTION OF OUR TABLE, THE NEUTRON FICH SIDE. SOME ELEMENT CAN BE SYNTHESIZED BY DOTH, I.E., THE TOTAL PROPORTION HAS PARTS FROM DOTH THE FIS PROCESS. ON THE PROTON FICH SIDE OF UPPER PORTION THE PERCENTAGES ARE VERY LOW.

The exact reason for These proton rich elements seems to be THED UP IN ANOTHER PROCESS CALLED THE P-PROCESS Which is NOT VERY WELL UNDERSTOOD. These ELEMENTS SUBJECT TO BAMMA RAYS LOOSE A NEUTYON AND LEAVE DEFIND EXCESSES OF PROTONS. WE HAVE NO IDEA Where This process occurs or When.

HOWEVER, THE S-PROCESS WILL NOT LET US REACH UNANIUM BECAUSE WHEN IT GETS UP TO LEAD AND GETS CAUGHT IN A CYCLE. IT WILL CONTINUE THROUGH BISMUTH 209 BUT BISMUTH WILL UNDERGO ALPHA EMISSION FOLLOWED BY NEUTRON CAPTURE, B-DECAY AND AROUND AGAIN. BISMUTH IS THE MOST MASSIVE STRIDLE ELEMENT.

To further understand This NEUTRON CAPTURE PROCESS WE would like to TALK IN TERMS OF THE NEUTRON CROSS-SECTION. THE CROSS-SECTION of A Process is defined as The Probability That The process occurs if The incident beams consists of a single particle And The target contains one nucleus Per UNIT Area.
WE CAN DEFINE A QUANTITY

ON N = CONSTANT

Then AS A LOCAL FULE FOR NEUTRON CAPTURE BECAUSE IT IS NOT Frue for A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. IN FACT WE S howed LAST TIME how A GRAPH OF ONN UERSUS "A" LOOKS AND POINTED OUT UARIOUS POINTS OF FLUCTUATIONS. IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE SEVERAL ISTOPES OF ONE ELEMENT & FORMED BY THE S-PROCESS SO WE CAN CHECK TO SEE IF WE UNDER STAND WHAT IS GOING ON. IT TURNS OUT SAMARIUM 148 AND 150 ARE PROCESSE PRODUCED This WAY AND IN FACT IT TURNS OUT THAT THE PRODUCE NON FOR NEUTIONS OF TEMPERATURE 30 KILOUOLTS ARE FAIrly CLOSE, 112. 2,930 ± 540 AND 2,770 ± 535 RESPECTIVELY.

HOWEVER, THE PROBABILITY OF CAPTURE VARIES IN A CHARACTERISTIC MANNER WITH THE difference between The ENERGY E of The COLLIDING SYSTEMS AND THE ENERGY EX OF THE RESONANCE LEVEL. THAT IS, O FLUCTUATE AS A FUNCTION. OF ENERGY AND HITS A RESONANCE WHEN ITS ENERGY MATCHES A LEVEL OF THE NECLEUS. AS THE ATOM BECOMES MORE EXCITED, ON THE AVERAGE, THE SEPARATION BETWEEN LEVELS DECREASES WITH INCREMSING ENERGY OF EXCITATION. THE TIGHTER THE BINDENG THE LESS THE SPACING. WHILE AT THE SAME TIME THE LEVEL WIDTHS DECREASE WITH INCREASING MAGNITUDE OF THE ELECTROSTATIC BARRIER. THE ELECTROSTATIC BARRIER INHIBITS THE EMISSION OF PROTONS.

R- Process

TURNING NOW TO THE R-PROCESS WE FIRST CONSIDER THE ADUNDANCE OF NUCLES FORMED BY THE R-PROCESS. A PLOT OF Z US. ATOMIC NUMBER LOOKS SOMETHING LIKE:



The DELAYS AT THE NUMBERS BZ, AND 126 ARE directly related to the NEUTRON BOMDArdMENT. THESE NUMBERS ARE FELOGNIZED AS THE MAGIC NUMBERS for CLOSED Shells. AT ATOMIC NUMBER BZ THE NEXT INCOMING NEUTRON IS KNOCKED OUT by A GAMMA, B- DECAY OCCURS TO INCREASE THE Z NUMBER, I.E., The Proton Number but The NUCLEUS STAYS AT 82. This Process increases The Proton COUNT NOT THE NEUTRON TOTAL UNTIL IT reaches A point where The NEXT NEUTRON CAN be held And The Process GOES ON. This process GOES ON BAST XENON but The NULLES ARE PROGESSIVELY WEARLY BOND AND FINALLY AT The upper End SAY Around RAdon Fission occurs And The PARTICLES SPLIT AND DEGIN THE CLIMD AGAIN. THE ENERGY GIVEN UP by These UNSTABLE ELEMENTS TRYING TO GET DOWN TO A STABLE CONFIGURATION for INSTANCE LEAD IS THE STORE ENERGY RELEASED IN DOMOS, NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS, ETC. The QUESTION IS where The ENERGY ORIGINALLY CAME From TO CREATE THESE ONSTA DLE ISOTOPES. PERHAPS, THE MOST LIKELY PLACE IS TO DURING THE EXPLOSIONS OF SUPER NOVAE. WE JUST DON'T KNO WWHERE A NEUTRON FLUX of The density (About 1024 cm3) could EXIST TO Produce This NUCLEAR SYNTHESIS.

RADIOACTIVE ELEMENTS

AN INTERESTING Problem ArISES CONCERNING THOSE ELEMENTS with LONG half LIVES. For INSTANCE, BOTH Iodine 126 with half-life 17 million YEARS AND PLUTONIUM 244 of 82 million YEARS AND VITUALLY EXTINCT ON EARTH. BUT IODINE DECAYS INTO ZEON AND ZEON 129 IS FOUND IN FOCKS CONTAINING I¹²⁹. SINCE ALMOST ALL THE IODINE IS GONE IT COULD NOT HAVE BEEN FORM MUCH MORE THAN 3X IT MILLION YEARS before the measurement, 1.2., NOW. WHAT WE NOW CAN ESTIMATE IS WHEN This NUCLEAR SYNTHESIS STOPPED AND FORMATION OF SOLIDS BEEN. BY MEASURENE XE¹¹⁹/I¹²⁹ directly NOW, NOT ON EARTH BUT IN METEORS, WE Arrive AT A figure of 4.6 X109 YEARS AS A TIME OF SOLID FORMATION. With some mumbling A PERIOD of IOB YEARS HAS BEEN GUESSED AS TO THE POINT OF SYNTHESIS CESSATION. A TIME PLOT OF THE ABOVE SPATEMENTS WOULD LOOK LIKE:



The other radioATTIVE ELEMENT CAN GIVE MORE CLUES TO AFIXING THE Proper TIMES TO OUR SCALE BUT THE QUESTION IS WIDE OPEN AND VERY CONFUSED. ELEMENTS LIKE $U^{235}(7.18)$, $U^{138}(4.519)$, Thorium (14.39), AND RHENIUM (409) Would be INTERESTING TO STUDY AND SEE WHAT CONCENTRATIONS THEY OCCUP YELATIVE TO EACHOTHER. FOR INSTANCE, THE formation of U^{235} and U^{238} is by The R-PROCESS. BUT U^{238} will undergo ALPHA EMISSION TO GET BACK TO 238. BY GUESSING THE MANY DIFFERENT WAS THES DECAY COULD OCCUP, IT IS BELIEVED THAT THE MATIO OF THE CONCENTRATIONS IS

$$U^{239} \approx 1.65 \pm .3$$

while Thorium to 4238 is About The SAME

Th/4238 ~ 1.65 ± .3

What CAN WE DO WITH THESE FIGURES? WELL, WE KNOW THE FATIO NOW TO BE About

$$U^{235}/U^{238} = .00723$$

LETS WORK BACKWARD OVER 4.6 BILLION YEARS AT THE TIME THE SOLIDS WERE FORMING. ATTIVING AT A FIGURE OF

$$\left. \frac{U^{235}}{U^{238}} \right|_{4.69}^{2} = .31$$

This is NOT 1.65 AS WE GUESS Above but it certainly is not more. If it was this would mess Everything UP.

WE CAN, ATLEAST, SAY THIS WAS About The LAST MOMENT WHEN SOMETHING COULD bY SYNTHESIZED bUT IT IS NOT WHERE IT HAD TO BE MADE. U²³⁸ COULD HAVE BEEN MADE LONGI BEFORE THIS. THE EXACT PROPORTIONS OF THE PRODUCTION SAY AT THE INSTANT OF FIRST FORMATION AND THE LAST FLEETING MOMENT WHEN THE CONDITIONS WERE FAVORABLE COULD UARY A GREAT DEAL. MAY BE 80°/0 of U²³⁸ WAS MADE 139 YEARS AGOI AND THE MAYS REST 4.69 YEARS AGO. WE ARE LEFT AT A DIFFICULT AND CONFUSING POINT TO TRY AND GET INFORMATION.

SINCE Thorium has A LONGER PERIOD, The CONTRIBUTIONS IN THE LAST few minutes of Production would not MATTER much. But the ration of Th/U now is not known very well. ON EARTH IT is Estimated TO be 3.8 which gives a time of 2.30 when the solids were formed-

A more INTERESTING CASE STILL IS THE DECAY OF RHENIUM 187 INTO OSMIUM 187 DECAUSE IT TAKES 40 DILLION YEARS WHICH IS DELIEVED TO DE OLDER THAN THE UNIVERSE. IF WE HAD THE FATIO OS/RE WE COULD CALCULATED DACK TO WHEN IT WAS MADE AND DE FAIRLY CERTAIN THAT WE HAD ALL THE PRODUCTION AT THAT TIME.

The problem HERE IS THAT SOME OF THE OSMIUM IS FORMED BY THE S-PROCESS. SO THAT WE MUST SUBTRACT THIS S-PROCESS FORMED OSMIUM FROM THE OSMIUM WE KNOW WE HAVE. THUS WE CAN FIND THE OSMIUM DUE TO DISINTEGRATION

 $O_{S_{DIS}}^{187} = O_{S}^{187} - \left(\frac{\sigma(186)}{\sigma(187)}\right) O_{S}^{186} (BY SONLY)$

SINEE THERE IS NOT RHENIUM ISOTOPE MADE BY THE S-PROCESS WE HAVE NO WAY TO CALIBRATE THE OSMIUM PROCESS. So WE HAVE TO MEASURE MILLIONS of o's for each isotope and calculate EXACTLY how much Osmium is formed by The S-Process.

CHAPTER 9

FEYNMAN ON-

DICKE ON THE OBLATENESS OF THE SUN

SINCE THE DEMAND IS DO DISCUSS DICKE AND AS I PROMISED LAST WEEK BUT TOTALLY FORGOT, I WILL PROCEED TO TELL WHAT I KNOW About his Theory. SINCE I didN'T ATTEND HIS FIRST LECTURE AT CAL TECH WHEN HE EXPLAINED HIS EXPERIMENT I CANNOT CRITICIZE THAT AND FOR THAT I APOLOGIZE.

The CLAIM IS THAT THE SUN POSSESS AN OBLATNESS PERPENDICULAR TO ITS AXIS OF ROTATION. THE ASYMMETRY OF I PART IN 10,000 MEANS ITS IS SQUASHED TOO MUCH. EXPERIMENTALLY IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO LOOK AT THE SUN AND SEE THIS OBLATENESS DECAUSE OF SOLAT FLATE, DIFFICULTY INI DISCERNING THE REAL SUFFACE, ETC. WHAT DICKE DID WAS TO TECHNICALLY OBSCURE THE SUN WITH A CIRCULAR MASK. THEN TO GO AROUND THE EDGE AND LOOK FOR ANY SECOND HARMONICS IN DRIGHTNESS. ANY ASYMMETRY IN DRIGHTNESS WOULD BE RELATED TO EQUI-POTENTIAL BRAVITY REGION AND THUS TO THE ODLATENESS.

The resulting consequence of This SQUAShed SUN is THAT MErcury, IN MAKING A SQU SWING Around The SUN, DOESN'T COME BACK TO THE OTIGINAL POINT. WHEN ALL THE PRETURBING FORCES ON MERCURY ARE CONSIDERED, E.G., VENUS, EARTH, ETC., A RESULT OF 41.7 SECONDS OF AN ARC REMAIN UNEXPLAINABLE IN ANSWERING WHY MERCURY PRECESSES ABOUT IT PERIHELION SOME S39 'Arc-SECENTURY. THE NUMBER 41.7 IS THE FEYNMAN NUMBER SINCE I REMEMBER THIS FROM 25 YEARS AGO AND CAN'T UETIFY IT RIGHT NOW. AT ANY RATE, THE GENERAL THEORY OF RELATIVITY, AS EINSTEIN PROPOSES PREDICTED A VARIATION IN MASS WITH UELOCITY for MERCURY THAT GAVE A CORRECTION FACTOR OF 43"SECONDS OF ARC. THUS ASTROMERS MUMBERED A LITTLE AND SAID 1.3 ARC-SEC IS A GOOD AGREEMENT.

BUT NOW DICKE SAYS THAT AS A RESULT OF THE SUN'S ODLATENESS A QUADRU-POLE IS ESTABLISHED WHICH CAUSES A SERIE CORRECTION OF 4 ATC-SEC SO THAT THE REMAINING PERIHELION SHIFT IS 37.7." THIS IS GETTING TO BE A. NOT SO GO APP AGREEMENT AND PERHAPS, SOME THING IS WRONG SOME WHERE-EITHER IN EINSTEIN'S THEORY OF IN DICKE'S.

NOW A COUPLE of REMARKS - FIRST, IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO TAKE A MEASURE-MENT OF DRIGHTNESS AND ODLATENESS. THE QUESTION OF WHETHER EQUI-DRIGHTNESS CORRESPONDED TO EQUI-PRESSURE OR EQUI-POTENTIAL IS HARD TO ANSWETD. SECONDLY, THE QUESTION OF HOW THE EMART PRETURBATIONS DUE TO EACH PLANET AS CORRECTIONS TO THE TOTAL ERROR IS IN DOUDT. THAT IS, HOW ACCURATELY IS 41.7 KNOWN SINCE IT WAS DONE SOME FORTY YEARS. THIS FIGURE OF 539 SECONDS OF ARC IS A TYPICAL ASTTONOMICAL NUMBER, I.E., THERE ISN'T ANY 539 ± SOME ERROR. ASTROMO MERS ARE NOT USE TO WORKING WITH ERRORS AND IF YOU AS ME THIS WHOLE SUBJECT STINKS. TO DISCUSS DEVIATIONS OF 4 ARC-SECONDS WHEN 539 HAS NO ERROR VALUE IS DOWN RIGHT INSAME. IF, IN FACT THE SUN HAS THIS EFFECT ON MERCURY THEN THE ODLATENESS EFFECT ON ALL THE OTHER PLANETS MUST BE CAREFULLY CALCULATED. I WOULD RECHECK ALL THESE CALCULATIONS USER OF YOR IS IN ERROR IN THE FIRST PLACE. DICKE, however, is in The UNUSUAL SITUATION OF EXPERIMENTALLY CHECKING A Theory, he himself, has be Written DOWN. I have & GOOD DEAL of RELIABILITY IN DICKE AS A VERY CAREFUL EXPERIMENTALIST AND THEORITICIAN. HE LOOKS AT EVERYTHING AND IS NOT FOOLED by WHAT HAS GONG before. It is is OWN hard LUCK if he is on a wild goose chase like t Think he is.

SO DICKE WORKED ON A NEW THEORY OF GRAVITY AND TO EXPLAIN WHY he THINKS GRAVITY IS MADE UP OF A MIXTURE OF TENSOR AND SCALAR FIELDS IN AAPORTION of 1-S: S respectively WE GO BACK A LITLE TO EINISTEIN'S THEORY. IN THAT THEORY EINISTEIN POSTUALATED THAT GRAVITA-TIONAL AND INERTIAL MASSES ARE A. STRICTLY PROPORTIONAL. REMEMber OUT DISCUSSION OF THE ELEVATOR ANALOGUE IN WHICH WE EXPLAINED HIS PRIN-CIPIE OF EQUIVALENCE. THE FORCE OF GRAVITATION IS THEN PROFORTIONAL TO The MASS, The QUANTITY Which is fundamentally A MEASURE of INERTIA. IT IS A MEASURE OF how hard IT IS TO hold something which is GOING Around IN A CIRCLE. This force is EXACTLY ProporTIONAL to the MASS with GrEAT Precision; if it were NOT EXACTLY ProPorTION AL TREEPE would be some effect by which intertiA And weight would differ The Absence of such AN Effect has been checked with great Accuracy by AN EXPERIMENT DONE FIRST by EÖTVÖS IN 1909 AND, NOTABLY, by DICKE MORE RECENTLY. THE ACCURACY OF THIS EXPERIMENT AND EQUIVALENCE IS About I PART IN 10"

The EÖTVÖS EXPERIMENT INVOLUES A SWING MASS AND MEASURES THE RATIO OF GRAVITATIONAL TO CENTRIFUGAL FORCE for And compares Them ratio for Different MATERIAL. The QUESTION IS: IN The EARLY EVENING AS THE SUN IS SETTING AND WE'RE ON POLLER SKATES WHY DON'T WE JUST COAST OFF TOWARDS THE SUN. WELL, IT'S SINPLE - THE EARTH IS FALLING WITH US AND IN A PROPORTIONAL AMOUNT. SINCE POLLER SKATES ATE HARD TO MARKE, LET'S PUT & Two Objects of EQUAL INERTIA DE CHE END OF A LONG QUARTE rod HUNG ON A PERFECT PIVOT. IF THE INERTIAS ARE EQUAL AND THE GRAVITATIONAL ATTRACTIONS UNEQUAL, THE TOD WOULD TWIST AROUND UNTIL IT LINED UP POINTING TOWARD THE SUN. BUT THE CENTRIFUGAL force BALANCES THE GRAVITATIONAL ATTRACTION SO WELL THAT WE SHY THEY ARE EQUAL FOR ALL INTENT AND PURPOSE.

IF GRAVITY CONSISTS OF TWO PARTS OR FIELD IN A MIXTURE OF 1-S: S where S is a propondionality factor. S could be as much as 20% j.E., A SCALAR FIELD CONTRIBUTION OF THAT MUCH. BUT IF S IS PICKED RIGHT WE CAN EXPLAIN THAT 4 ARC-SEC by The RELATION,

 $\left(1-\frac{4}{3}S\right) = \frac{\text{correct}}{43 \text{ Arc-second}}$

I, THINK. IN which CASE S IS About 0.10.

HOWEVER, THE DEFLECTION OF LIGHT BY THE SUN IS PROPORTIONAL ONLY TO The TENSOR FIELD CONTRIBUTION (1-5). The precise Amount of deflection IS AN AREA OF EXPERIMENTATION which will have to recheck. Bute 1111 bet he doesn't find ANY such field contribution and I'm GLAD he's on The CHASE NOT ME.

MACH'S PRINCIPLE IS ALSO INVOLVED IN THIS DISCUSSION DECAUSE IT ASSETTS THAT THE INERTIAL PROPERTIES OF MATTER ARE DETERMINED BY THE PROPERTIES of MATTER IN The UNIVERSE. This IMPLIES THAT THERE IS NO ADSOLUTE FRAME of reference since two UNIVERSES ONE with A LOT of NEDULAE AND The other with A few would show different Amounts of CENTRIFUGAL FORCE ON AN OBJECT FOTHTING. LOCALLY THE SUN AND THE GALAXY ARE SOURCES OF ANISOTYOPY OF MATTER BUT NO EFFECT WAS MEASURED BETTER THAN ONE PAIT IN 10" THAT IS TO SAY INERTIA IS GENERATED OF CAUSED by SOMETHING ELSE, If WE COULD FIND THE DENSITY OF THIS BACKGROUND MATTER CAUSING ALL THE INERTIA WE WOULD FIND S. DICKE ASSETTS THAT MACH'S PRINCIPLE TEQUITES THAT ANIS OT ROPIES DE UN OBSERVABLE DECAUSE ALL INSTRUMENTS HAVE THE SAME ISOT FOPY.

The problem relatED TO MACH'S PRINCIPLE IS Whether or NOT THE MOTION of The water inside A SPINNING PALE DEPENDS ON The MOTION of The relATIVE NUMBER OF NOM DULAE. WE KNOW THE WATER SPLASHES UP AGAINST THE SIDE BUT WHAT HAPPENS IN A SPACE VOID of MATTER; does it still rise IN A PARAbolic shape?

TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION WE CONSIDER A COSMOLOGICAL THEORY IN WHICH THERE Are NO NEBULAE. IN This special CASE EINSTEIN'S Theory of Gravitation which he wrote As

where Ruy is A function of the GravitanoNAL POTENTIAL AND ALLITS derivatives que, dans dans ; dans , ---tur is the stress tensor of the MATTER OUTSIde the region of

AND INTEVEST

OR WE CAN WRITE

$$\Box^{2} \varphi = \rho_{\text{matter}}$$

 $\Box^2 = \text{The D' Alembertian Operator} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{z}}{\partial T^2} - \nabla^2$ where O IS THE GRAVITATION POTENTIAL

Also WE RECALL THE ELEMENTAL LENGTH IN FOUR-VECTOR NOTATION IS

If we have no matter so that Tuy = 0, Then the solution to Ruy TAKES The form

$$ds^{2} = dI^{2} - dx^{2} - dy^{2} - dz^{3}$$

or

This line ELEMENT DESCRIBES A FLAT SPACE AND AS A CONSEQUENCE OF THIS FORM MATTER HAS INERTIA THUS WE EXPECT THE PALE TO EXPERIENCE INEYTIA.

If we consider A PALE AT THE CENTER of A shell MADE 4P of NEDULAE THAT ARE VOTATING AT Show SOME ANGULAR VELOCITY D. THEN IN Order for The WATER TO STAY FLAT IT MUST TURN WITH THE SAME - R. BUT The PALE TURNS AT A PATE LESS THAN -R; IT IS AN W Which is ProporTIONAL TO R WITH SOME MM FACTORS reducing it. The CENTRIFUGAL FORCER THEN DEPENDS ON THE RELATIVE ANGULAR VELOCITY BETWEEN THE TWO SYSTEMS.

The difficulty in Understanding This Problem is in FINDING A SOLUTION TO THE EINSTEIN EQUATION for Two = 0 AND SATISFYING THE CORRECT BOUNDARY CONDITION. BEYOND THE SHELL OF MATTER THE METRICAL TENSOR GUY IS ASSUMED TO Approach (0-1-1) or SIMPLY I but This is Wrong. Juy should go to O.

TO SEE THIS WE CONSIDER AN ANALOGUE IN Ð Electromagnetic Theory. From The MAXWELL EQUATIONS WE KNOW V. E= P AND VXE=0 FTC. IF THERE AREN'T ANY CHARGES SO THAT V. E = 0, WE HAVE A SOLUTION IN WHICH WE HAVE A CONSTANT ELECTRIC FIELD IN THE Z-DIRECTION, SAY. BUT TO HAVE A UNIFORM FIELD IN THE Z-DIRECTION IMPLIES WE MUST HAVE CHARGES FARTHER OUT OF bELOW

CAUSENG THE FIELD. If we have A SOLUTION THEN FOR which gur does NOT VANISH THE NEBULAE MUST BE CHARGED SINCE WE CANNAT ATTRIBUTE THE FIELD TO EMPTY SPACE. WHAT, IN FACE, MIGHT BE THE CASE IS A LARGE SHELL FARTHER out causing the inertia effect.

6

ð

 \sim

5

WHAT WE ARE MISSING IS A WAY TO PREDICT INERTIA FROM PHYSICAL PROPERTIES. SO FAT WE HAVEN'T STATED OUR LAW RIGHT. THAT IS TO SAY THE EQUATION V.E=P DOES NOT CONTAIN THE STATEMENT THAT ALL FIELDS COME FROM MATTER' SO WE CAN'T ArGUE FROM THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ALONE. WHILE EINSTEIN PERMITS INERTIA TO EXIST WHEN MATTER IS NOT PRESENT, MAXWELL PERMITS A field TO EXIST when no charge is Around only if The POSTULATE THAT THE FIELD COMES FROM SOME CHARGES.

The Stress ENERGY TENSOR The is the source of Gravitation field. This TEMSOR CONSISTS OF AN EMERGY CONTRIBUTION Which WE CALLP PLUS TIL which is The deformation stress, ---, Tiy the flow momentum, etc. WE CAN Show The MAIN CONFRIBUTION TO THE GRAVITY FIELD COMES from Tyy or

D'gur ~ Tur

reduces to

0°.944 ~ T44

SUPPOSE THAT

or

 $\Pi^2 \phi$ = field Produced by the Trace of Tyy 02 d = T44 - T11 - T22 - T33

IF WE CONTAIN A SMALL GLOD OF STUFF IN A VOLUME AND PERMIT ALL SORTS OF STRESS CONTRIbUTION, SQUEEZING, SQUASHING, TWISTING, ETC, WE CAN REPLACE THE CONTRIBUTIONS BY VOLUME INTERGRALS TO DETERMINE THE FEALIZE STRENGTH of The ENERGY CONTENT, I.E.

ST44dvor - STindvor - STardvor - ST33dvor

where ST44 dVol is Due to The MASS

IT WILL SUFFICE TO TO Show STILdVOL = O AND THE rEST CAN be worked out SIMILARLY.

1 Tu

If we MAKE A OUT Through The GLOB PARALLEL TO THE X-AXIS, Then Til corresponds to the force per unit Area XT IN THE X- direction. Since The object is standing There The TOTAL UPPER FORCE = 0. So we have

SFidarea = 0

INTEGRATING AGAIN OVER THE HEIGHT dx

SFI dArea dx =0

WE STILL GET O. NOW WE HAVE THE VOLUME INTEGRAL = O FOR TH. So we conclude That Tyy is The only source for Gravity And There IS NO INTERNAL CONTRI BUTION. I THINK I HAVE PROVED THIS IN GENERAL.

IT IS POSSIBLE EVEN THAT THE GRAVITATION CONSTANT IS VARYING with the AGE of the UNIVERSE IN which CASE WE HAVE MORETO WORTY About. THAT IS, DICKE DOES. HIS WORK IS A GOOD IDEA because it IT CHECK SOME of our old figures MENTIONED Above. So while I Think he is wrong I Admire his conviction.

For reference what stimulated Feynman's talk and response above

DICKE'S THEORY (SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN MARCH 1967)

IF PART (890) of The AdvANCE OF MERCURY'S PERITTELION CAN BE ATTRIBUTED TO THE OBLATENESS OF THE SON, IT WILL BE NECESSARY TO MAKE A SPECIFIC MODIFICATION IN THE GENERAL THEORY OF REL-ATIVE AS PROPOSED BY EINSTEIN. TO EINSTEIN GRAVITY IS NOT A FORCE but d' CONSEQUENCE OF THE CURVATURE OF SPACE. GRAVITY IS AS-SOCIATED WITH THE PRESENCE OF A TENSOR FIELD, which IS THE METRIC TENSOR OF THE RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY OF CURVED SPACE.

DICKE PROPOSED TO Add A FORCE COMPONENT ASSOCIATED WITH A SCALAR FIELD, I.E., A FIELD WHICH EACH POINT IN SPACE HAS A SPECIFIC PHYSICAL QUALITY TO WHICH A SINGLE VALUE CAN BE ASSIGNED. IN THIS THEORY THE A dVANCE OF MERCURY'S PERIHELION IS LESS THAN IN EINSTEIN'S BY ABOUT TEN PERCENT, OF BY THE FACTOR 1-45/3 WHERE S IS THE FRACTION OF A body'S WEIGHT due to the SCALAR INTERACTION.

AS A FESULT OF DICKE'S WORK, HE CONCLUDES THE CORE OF THE SUN MUST be rOTATING MUCH FASTER THAN THE SUN'S SURFACE. TO GET THE desired FLATTENING IT MUST FOTATE ONCE IN ABOUT 1.8 days

MACHES PRINCIPLE

When A body rotates relatively to the fixed stars centrifugal forces are produced; when it rotates relatively to some different body not relative to the fixed stars, no centrifugal forces are produced." That is, rotation of a body relative to the fixed-star system is equivalent to a rotation of fixed stars about the body. THE FOTATION OF A SPHERE WILL MAKE IT BULGE IN THE CENTER



IN Order THAT A Sphere IN FOTATION DE GRAVITATIONALLY bound, The GRAVITATIONAL FORCE PER UNIT MASS AT A FADIUS MUST DE GREATER THAN The CENTRIFUGAL FORCE:

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{R} \leq \frac{GM}{R^{2}}$$

$$V = \omega R \quad \neg \quad R^{3} \omega^{2} \leq GM$$

$$\omega^{2} \leq \frac{GM}{R^{3}} = \frac{(7 \times 10^{-8})(2 \times 10^{33})}{(7 \times 10^{10})^{3}} = 40 \times 10^{-8}$$

W 2 6.3 × 10⁻⁴ rAd/sec for the SUN TO be rOTATIONALLY STABLE. For The SOLAR ROTATION PERIOD

 $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{T} = \frac{2\pi}{26 \times 10^5} = 2.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ rAd/sec}$ $\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text{mAX}}} \approx \frac{1}{50}$

The solar rotation frequency is not very much smaller than the MAXIMUM Frequency AT which the sun could rotate and remain GRAVITATIONALLY bound.

EXPANDING THE GRAVITATIONAL POTENTIAL OF A NON-SYMMETRIC OF ObLATE Object interms of The LEGENdre POLYNOMIALS

 $\phi(n, \theta) = \phi(x) + P_2(\cos \theta) \Phi_2(n) + \cdots$

where @ is defined As The Polar Angle.

Such AN OBLATE OBJECT HAS ITS PERIHELIUN FOTATE AT A FREQUENCY

$$\omega_{p} = \frac{61}{5} \in \left(\frac{R}{R}\right)^{c} \times \left(\text{GeometricAL Factor}\right)$$

(This work is ATTributed to Brouwer)

The Perihelion is the point on the orbit which is closest to the ATTRACTION CENTER AND E = b-q is the ECCENTRICITY of the RENTRAL body



VENUS EArth MARS JUPITER SATUYN URANUS NEPTUNE MOON GEMERAL PRECESSION OF THE EQUINOX

TOTAL EXPERIMENTALLY Observed Difference 5557.1±0.8 5599.7±0.4 4**2.6±**0.9

5025.645 10.5

90.038 ± 0.08

153.584 ± 0.00

2.336 \$ 0.00

7.302 = 0.01

0,141 ± 0.00

0.042 ± 0.00

The Lower VALUE 42.6- 0.9 = 417 would be the Feynman number.

EINSTEIN'S THEORY OF GENERAL RELATIVITY PREDICTS AN ADVANCE IN PERIMELION IN ADDITION TO THOSE JUST GIVEN OF,

 $\frac{6\pi GM}{R_{PLANET}} = 43^{".03 \pm 0.01}$

e is the eccentricity of the PLANETARY Orbit.

The GRAVITATIONAL PERIALION ADVANCE MAY DE WRITTEN AS Wg = GT X Z X 10-8

while the AdvAnce CALCULATED from W^{1} due to the sum being Asymmetrical is $W_{R} = 6 \pi \times 6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ C}$

TO ACCEPT GENERAL VELATIVITY THEN E SCIUTY DESPITE THE RELATIVELY HIGH VALUE OF THE POTATIONAL FREQUENCY.

SO IF DICKE CLAIMS TO HAVE FOUND AN OBLATENESS OF 10-4 he is forced to Abandon General relativity to explain this perturbation.

> FROM LECTURES IN THEORETICAL PHYSICS - ASTROPHYSICS AND WEAK INTERACTIONS 1963 V.Z. BRANDEIS

RADIO GALAXIES

WE NOW MOVE TO A NEW TOPIC AND DISCUSSE THE SOURCES OF FADIO EMISSION IN THE UNIVERSE. FIRST WE WILL DISCUSS THE CURTENT EXPERIMENTAL SITUATION AND THEN DISCUSS SOME THEORIES ALONG WITH A TALK About COSMIC FAYS. UNFORTUNATELY OUR ATMOSPHERE IS OPAQUE TO EVERYTHING EXCEPT VISIBLE LIGHT, I.E., THAT MINUTE PORDON OF THE SPECTRUM Which our EYES ARE SENSITIVE TO. This is, indeed, something to be Grateful for And God Did A Good Job here. So we don'T have A CLEAR VIEW' of These radio TRANSMISSIONS DOLER TO THIS BLOCK AGE. THERE ATE, HOWEVER, SOME "WINDOW"IN THE SPECTRUM which Allows us to LOOK Through And SEE what's GOING ON OUT There. ONE SUCH WINDOW IN THE RADIO WAVE REGION LIES DETWEEN KMTO 30 2m. SMALLER TRANSPACENCIES IN THE SKY EXIST AT OTHER WAVELENGTHS BUT FORTUNATELY They Are NOT WIDE. OTHERWISE WE COULD HEAR EUROPEAN RADIO DECAUSE THE IONOSPHERE WOULD DE. A LEAKY REFLECTOR.

- So what do we see or hear ? Where are The sources of radio Emission?
 - (1) FIRST THE SUN ACTS AS A NOISY' SOURCE OF rAdio EMISSION. THE PATTERNS Are very complicatED And Aren'T very INTERESTING 121. The MOON; NOT MUCH TO SAY here

 - (3). MARS AND JUPITER, JUPITER IN PARTICULAR BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME VERY INTERESTING THUNDERSTORMS GOING ON UNDER ITS ATMOSPHERE; I'LL Try to TALK About This LATTER IN The COURSE. BUT These Are sources of BLACKbody radiation and really aren't interest to our topic
 - (4). NEXT, Though, is The GALAXY ITSELF. This WE WILL devote more time to IN A MINUTE.
 - (5). FINALLY, The ALL INCOMPASSING Group The EXTRA GALACTIC SOURCES.

RETURNING TO THE GALAXY THERE ARE A COUPLE OF TOPIC HERE THAT WE NEED TO discuss. There Are, scattered Throughout The GALAXY, SMALL SPLOTCHES or POINT SIZE Sources of rAdio EMISSION. While AT The SAME TIME THERE IS A GENIERAL DACKGROUND RADIATION which varies All over The SKY. The INTEN-SITY VARIES GREATEY Which The COLDEST REGIONS CORRESPONDING TO A BLACKbody AT BO°KELVIN AND THE HOTTEST, NE., IN THE AREA NEAR THE CENTER OF The GALAXY of NEAR 1000°K. IN AddITION TO THESE SOURCES THERE ARE THERMAL And NON-ThermAL Sources. Orion is A EXAMPLE of the former And the YEMNANT OF SUPER NOVAE LIKE THE CRAD NEDULAE FOR THE LATTER. ALSO FLATE STARS LIKE SOLAR OUTBURST OF THE SUN which send off Pulses of Electro-MAGNETIC FADIATION HAVE BEEN OBSERVED.

There Are SPECTRALLINE Observed IN CLOUDS of hydrogen. These Emission Are DUE TO SPIN-FLIPS IN THE HYDROGENI ATOMS WHICH CAN OCCUR IN ATURALY.

SPECIAL UNPREPARED TALK ON THE LUMPINESS Of The UNIVERSE

... or where does does the anisotrophic (I.e. Lumpiness) come from? This TOPIC WAS BrOUGHT by ONE of The ATTENDEE by request of FEYNMAN Who MAS BEEN MAVING TROUBLE LEARNING ABOUT SYNCHROTTON MAINTION. So off an ATANGENT - BUT A VERY INTERESTING ON - WE GO.

The QUESTION WAS BROUGHT UP IN REGARDS TO A SPECIAL LECTURE AT CAL TECH IN which The LUMPINESS PropTerTies of The UNIVERSE, I.E., The clustering of GALAXIES CAN DE CONNECTED WITH A COSMOLOGICAL THEORY. IF THE UNIVERSE wA IS LUMPY NOW, WAS IT LUMPY DURING THE BIG BANG IF, IN FACT, There WAS A BIG BANG ! WILL IT GET MORE LUMPY AS TIME GOES ON ? TO BEGIN WITH ALL MODELS OF THE UNIVERSE SEEM TO AGREE ON ONE POINTsome time in the past the universe was closer obserther and it is CURVENTLY SPREAdING OUT. WE ACCEPTED THE COSMOLOGICAL ASSUMPTION THAT The UNIVERSE IS FAIRLY CONSTANT SO THAT IF WE WERE A THOUSAND LIGHT YEARS From here, we would see The SAME THINGS - DUST, STATS, GALAXIES, HEDROGEN, HELIUM, ETC. SINCE THEN WE DISCUSSED THE WAY STATS GENERATE NEW ELEMENTS by STATTING WITH JUST A MASS of GAS. The QUESTION Arises AS TO WHAT THE MIXTURE WAS AT THE TIME OF CREATION (USING THAT WORD (Arefully) TO GIVE THE CURRENT dISTRIBUTION of hydrogen, hetien, etc. JUST TO ASSUME AN EXPANDING UNIVERSE MODEL DOES NOT NECESSARILY FIX THE INITIAL MIXTURE BECAUSE OF ALL THE NUCLEAR REACTIONS, S-PROCESSES, ETC. which we have MENTIONED AND Which CHANGE The CONSTITUTION of The UNLVERSE ALL THE TIME. WE COULD MAKE A FATHER AFTIFICIAL ASSUMPTION-IN The BEGINNING THERE WAS HYDROGEN DUT THIS IS NOT A WELL-FOUNDED Assumption Although it might be true.

The problem we face Then is what Assumption to we start with? If we go alone with The majority and assume overtimine was more tightly MCKED to gether in the past, what can we say? The real difficulty is that we can only pack material so tight that it will eventually EXPLODE: what occurs before that point is the real question. The only safe assumption is then that we woust start with an assumption. Alrient, we've double talked enough; let's assume that we can start with homogeneous mixture at some very high temperature T. Going for ther Back in time only raises that temperature, we can DIAGRAMATICALLY represent this in the following way:

Time of bis BANG Che UMKNOWN TIME NEDULAT REGIONS of Trajectori ES CONSTANT TEMPERATURE Ti L Ta L Ta

This homogeneity Allows us to understand The Expanding radiation As That From A black Body source. At ANY GIVEN INSTANTINE CAN Specify The following Three conditions:

> TEMPERATURE, NUMBER OF NUCLEONS, WHAT The NEUTRON VATIO IS PER CC. PROTON

If we know The first two numbers we could calculate the point of Thermodynamic Equilibrium before The Temperature Goes too low; I.E., After EXPANSION STARTS. So LET'S SUPPOSE AT TEMPERATURE TI The GOOP IS IN THERMALE GOULIBRIUM, AS EXPANSION PROGRESSES THE MIXTURE COOLS AND LUMPS form. But The real BEAUTY of This Picture is That we know The Temperature And density of NEUTRONS we can find OUT how much Hydrogen And Helium There was. From a calculation of This Kind it is has been found That The ratio of hydrogen To helium is of The order of 20 - 40 %

H = 20-90% INITIALLY

This INITIAL CONDITION IS GOOD AGREEMENT FOR POPULATION IT STARS; This we have checked with The R.R. LYRAE VARIABLE STARS. The AGREEMENT for other ELEMENTS SUCH AS CARDON, NITTOGEN, AND OXYGEN 18 NOT SO GOOD TSUT THESE CONCENTRATION ATE CASILY ALTERED by NUCLEAR REACTIONS. For MOST ASTRONOMERS A 30% CONCENTRATION RATIO IS FINE-EXCEPT for SOME SMALL BLUE STARS which display A SPECTRA from Their Atmosphere which hASN'T ANY HELIUM. THE SUGGESTION HAS BEEN MADE THAT THIS IS DO TO A GRAVITATIONAL SETLENG OUT OF HELIUM BUT THIS SEEMS WRONG. HOWEVER, INTUITION IS NOT ALWAYS THE BEST GUIDE WHEN DISCUSSING THE SIZE AND TIME PERIODS INVOLVED.

There Arises A Problem when Trying to work with The Three QUANTIFIES ENUMERATED Above. That being The Possibility of PAIRS EXISTING IN EQUILIBRIUM IN The SUPER GOOP. BY PAIRS WE MEAN POSITION-ELECTRON, NEUTRINO - ANTINEUTRINO, ETC. THUS WE HAVE THE TWO WAY BETA decay

$N + \overline{V} \iff P + \overline{e}$

Which shows more CLEARLY THAT N/p is NOT SUCH A GOOD FATIO TO PICK SINCE EXCESSES OF $\overline{\nu}$ or \overline{e} would Tend to Drive The reaction IN The CHARACTERISTIC derection. More fundamental Then would be to CALCULATE The number or BARYONS PER CC And how MANY FLEPTONS TO ANTI-LEPTONS THERE ARE. Where BARYONS ARE THE CLASS OF PARTICLES CONSISTING OF NUCLEONS AND HYPERONS (UNSTAble PARTICLES with MASS 2,181 Times THAT OF AN ELECTRON). And The Generic Terms LEPTONS AND ANTI-LEPTONS FEDUCE ARE USED FOR MUDNS, ELECTRONS, MUNEUTRINOS, ELECTRON NEUTRINOS AND THEIR ANTI-PARTICLES. SO OUT NEW QUANTITIES ATE

TEMPERATURE, NUMBER OF BARYONS, RATIO OF LEPTONS PER C.C. ANTI-LEPTONS

The LATTER TATIO BEING EQUAL when The number of electrons = The NUMber of POSITYONS AND THE SAME FOR NEUTRINOS AND ANTI-NEUTRINOS. FORTUNATELY THERE EXISTS A NUMBER BAYYONS OVER ANTI-BAYYONS, ILE, NEUELEONS OVER ANTI-NUCLEONS. FORTUNATELY, THAT IS, BECAUSE IF IT WERE THE OUTHER WAY THERE WOULD N'T BE ANY MATTER IN THE UNIVERSE, JUST PROPAGATING LIGHT! THUS, WE REALIZE ANOTHER FUNDAMENTAL MIRACLE OF DESIGN. THE

Therefore, IT is The choice Number of LEPTONS which determines The rATIO N/P. If the Number of LEPTONS = Number of ANTI-LEPTONS WE GET THE 20-40% figure.

WELL, GETTING BACK TO THE LUMPINESS PROBLEM, SUPPOSE ONE REGION ON THE EDGE OF THIS PRIMORDIAL BALL COOLS A LITTLE FASTER THAT THE SUPPONDING JUNK. THEN GLOBULAR REGIONS WOULD FORM AND BECOME MORE DENSE. THE RESULT bEING A LOWER EXPANSION PATE. SINCE GRAVITY WOULD TEND TO MAGNIFY THESE LUMPS, WE EXPECT THE UNIVERSE TO BE MORE LUMPY TODAY. ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WE ARE CONFRONTED WITH HERE IS NOW TO DETERMINE THE GALAXY SIZE AND WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS A LUMPINESS AT ALL. WE HAVEN'T ANALYZED THE PHENOMENA OF GAS CONDENSATION VERY WELL. IF THE GAS NEVER BECOMES UNSTADLE, GALAXIES WOULD NEVER FORM. WHAT IS NECESSARY IS ENOUGH NOISE OF BACKGROUND FLUCTUATION TO DISTRIPT THIS EXPANSION AND CAUSE GRAVITATIONAL CONDENSATION TO DESTRIPT THIS EXPANSION AND CAUSE GRAVITATIONAL CONDENSATION TO DESTRIPT THE SIZE AND CHARACTER OF THE FORM of CONDENSATION IT DOES NOT FIX THE SIZE AND CHARACTER OF THE FORM OF CONDENSATION IT DOES NOT FIX THE SIZE AND CHARACTER OF THE FESULTING MASSES.

AN ANALOGY IS A WATERFALL IN Which WE LOOK UP AT AND WATCH THE WATER COMING OVER. BEFORE THE EDGE OF THE CLIFF THE INITIAL CONDITIONS CAN be WELL STATED AS TO FLOW VELOCITY, DEPTH OF WATER, ETC.

S NOISE

GENERATED

lobs and drops of white

for MING

As the water starts over the Break POINT Noise is generated which eventually Breaks And shakes I The smooth Flow UP INTO A GLOBULAR flow And FINALLY A MIST. If we were to Ask the what the chances are of having A PARTICLOR Drop End up on our mose Gluen ITS INITIAL condition we immediately realized the INTER mediate noise has LITILE TO DO with A Particular Drop LANDING ON US. So Even Specifying the Initial Conditions would not clearly Define the Answer As to how the current structure of the UNIVERSE, SAY, Got from the PAST.

As the Temperature cools and the helium reaction occurs with high energy GAMMA- MAYS being Emitted, 1.2.

He
$$\iff$$
 $2n+2p$

There is A specific concentration at Equilibrium,

$$\frac{[He]}{[N]^{L}[P]^{L}} = e^{-\frac{\mathcal{E}_{helium} - \mathcal{E}_{4}_{hucleons}}{\frac{\hbar T}{2}}}$$

This EXAMPLE BREAKS DOWN AT LOW TEMPERATURES BUT FOR EQUILIBRIUM THERE IS PURE HELIUM AT ZERO degrees. Which After EXPANSION, FREEZES HELIUM IN ITS ORIGINAL PROPORTIONS. ALSO, THERE IS A V-RAY decoupLING OCCURING AS THE MATERIAL BECOMES TRANSPARENT TO THESE V-RAYS. (NOTE: IF THIS SECTION IS CONFUSING TO YOU, IT'S BECAUSE IT WAS CONFUSING TO MED.

IS THE UNIVERSE OSCILLATING ?

ANOTHER INTERESTING QUESTION INVOLVES THE OSCILLATING UNIVERSE AND whether it will ever END, I.E., DAMP ITSELF OUT, A fellow NAMED TOLMAN believes because entropy is ALWAYS INCREASING The radius AS A function of TIME SHOWS A KINEMATIC DEPENDENCE. BUT, IN FACT, The radius of the UNIVERSE IS determined by relATIVITY And I would guess it would show 4P AND EVENTUALLY STOP OSCILLATING. IT SEEMS THAT THE FADIATION DIS-SIPATION FOLLOWING COMPTESSION IS A DYNAMIC CONDITION LIKE A PISTON PUSHING ON SOME GAS, NOT A KINEMATIC ONE AS TOLMAN DELIEVES. The problem involves AN irreversible process where energy conser-VATION DOES NOT hold. CONSIDER THE BRAIN-TEASER FOR SOPHOMORE Physics students of the chain Dropping on A TAN TABLE, WHAT is The force on the TABLE DUE TO THE PERIODIC POUNDING MOTION of the CHAIN LINKS AS THEY SUCCESSIVELY HIT THE TABLE AN IMPART A SMALL AMOUNT OF MOMENTUM TO IT. If the CHAIN Drops with UELOCITY V IN A GrAVITY free region, what is The resulting speed of The TABLE? AS WE MENTIONED ENERGY CONSERVATION DOES NOT WORK DECRUSE THE LINKS bounce back A LITTLE bit And This ACTION MUST be considered.

A picture of our oscillating model might look like:



WE CONCLUDE OF REASON, THE MOTION OF THE RADIUS NEED NOT be SMOOTH, GRAVITY CAN ACT TO CAUSE NOISE AND DISTURD THE GAS. AS THE WAVES PROPAGATE THROUGH THE MEDIA, LOCAL AREAS OF CONDENSATION CAN RESULT which ACT AS COLLECTING CENTERS. AFTER THE FIRST OF PRIMARY BIG TSANG THE WHOLE SYSTEM COULD become SHAKY. SPURIOUS GRAVITATIONAL WAVE VIDRATIONS STIR UP THE MEDIA AND AS TIME PROGRESS SLOW UNCOUPLE WITH MATTER. THAT IS, TO DAY GRAVITY WAVES PENETRATE FIGHT THROUGH MATTER. HOWEVER, THE EXACT POINT IN TIME WHEN LIGHT AND GRAVITY DECOMES decoupled NEED NOT COINCIDE.

The Cosmological Mirror

WE have MENTIONED before A very NITTY ANALOGY FOR UNderstand The COMPLEX Phenomenta occurring in The Universe. It is Easy to get Lost IN The Physiciss Underlying The Universe. Such Areas of interest of Relativity, Metric Tensors, Schwarzchild Singularity, Gravitons, Anti-Neutrinos, Nuclear reactions, radiation Propagation etc., etc., become & Tangle mess IN NO TIME AT ALL.

LET'S MAKE USE OF OUR HOMOGENEOUS MODEL OF THE UNIVERSE AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO WITH IT. FIRST, AS WE RECALL, IF WE BO WENT OUT TO A NEAR-BY GALAXY AND PASTED A MIRROR ON IT, THE NUMBER OF PARTICLES INCIDENT ON EITHER SIDE WOULD STATISTICALLY BE THE SAME. ALERIGHT THEN, LET'S NOT GO OUT TOO FAR, SAY TO A RADIUS OF TEN NEDULAR UNITS. BY THIS WE SIMPLY MEAN TEN TIMES THE AVERAGE SPACENG BETWEEN NEBULAE. PUT A GREAT BIG SPHERICAL MIRROR THERE. WHAT WE HAVE IN EFFECT IS SOMETHING LIKE THE DRAWING HERE - WITH A LITTLE IMAGINATION ADD TO PUT IR IN FOUR DIMENSIONS.

BY NOT ATTEMPTING TO TAKE SUCH A MONSTOROUSLY LARGE VOLUME THE RELATIVISTIC EFFECTS OF GRAVITY CAN be IGNOVED. HOWEVER, AS WE RECALLED FOR A SIMILAR Argument for A sphere of MATTER AND ASKED WHAT happens and said we could ignore the stuff outside the boundary, what's inside must be considered. So we still MUST EXAMINE THE GRAVITATION AL WAVES ZIPPING About but realizing relativistic Effects become small

BY Thus MAKING CURVATURE AN UNIMPORTANT PART of OUR UNDERSTANDING of WHAT GOES ON INSIDE The Sphere we can resort to a Newtonian ex-PLANATION OF THE SYSTEM. ONE WE ASSUME A HOMOGENEOUS MIXTURE OF JUNK, The ENTIRE HISTORY OF THAT MATERIAL IS REFLECTED IN WHAT WHAT WHAPPENS INSIDE THE SPHERE. IT IS IMPORTANT TO FIXE THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS OF THE PRESSURE AT THE WALL, I. 2., DOES THE PRESSURE INSIDE EXERT AN ACCELERATING force to The WALL TO CAUSE EXPANSION? THE ANSWER BEING YES BUT BECAUSE of THE FINITE MECHANICAL DENSITY INSIDE THE EXPANSION CANNOT PROCEED AT AN INFINITE FATE.

IN This way we have compressed the whole understanding of The Universe TO A SIMPLE AND WORKABLE ANALOGY OF The NATURE of A hot GAS IN A box. (If some of the hot GAS blowing Around IN COSMOLOGICAL CIRCLES Would be DIVERTED TO A UTILIZED PURPOSE OF UNDERSTANDING THIS SIMPLE CASE, PERHAPS WE WOULD UNDERSTAND MORE About where we came from And how). BY CAREFULLY EXAMINING THE IN HOMOGENEITIES which occur in The EXPANSION WE Should be Led TO A BETTER & KNOWLEDGE of The UNIVERSE.

Since The Pressure And Volume of the GAS Are related by The SIMPLELAW PV = CONSTANT

WE FIND THAT

TEMPERATURE ~ V +1

For A PROTON GAS Y = 4/3 SO THE TEMPERATURE GOES AS 1/LENGTH OF A SIDE. SIMPLE ENOUGH. For INTERSTELLAR GAS A GOOD GUESS for Y 15 5/3. SO THAT

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \frac{V_2^{-1} + 1}{V_1^{-1} + 1} = \frac{V_2^{-1} + 1}{V_1^{-1} + 1}$$

To see how good This APPrOXIMATION IS AT THE EARTH YADIATION DENSITY IS GIVEN AS About 300°. For A STAY AT THE DISTANCE OF ONE LIGHT YEAR or 37 SEC while EMITS Which GETS THE LIGHT From OUR SUN Which IT SEES AS A BLACK body AT TEMPERATURE T EMITTING A DENSITY OF LIGHT ~74 THE POWER GOES AS 1/42 THE RADIUS TO IT. FROM Which YEASONING FEYNMAN ESTMATES About A 1° RADIATION DENSITY.

RADIO EMISSION

WE WANT TO TALK MORE TECHNICALLY About The ACTUAL Sources And ORIGIN OF radio Emission. WE WILL FIRST discuss briefly Thermal or blackbody EMISSION AND MORE EXTENSIVELY SYCHROTRON RADIATION.

FROM THERMAL SOURCES LIKE OTION NEDULAE The SPECTRUM of LIGHT EMITTED has the Distribution $\frac{\sqrt{3}d\sqrt{1-1}}{e^{\frac{1}{7}\sqrt{4}kT_{-1}}}$. At low frequencies we get a distribution corresponding to $\frac{\sqrt{3}d\sqrt{1-1}}{kT\sqrt{4}}$. Instead of flux units we associate a temperature that the Antenna "sees" with the radiation. If we plot the Logarithm of power per unit frequency versus frequency, we get the following char-Acteristic curve:



FREQUENQUENCY V ->

The EXPLANATION of The Shape of The curve is THAT The GAS CAN both radiate and absorb. Since There are A LOT of ELECTRONS VUNNING Around which are Accelerated, They NATURALLY EMIN radiation. The absorption Gross section per Atom Times the number of Atom EQUALS one over The mean free Path. If The material is MANY MEAN free Paths LONG, SAY L, which corresponds to The optical depth & (TAU). Where we denote the optical depth to MEAN The NUMber of absorbets wavelengths The Thing is deep.

What hAPPENS for Different $t^{3}s^{2}$ if $t \approx \infty$, Then the radiation from The wall is proportional to $tt t^{2}dv$, i.e., the GAS AT TEMPERATURE T EMITTS LIKE A blackbody. For finite t the BLACKBODY radiation is reduced by AN AMOUNT e^{-t} , i.e.,

FOLLOWING THIS FUTTHER WE CAN DEFINE A SOURCE TEMPERATURE, which is NOT REALLY AR TEMPERATURE AT ALL BUT RATHER UNITS OF STRENGTHS OF EMISSION, AS A FUNCTION OF THE ELECTRON PATH TEMPERATURE (?)

$$T_{s} = T_{el} (1 - e^{-t})$$

OUR IMMEDIATE PROBLEM IS TO FIND OUT WHAT IS. TO DO THIS WE WANT TO DETERMINE HOW MUCH EMISSION COMES FROM A VERY THIN LAYER OF GAS. AFTER THAT CALCULATED WE CAN THEN FIND ALL A THICK LAYER. THE EMISSION IN THIS SMALL LAYER IS THUS GIVEN BY

LT V' dv [At]

IN order to Specifically CALCULATE how Much Qt There is for A GIUEN AMOUNT OF MATERIAL WE MUST CALCULATE THE Absorption coefficients by USING The EMISSION PHENOMENA. REMEMber WE TALKED About A SIMILAR Process when Discussing OPACITY, EINSTEIN COEfficients, etc.

Why DOES THE ELECTION EMITT AND ATION. FOR OUR discussion CLASSICAL Theory WILL suffice. WE Assume A coulomb Potential in which A moving Charge is Accelerated by The Attraction into a Parabolic Orbit:



WE CAN GET AN ANSWER which is About 9500 FIGHT by A SIMPLIFIED CASE of The Above Acceleration. If The charge Ze and electron are so far AWAY THAT The PATH of The Electron REMAINS ESSENTIALLY A STRAIGHT LINE. If we assume a separation Distance of b for the POINT of MINIMUM Approach Then The Acceleration CAN be given AS



The rate of acceleration of the charge is given by

rate of radiation =
$$\frac{Re^2}{3c^3} \alpha^2 = \frac{Re^2}{3c^2} \left(\frac{Ze^2}{b^3m}\right)^6$$

in units of Energy/sec

Assuming the MAJOR ACCELEYATION OCCURS with A 26 DIAMETER of The POINT of MAXIMUM ACCELERATION, THE TIME OF COLLISION IS GIVEN by

$$limt = \frac{2b}{V_{vel}} \sim \frac{1}{V_{free}}$$

The ACCELERATION THEN CAUSES A GAUSSIAN LIKE RADIATION CURVE AROUND THE MAXIMUM POINT, J.E., IT FORMS A LITTLE DUMP. SINCE THE FREQUENCY OF EMISSION IS WUERSELY PROPORTIONAL TO THE TIME OF EMISSION, WE FIND

$$\frac{\chi db}{v} = \frac{dv}{dv^2} \longrightarrow dv = \frac{v}{\chi b^2} db$$

The EMISSION OCCURFING IN dV EQUALS THE PRODADILITY and of b being in db TIMES THE ENERGY EMITTED, I.E., THE AREA which has to be hit

ENERGY IN
$$dV = 2\pi b db x \left[\frac{2b}{v} x \frac{e^{6} z^{2}}{m^{2} c^{3} b^{4}} \right] = \frac{8\pi e^{6} z^{2} dv}{m^{2} c^{3} v^{2}}$$

WE ARE TRYING TO GET THE SPECTRUM INDEPENDENT OF V THE FREQUENCY. TO DO THIS WE WILL HAVE & TO GO FURTHER. ACTUALLY THE NUMBER OF COLLISIONS PER SEC PER UNIT VOLUME PER dy is GIVEN AS A FUNCTION OF ELECTRON, ION NUMBERS AND ELECTRON VELOCITY

FOR NEUTRAL MATERIAL NION · Z = Nel And we get

$$\frac{e_{NerGY IN dV}}{Sec-VOL} = \frac{NeL e^{2} z dV}{m^{2}c^{3} v}$$

REALIZING THAT MANY ELECTRONS HAVE dI HERENT VELOCITIES WE AVERAGE TO GIVE A MEAN VELOCITY $\overline{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{RT}{T}}$

WHAT THIS WHOLE THING IS ALL ABOUT IS THAT I' AM TYVING TO UNDERSTAND THE ABSORPTION COEFFICIENT FOR Z=1 IN FOUND IN THE KRAUS BOOK, I.E.,

$$\mathcal{K} = 9.8 \times 10^{-13} N_{\text{per}}^{2} T_{eL} v^{-2} [19.8 + \ln(T^{3/2}/v)]$$

WE WANT TO COMPARE THIS TO RTYZ dy [DY] where DY=K DX. It is possible then to equate our energy EMISSION& formulae And Solue for K to hopefully, get something like The Above, NE.

According to Fernman:

$$\frac{4\pi kT v^2 dV \chi}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{Nee e^2 Z}{m^2 c^3 Y}$$

(Either This is copied wrong or else it is not immediately obvious what K is).

IN FACT, WER AREN'T QUITE Through.

IN OUR CASE THE FREQUENCY CANNOT GO TOO HIGH OR ELSE & GETS SO SMALL THAT THE WHOLE THING IS NO GOOD ANY MORE. WE CAN, however, CALCULATE THE MINIMUM & TO GIVE US THE MAXIMUM FREQUENCY by EQUATENG KINETIC AND POTENTIAL ENERGY AT & MIN.

$$kT = \frac{Ze^2}{bmin} - \frac{kT}{Ze^2} = \frac{1}{bmin}$$

but $b = \frac{T}{2V}$ or $V_{MBX} = \frac{T}{2bmin}$
 $V_{MAX} = \frac{kT}{2Ze^2}$

Recalling $\overline{v} = \sqrt{\frac{h}{m}}$ we get a characteristic cut-off point $\frac{V}{T^{3/2}}$ Low cuil off $= \frac{V}{T^{3/2}}$

While A UPPER CUTE off results from A bmax Given by The Shielding distance of The NEUCLEUS

$$b_{max} = \left(\frac{kT}{4\pi e^2 N}\right)^{1/2}$$

Therefore

$$V_{\text{mIN}} = \frac{\overline{\upsilon}}{z \, b_{\text{mAX}}} = \frac{1}{z} \sqrt{\frac{kT}{m}} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi e^2 N}{kT}} = \left(\frac{4\pi e^2 N}{m}\right)^{1/2}$$

Which A tew MIGHT RECOGNIZE AS THE PLASMA FREQUENCY WPL. SO OUR APPROXIMATION ISN'T ANY GOOD BELOW THE PLASMA FREQUENCY.

For A TYPICAL, TYPICAL BECAUSE EVERYOME HAS AGREED TO ACCEPT IT AS TYPICAL, GAS Tel = 104 °K we get V ~ 103 Mc so THAT The LOGATION IN THE EQUATION FOR K IS AboUT -7 AND THUS 19.8-7~12.8. So finally we can determine K. And for MOST MATERIALS THIS OPTICAL DEPTH THEN DEPTH DEPENDS ON 1/y2.

FINALLY THEN FOR A GIVEN THICKNESS OF GAS FADIATION GOES AS

$$\gamma^{2}(1-e^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}) d\gamma$$

For Low VALUES OF Y WE GET AN OPTICALLY THICK MEDIA, I.E., rADIATION ~ V²dV. While Athigh frequenc**hes** IES IT GOES AS A CONSTANT TIMES dV. See our previous diagram for orion. The Agreement is pretty GOOD. IT IS INTERESTING TO LOOK A LITTLE MORE CLOSELY AT THE CURVE WE SKETCHED FOR"IF NO OTHER REASON THAT TO SHOW HOW ASTRONOMICAL DATA IS STRETCHED TO FIT THEORETICAL PREDICTION.



What happens at POINT 2 is somewhat confusing? Why Does it DIP below POINT 1? WE CAN MENTION, Though, The FLATENESS IS DUE TO THE INCREASING TRANSPARENCY. WE CAN ELECTTON ESTIMATE THE ELECTION DENSITY KNOWING THE TEMPERATURE, SAY 10⁴, AND THICKNESS AS OBSERVED by THE TELESCOPE. From such CALCULATIONS THEY Arrive AT A figure of A few ThousAND PER CC NEAR THE CENTER TO About TEM PER SC. AT THE EDGE. From This density we get radio Emissions

As A Short Philosophical ASIDE IN regards to the QUESTION of EXPLAINING THAT CURVE AbovE, IT SEEMS THAT PHYSICS CANADA IN IS A PERPETUAL DISCUSSION INVOLVING THE MOST UNRELIABLE POINTS.

TO MORE OF LESS, WEAP THIS SECTION UP WE LIST SOME SUPER NOVAE REMNANTS GIVING SOME OF THE ASTRONOMICAL DATA COLLECTED

OPTECL	FLUX 10 ²⁶ <u>WAIIS</u> Cm ² CPS	freq	DISTANCE PAR-SEC	TYPE Super- Novae	AGE YRS.	SPECTRAL dv INDEX, N Vn
CASSIOPEA A PUPPIS A	3 7	178	3.43	П	265	
CRAD	13 3	1000	1.13 1.12	<u>Ш</u> т		.27
HBZI	.53	100	2.3	Π		
IC 443 CYGNUS	•23	178	2.3	Π		.28
TYCHOS SN KEPLERS	.13 ₃ .08 ₃	100 178 100	• 77 ₃ •363 ¹ 3	I I I	50,000	.45
					393 361	.6 .7

ALL THESE STARS ARE TRANSPATENT AND EMIT A LITTLE LIGHT. CASSIOPEA A is The STRONGEST Source IN The SKY, OUTSIDE OF THE SUN.

CHAPTER 11

Theory of Sychrotron RADIATION (SEE LECTURES ON Physics, VOL 1, FEYNMAN)

TO BEGIN DISCUSSING THE THEORY OF EMISSION WE SEEK TO FIND THE SPECTRAL DISTRIBUTION OF AN OBJECT GOING AROUND IN A CIRCLE. TO ACCOMPLISH THIS WE MUST SOLVE MAX WELL'S EQUATIONS BUT THE QUESTION IS WHERE TO START.

The ELECTRIC FIELD PRODUCED by A MOVING CHARGE SEEN FROM FAR AWAY HAS TWO COMPONENTS ONE GOING AS ONE OVER THAT DISTANCE SQUARE AND THE OTHER GOING AS ONE OVER THE DISTANCE. If we get far ENOUGH AWAY From The CHARGE, THE LAST DYING PIECE IS FADING AS VR. PHYSICALLY THEN THE SITUATION IS EASY TO DESCRIBE.

IMAGINE THE, ELECTYON CAPTIES A LITTLE LIGHT which has NO DOPPLEY Shifting. LET'S PAINT A PICTURE OF HOW IT LOOKS TO US. AS OUR DOT MOVES AROUND, THE AC-CELERATION OF THE IMAGE POINT THEN EQUALS THE STRENGTH OF THE FIELD. IF we are LOOKING DIRECTLY IN THE DIRECTION ROTATION, I.E., WE LOOK AT THE EDGE OF A HOOP AND CAN'T TELL ITS A CIRCLE, WHAT DO WE SEE? TO US THE ELECTRON APPEARS TO MOVE TOWARDS US AND THEN AWAY. IF WE TILT THE PLANE OF THE CIRCLE SO WE LOOK AT AN ANGLE WE, IN FACT, SEE AN ELLIPSE. TO US IT IS LIKE THE CHARGE GOES SLOW COMING TOWARDS US ONDIG THE BOTTOM OF THE CIRCLE AND THEM GOES LIKE MAD OVER THE TOP - THINK OF A POLLY - COASTER. THE TREMENDOUS ACCELERATIONS ARE SIMILAR. THE REASON WE SEE THIS UARIATION IN MOTION INVOLVES THE PETATED TIME INVOLVED IN PECEIVING THE SIGNALS. THIS WE MOST GO INTO MORE DEFILY.



IF THE DIRECTION OF OBSERVATION FROM AN OBSERVER AT POINT P IS DENOTED by er in spherical coordinates, Then Electrodynamics says a moving charge produces an Electric FIELD GIVEN by

$$\vec{E} = -\underline{e} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \hat{e}_{r}$$

INAGINE A PLANE SOME UNIT DISTANCE FROM THE EVE WHERE THE COORDINATES

As we see it.

To DETErMINE THE EX' AND EY' ELECTRIC FIELD COMPONENTS WE MUST DETERMINE $E_{y1} = -e d^2 \chi^1$ $E_{y1} = -e d^2 \gamma'$

$$E_{X'} = -\frac{e}{c^2 Ro} \frac{dX'}{dT} + E_{Y'} = -\frac{e}{c^2 Ro} \frac{dT}{dT}$$

where Ro is The Distance to the source.

WE MUST BE VERY CAREFUL TO SPEAK About The correct TIME relationships because it is very important in determining what we see. We shall DENOTE The Proper TIME of The EMISSION, I.E., THE POINT THE CHARGE SPITS OUT ITS rADIATION AS ELZAU. AT THE MOMENT & THE COMPONENTS of The CHArges POSITION Are GIVEN by x(t), Y(t), AND Z(t). So we must connect our EIME of observation t with the moment of Emission & And when we do SO THE COMPONENT Z(t) DOES GUE EFFECT THE PETARDATION. THE DELAY IN TIME occurs over the DISTANCE Ro which means A time of Role To GET THE LIGHT FROM POINT O TO POINTP BUT THIS ISN'T TOO INTERESTING because it sust shifts the origin of t by A CONSTANT. The IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTION COMES IF Z(2) IS A LITTLE FATTher beyond "o" so The TIME DELAY for The SIGNAL TO rEACH O IS Z(2)/C. PROPERLY THEN, wt have

 $t = t + \frac{R_0}{C} + \frac{Z(t)}{C}$ And remembering we are in the plane of motion

x'(t) = x(t) And Y'(t) = Y(t)

FINALLY, DROPPING RO/C AND WORKING IN UNITS OF C=1

t= セ+ モ(セ)

TO WORK OUT THE APPARENT MOTION OF THE ELECTRON WE SEE FROM OUR EQUATION THAT IF THE MOTION OF THE ELECTTON IS CITCULAR THEN THE APPARENT MOTION IS A TRANSLATION ALONG OUR LINE OF SIGHT A DISTANCE Ct. what we "see" Then is a curve CALLED A hypocycloid with cusps OCCUPPING WHEN IT APPEARS THAT THE CHARGE IS MOVING TOWARds US.



SO OUR PICTURE REDUCES TO A MACHINEMGUN SPRAYING OUT BULLETS IN ALL DIRECTION bUT WE GET IT INOPSE WHEN THEY ARE COMING FIGHT AT US! THAT IS, THE FLECTRIC FIELD APPEARS TO PULSATE ONLY WHEN THE charge moves towards us. We see it over a LONG Period of its Time IN A Shorter Period of our TIME due To This retardation. The NET result is A COMPRESSION IN TIME.

FROM HERE WE WANT TO ESTABLISH HOW MUCH LIGHT ENERGY THERE IS IN The LIGHT; where The ENERGY IS PROPORTIONAL TO E2. FOR SIMPLICITY WE WILL WORK WITH ONE PULSE IN which The TOTAL ENERGY IS

$$\int dt \frac{E^2}{4\pi}$$

WE WANT TO FIND THE FOURIER TRANSFORM of This EQUATION, I.E.,

$$\int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\mathcal{E}^2(\omega)}{4\pi}$$

where $\mathcal{E}(w) = \int E(t) e^{i\omega t} dt$

For circular motion and observations TAKEN FROM THAT PLANE THE POWER PER $\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}$ is $\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\mathcal{E}(\omega) \right]^2 = \frac{\omega^4}{4\pi} \left[|X(\omega)|^2 + |Y(\omega)|^2 \right]$

Where we have TO SPECIFY The TWO DIRECTIONS OF POLARIZATION. WITH THE MOTION IN THE X,Z PLANE AND DEFINING 2=0 TO BE THE POINT AT which The radiation is spit out, i.e., at the top of the circle,

where a is the radius and y the frequency ANOULAY VELOCITY. So we then have

And
$$t = t + asinvt - dt = dt(1 + avcosvt)$$

The Fourier TrANSFORM of X'(1) being

SUBSTITUTING,

SINCE

$$X(\omega) = \int e^{i\omega(t+\alpha sinvt)} a\cos vt dt(1+\alpha v\cos vt)$$

The EXACT SOLUTION TO THIS INTEGRAL, which CONTAINS THE SIDE DAND OF THE FREQUENCY MODULATION, IS VERY DIFFICULT TO GET AND INVOLVES BESSEL FUNCTIONS. NOTICING, HOWEVER, THAT THE DIFFERENTIAL IS THAT OF THE EXPONENT WE TRY INTEGRATING BY PARTS

LET U = Q COS VT
$$dv = e^{i\omega(t+QSINIVT)} dt(1+QVCOSVt)$$

 $du = -QVSINVT dt V = \frac{1}{i\omega} e^{i\omega(t+QSINVt)}$
 $\chi(\omega) = \frac{Q}{i\omega} \cos vT e^{i\omega(t+QSINVT)} + \int \frac{Ve}{i\omega} e^{i\omega(t+QSINVt)} QSINVt dt$
 $Q \cos vt = 0, w, After one cycle it cots out, we have
 $\chi(\omega) = \int e^{i\omega(t+QSINVt)} QSINVt dt$$

which we could have obtained by differentiating with respect to "a", $X(\omega) = \frac{aV}{(i\omega)^2} \int \frac{de}{da} \frac{de}{da} \frac{de}{da} dt$

WE WON'T WORK OUT THE OPPOSITE FOURIER TRANSFORM RIGHT NOW BUT IT IS

$$\chi(\omega) = \frac{av}{(i\omega)^2} \frac{d}{da} \left[\int e^{-i\omega(t-asinvt)} dt \right]$$

SINCE WE ONLY GET A MAJOR CONTRIBUTION DURING A VERY SHORT MOMENT, The INTEGRAL CAN BE APPROXIMATED by

$$\int e^{-i\omega(1-\alpha v)t} - i\omega \alpha v^{3} t^{3} dt$$

REMEMBER WE DRE LIMITING OURSELVES TO ONE PULSE OTHERWISE WE WOULD GET IN TROUBLE WITH DIMARMONICS.

IN OUR CONSIDERATION THE VELOCITY OF THE ELECTRUN About The CENTER IS CLOSE TO THE SPEED OF LIGHT OR

av~c

LAST TIME WE WERE TRYING TO FIND OUT WHAT THE FREQUENCY SPECTRUM OF RADIATION EMITTED BY A RELATIVISTIC CHARGED PARTICLE IN INSTAN-TANEOUSLY CIRCULAR MOTION IS. TODAY I WANT TO TRY TO DEVELOPE THE SAME EQUATION FOR THE ENERGY DISTRIBUTION IM A DIFFERENT WAY. WE WILL STAYT WITH CLASSICAL ELECTRODYNAMICS, I.E., MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS; USE A LITTLE KNOWN PROPERTY OF VECTORS AND FINAL EMPLOY FOURIER TRANSFORMS TO GO INTO THE K-SPACE CEPTESENTATION.

The FOUR MAXWELL EQUATIONS ARE

 $\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = (2/\epsilon) \qquad (i)$ $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \qquad (ii)$ $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\partial B/\partial t \qquad (iii)$ $\nabla \times \vec{B} - \partial \vec{E} = \partial I/ho \qquad (iv)$

NOW WE USE THAT ANY VECTOR IN GENERAL CAN BE WRITTEN ASTHE SUM OF TWO PARTS - A LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE ONE, I.E., LET A DENOTE A VECTOR THEN

$$\overline{A} = A_{k} + \overline{A}_{TR}$$

Such That,

 $\nabla \cdot \overline{A}_{TR} = 0$ And $\nabla \times A_{R} = 0$

WE EMPHASIS THE GENERALITY of This Procedure. SINCE The ELECTRIC FIELD IS A REIFECTLY GOOD VECTOR.

FROM (i) we see

OR

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \nabla \cdot \vec{E}_{l} + \nabla \cdot \vec{E}_{TR} = \ell' \epsilon_{0}$$

A COMPLIMENTARY EQUATION NECESSARY TO UNIQUE DETERMINE THE LONGITUDINAL COMPONENT OF E IS _____

$$\nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{0}$$

THUS \vec{E}_{l} is determINED BY THE GRADIENT OF SOME POTENTIAL which depends directly ON The charge concentration as PER Electrostatics AND INVERSELY AS THE dISTANCE FROM THE CHARGE

$$E_{\lambda} = *\nabla \phi$$

$$\phi = \frac{charges \ br \ electrostatics}{R}$$

where

If WE EXAMINE THE LONGITUDINAL PART OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD, WE DISCOVER A VERY UNUSAL THING, NAMELY, THERE ISN'T ANY LONGITUDINAL PART; THE MAGNETIC FIELD IS ALL TRANSVERSE. To show this we use EQUATION (2)

$$\nabla \cdot \overline{B} = 0 = \nabla \cdot \overline{B}_{\ell} + \nabla \cdot \overline{B}_{\Gamma}$$

but $\nabla \cdot \overline{B}_{\Gamma r} = 0$ in general Thus

$$\nabla \cdot B_{1} = 0$$

SINCE VX BL = 0, IT IS NECESSARY THAT BL = 0. Therefore

$$D = DTR.$$

Now Let's See what happens with EQUATION (iii), VXE = 38/20

$$\nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{TR}} + \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{L}} = \partial \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{Tr}} / \partial \mathbf{T}$$

$$\nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{Tr}} = \partial \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{Tr}} / \partial \mathbf{T}$$

OR

$$\nabla \times \overline{B}_{1r} + \partial \overline{E}_{1r} + \partial \overline{E}_{1r} = j\lambda + jr\lambda$$

If we want we could compare consitudinal and transverse components AND EQUATE THEM. BUT LET'S TAKE THE DEFINATIVE AND GET

$$\nabla \times \frac{\partial \overline{B}_{rr}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{E}_{rr}}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial \overline{E}_{\ell}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial \overline{f}_{\ell}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \overline{f}_{rr}}{\partial \overline{t}}$$

USING OUT JUST derived fact THAT OBTA/ AT = VX ET AND SEPARATING OUT we find

$$\nabla x (\nabla x \overline{e}_{\tau r}) + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{e}_{\tau r}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \overline{j} \overline{r}$$

RECALLING THE VECTOR IDENTITY

$$\nabla x \, \nabla x \, \overline{A} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \overline{A}) - \overline{\nabla}^{2} \widehat{A}$$

but $\nabla \cdot \overline{A}_{Tr} = 0$ Thus
 $\nabla x (\nabla x \, \overline{E}_{Tr}) = - \overline{\nabla}^{2} \, \overline{E}_{Tr}$

WE Are LEFT with the very interesting result that After we rid our-SELVES OF ELECTROSTATICS THE ELECTRIC FIELD IS GENERATED ENTIRELY by The TRANSVERSE CURRENT.

$$-\nabla^2 \overline{E}_{1r} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{E}_{1r}}{\partial \tau^2} = \frac{\partial \overline{1} \overline{1}}{\partial \overline{1}}$$

Now IT IS ASSO TRUE FOR ANY VECTOR DESCRIBED IN POSITION SPACE THERE corresponds A fourier TRANSFORM of THAT VECTOR IN IK OR WAVE-NUMBER SPACE

$$\overline{A}(\overline{R},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{A}(\overline{R},t) e^{i\overline{R}\cdot\overline{R}} \frac{d^{3}\overline{R}}{(\overline{L}\overline{n})^{3}}$$

The ACTION of differentiATING A(R,t) with respect to IR is The SAME AS MULTIPLYING THE INTEGRAT BY LIK EACH TIME,

TAKING THE LAPLACIAN OF E(K,t) IN THE IK TH MODE WE GET

$$(-)(i\mathbf{k})^{2}C^{2}\overline{E}_{ik} + \frac{\partial^{2}E_{ik}}{\partial \tau^{2}} = \frac{d}{d\tau}\mathbf{j}_{ik}^{T_{2}}$$

OR

$$K^2 C^2 \overline{E}_{Tr} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{E}_{Tr}}{\partial \tau^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{j}_{Tr} dropping The IKTh mode NOTATION$$

Thus far we have made no SIMPLICATIONS; WE have TALKED TALKED IN GENERAL TERMS IF YOU LET MEGET BY WITH THE INSTANTANEOUS LONGITUD-INAL ELECTRIC FIELD which NO ONE QUESTIONED SO' I'LL GO ON. WE CAN NOTE, HOWEVER, THAT THE SOLUTION TO THE FINAL FORM THE EQUATION Above IS JUST THAT OF A HARMONIC OSCILLATION DRIVEN BY A FORCE CORRESPONDING TO THE TIME DEFINITIVE OF THE TRANSVEISE CURRENT.

WE CAN TAKE The fourier Transform of 11Th is we CAN TAKE IT of j , 18.

Now \vec{j}_{TT} is perpendicular to \vec{j}_{II} . But \vec{j}_{II} CAN be written in the funny notation $\vec{j}_{II} = \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{j}}{\vec{k}^2}$

OF JL POINTS IN THE SAME DIFECTION AS IR. THIS IMPLIES JTY IS PEPPEN-DICULAR THE IR

The SOLUTION of The driven harmonic oscillator for The KTH MODE of The TRANSVERSE ELECTRIC FIELD IN THE K-SPACE, I.E., The COMPONENT ALWAYS NORMAL TO THE DIRECTION OF PROPAGATION IS GIVEN AS

$$\vec{E}_{K}(\vec{R},t) = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \sin \omega (t-t') \frac{1}{dt} \vec{j}_{K}(\vec{R},t) dt'$$

THAT IS, WE USED THE GENERAL SOLUTION TO THE EQUATION

 $\ddot{X} + \omega^2 x = Y(t)$

WITH

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \sin \omega (t - t') \gamma(t') dt'$$

Where The TIME T is The TIME WE WANT THE FIELD. SINCE THE OBSERVATION OCCUPS AT A MUCH LATTER TIME THAT THE EVENT ITSELF SINCE IT TAKES 100'S OF LIGHT YEARS TO REACH US, WE CAN, FOR ALL PRACTICAL PURPOSES TAKE THAT TIME TO BE INFINITY SO OUR INTEGRATION YUNS FROM -00 TO 100.

If we MAKE THE SUBSTITUTION.

$$SIN \omega(T-T') = e^{i\omega(T-T')} - e^{-i\omega(T-T')}$$

$$\overline{E}(IK,t) = \frac{i}{2i\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[e^{i\omega(T-T')} - e^{-i\omega(T-T')} \right] Y(t') dt'$$
where $Y(I') = \frac{d}{dt'} \mathbf{j}(K,T)$

REWTITING

$$\vec{E}(K,t) = \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{z_{i\omega}} I_{i} + \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{z_{i\omega}} I_{z}$$

IN This form we clearly see we have done something wrong because the Power which goes as E² contains interfering terms like IIIz which MEANS WE have out Going and incoming waves interfering.

WELL, IF YOU'LL PERMIT ME TO CHEAT AND WRITE DOWN WHAT I WANT, I.E., WITH A few factors MISPLACED

$$\widetilde{E}_{K}(t) = \underbrace{e^{i\omega t}}_{zi\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t'} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{t}^{\infty} (\vec{K}, t) dt'$$

WHAT THEN IS THE CUPPENT FOR MOVING ELECTION GIVEN BY THE POSITION VECTOR Q(t) AND COMPONENTS X(t), Y(t), Z(t). WE NOTE THE CUPPENT IS ZERO EXCEPT WHERE THE ELECTRON IS, I.E., WE HAVE A SELTA FUNCTION. THE CHARGE DENSITY IN IK SPACE IS

$$Q_{L}(\vec{k},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(\vec{R},t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{R}} d^{3}\vec{R}$$

but $\rho(\vec{R},t) = g\delta(\vec{R}(t) - \vec{a}(t))$
so we simply have $\rho(\vec{k},t) = ge^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{a}(t)}$

To find the current density which is simple the charge density times The velocity of the charge $\vec{j}(K,t) = q \vec{\alpha}(t) e^{iK \cdot \vec{\alpha}(t)}$

IT IS AMAZING how SIMPLE ELECTVODYNAMICS CAN be MADE IF ONLY WE WOULD TEACH FOURIER TRANSFORM THEORY FIRST. BECAUSE ONCE WE GO TO IK-SPACE WE ELIMITNATE THE NEED TO TALK AboUT RETARTED WAVE AND ALL THAT JUNK. If we now INTEGRATE $\vec{E}_{K}(t)$ by PART by LETTING $u = e^{-i\omega t}$ and $dv = \frac{d}{dt} \vec{j}(K,t) dt'$ $\vec{E}_{K}(t) = \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\tau_{i\omega}} \left[\hat{j}(K,t) e^{-i\omega t'} \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} + i\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t'} \vec{j}(K,t') dt'$ $= \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\tau_{i\omega}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t'} \vec{j}(K,t') dt'$

SINCE ent is just a Phase factor we chose to ignore it.

We finally end up with AN INTEGRAL of The form

$$\vec{E}_{K}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t'} \hat{a}(t') e^{iK \cdot \vec{a}(t')} dt'$$

The VELOCITY VECTOR DEING NORMAL TO THE direction of PropAGATION K. This is the SAME TYPE OF INTEGRAL AS WE had before. WE NOW HAVE THE ELECTRIC FIELD AS A FUNCTION OF IK. IF WE FIX THE Orbit AND VARY IK, WE ASK WHAT THE PADIATION IS. FIRST, WE WANT TO DESCRIBE CIRCULAR MOTION; THAT MEANS THE POSITION COMPONENTS IN THE K, Z di-FECTIONS AFE GIVEN BY

 $a_{\mathbf{z}} = a \operatorname{sinvt}$ $a_{\mathbf{x}} = a \cos v t$

The direction of Propagation TK is given by

SINCE THE MOTION IS VESTRICTED TO THE X, Z PLANE IKY = 0 And The radiation coccurs at t=0. We have Then,

Such THAT

If we TILT THE PLANE OF MOTION THROUGH A VERY SMALL ANGLE O, THEN

 $K_{Z} = \omega \cos \theta$ $K_{X} = \omega \sin \theta$

TO A GOOD APPROXIMATION

$$\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{H}} = \omega(1 - \frac{\Theta^2}{2}) = \omega - \frac{\omega \Theta^2}{2}$$
 And $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{X}} = \omega \Theta$

The compoNENTS of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ become $(\omega - \frac{\omega \Theta^2}{2}, \omega \Theta, 0, \Theta)$. Since we must have the factor $\overline{\mathbb{K}} \cdot \overline{\Omega}(t)$

we see IK. QC

The ELECTRIC FIELD FOR A PARTICULAR WAVE NUMBER, 1.E., IN THE KTH MODE IS GIVEN BY

dropping the T' NOTATION. Also where we used the vector velocity component in the X direction ONLY.

However, the MAIN CONTRIBUTION TO THE INTEGRAL OCCURS when $\Theta = 0$ And under that condition $K_{\overline{x}} = \omega$, $K_{\overline{x}} = 0$. So we set

$$E_{ik}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} e^{+i\omega a \sin y t} a y \sin y t dt$$

For very high frequencies, w, The EXPONENTIAL OSCILLATES SO FAPIDLY THAT THE INTEGRAL DOESN'T GIVE 45 MUCH. WHEN THE CIRCULAR FREQUENCY V IS VERY HIGH, THEN WE HAVE THIS LARGE W. SO WE MUST REQUIRE THAT THE OSCILLATIONS BE SMALL BUT AT THE SAME TIME GET A HIGH W. TO do THIS LET'S EXPAND SINVE FOR SMALL VALUES OF YE, I.E.,

$$SINYT = Vt - \frac{V^3t^3}{6}$$

so that
$$E_{K}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(\omega - \omega a v)t} e^{-i(\omega a v)t} Vasinvt dt$$

BUT QV = VELOCITY ON THE OFBIT, V Which IS ALMOST THE SPEED OF LIGHTE. THE VELOCITY CAN BE WRITTEN IN TERMS = of Y WHERE E= YMC² AS

$$V = 1 - \frac{1}{\chi \gamma^2}$$

Thus W - WQY = W - WV = W - W + W = W. If we ignore The other much smaller exponential, we see $2Y^2 = 2Y^2$ that even when Y is very Large marbe 2000 for I BeV, W CAN be very large so that the oscillations Are small and Thus get A contribution from The integral.

For EASE of HANDLING LET'S CONSIDER THE INTEGRAL $I = \int_{-i}^{10} e^{-i\omega t + iK_Z a \sin yt} dt$

where we chose to ignore the term e^{ik} a cosyt because for yt = o we only have e^{ik}, a which is just a phase difference.

WITH THIS INTEGRAL WE CAN DEFINE THE ELECTRIC FIELD AS

$$E_{k}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\partial}{\partial K_{z}}}$$

THUS WE ONLY HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT WHAT I TURNS OUT TO BE THEN TAKE THE derivative with respect to KZ.

AGAIN for small ANGLES VT

$$\frac{1}{I} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(\omega - |K_z Qy|)t} e^{-i(K_z Qy)^3 t^3} dt$$
Let $P = \frac{|K_z Qy|^3}{2}$ And $\lambda = \omega - K_z Qy$ such That
 $I = \int e^{-i\lambda t} e^{-iPT^3/3} dt$

This INTEGRAL IS A BESSEL FUNCTION OF ORDER'S OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. SINCE THE MATHEMATICS CAN BE WORKED OUT FROM TABLES, I WOULD LIKE TO WORK OUT AN ASYMPTOTIC APPROXIMATION TO UNDERSTAND THE MEANING OF THE INTEGRAL.

WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHERE THE PHASE VARIES THE SLOWEST, N.E., WHERE DOES ITS MINIMUM OCCUP. THUS LET'S DIfferentiate The EXPONENT WITH RESPECT TO TIME TO GET

OR

$$\lambda + PT_{o}^{*} = 0$$
$$T_{o} = i\sqrt{\lambda_{P}} = it$$

where &= Mp

So WE LEARN A VERY ANNOYING THING, HE., THE PHASE NEVER VARIES SLOWLY OVER I! WE JUST GOT THROUGH TRYING TO FIND THIS POINT AND NOW WE LEARN IT LIES ON THE COMPLEX FIME AXIS. BUT WE AREN'T THROUGH YET. SUPPOSE WE TAKE A CONTOUR SO THAT WE TRAVEL ALONG THE TIME AXIS AND THIS GOUP TO INCLUDE THE POINT it, E.G.



LET ME CHEAT AGAIN AND TAKE A DIFFERENT CONTOUR; This TIME WE HAVE The point where The oscillation occurs AT -it AND we follow The PATH



Therefore,

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda t - i\lambda s} + \frac{pt^3}{3} + ipt^2 s - tps^2 - ips^3 ds$$

NOTE: SINCE $t = \sqrt{\lambda_p}$ which implies $t^2 = \lambda/p$, we find $-i\lambda s + iPt^2 s = -i\lambda s + i\lambda s = 0$; or the first order term in s cancels out.

IF WE NEGLECT THE S3 TERM, THE REMAINING SECOND ORDER TERM IS A GAUSSIAN. FOR 2771 WE GET AN ASYMPTOTIC SOLUTION OF THE FORM

$$I = -\sqrt{4\pi} e^{-\lambda t} e^{+Pt^{3}/3}$$

$$I = -\sqrt{4\pi} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{3} t} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{3} \frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{P''^{1}}}$$

OR

The electric field E CAN NOW DE DETERMINED VEALIZING THAT & AND P Are functions of IKZ. The Answer FEYNMAN GOT WAS

$$E = \frac{\sqrt{1}}{4} \frac{\sqrt{4}\pi}{\lambda^{5/4}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2}{3}} \lambda^{\frac{2}{3}}}{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

JTN Note: I don't recall this attempt to help Feynman and don't know if this correct-treat it accordingly

KNOWING THE OUTCOME OF THE DAY'S LECTURE AND THAT DR. FEYNMAN WAS NOT GETTING THE ANSWERS HE WANT I MIGHT SPECULATE THAT HERE IS A TROUBLE SPOT. According to our formulae for E, 1.S.,

$$\vec{E}_{K} = V \frac{d}{dK_{z}} I$$

And remembering $\lambda = \omega - iK_3 av$ $P = iK_3 av_{2}^3$ if we pot Those into the expression for I we get

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{(\omega - 1Kav)^{3/4}} (1Kav_{2}^{3/2})^{3/4} exp \left[-\frac{2}{3} \frac{(\omega - 1Kav)^{3/2}}{(1Kav_{2}^{3/2})^{1/2}} \right]$$

This then must be differenentiated with respect to $|K_Z|$. I'll check it latter but it doesn't look like it gives the E found by DR. Feynman. After A short recall, I think I have it. Since the oscillations must be small, as stated, to get A significant contribution to the integral we required that $\lambda = \omega - |KQV \approx 0$. So we only differentiate λ^{-V_A} with respect to $|K_Z|$ because λ gives the biggest contribution. So

$$\frac{dI}{dK_{2}} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{4\pi}{\lambda^{5/4}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{P^{1/2}} \frac{d\lambda}{dK_{2}} = + \frac{av}{4} \frac{4\pi}{\lambda^{5/4}} e^{-\frac{1}{3}} \frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{P^{1/2}} P^{1/2}$$

Thus obtaining for E,

$$\vec{E}_{IK} = \frac{\alpha \gamma^2}{4} \frac{1}{\lambda^{5/4}} \frac{1}{\rho^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{2}{5} \lambda^{3/2}} \rho^{1/2}$$

I'LL TRY TO EXAMINE THE EFFECT OF THE EXTRA FACTOR QY ON THE FINAL RESULT DR. FEYNMAN OBTAINED.

CONTINUING WITH THE LECTURE NOTES, WE CAN GET AN APPROXIMATE EQUATION FOR & IN THE FOLLOWING WAY,

$$\lambda = \omega - |\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{v}$$
where $|\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{q}} = \omega \left(1 - \frac{\Theta^2}{2}\right)$ And $\alpha \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} = 1 - \frac{1}{2g^2}$

$$\lambda = \omega - \omega \left(1 - \frac{\Theta^2}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2g^2}\right) = \omega - \omega \left(1 - \frac{1}{2g^2} - \frac{\Theta^2}{2} + \frac{\Theta^2}{4g^2}\right)$$

NEGLECTING 02/472 WE GET

$$\lambda = \omega - \omega + \frac{\omega}{2} \left(\frac{1}{5} + \theta^2 \right)$$
$$\lambda = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(\theta^2 + \frac{1}{5} \right)$$

or

TO ESTIMATE P FOR INTERESTING FREQUENCIES WHERE aV21

$$P = K_3 \frac{\alpha \gamma \gamma^2}{2} \approx K_3 \frac{\gamma^2}{2}$$

but to A GOOD APPROXIMATION $IK_3 = \omega(1-\frac{\theta^2}{2}) \approx \omega$ Therefore $P \approx \frac{\omega \gamma^2}{2}$
SINCE The Power GOES AS E' we have

$$E_{\rm IK}^{2} = \frac{V^{2} 4 \Pi}{16 \lambda^{5/2} P'^{1}} \exp \left[-\frac{4}{3} \frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{P'^{1}}\right]$$

LIPON SD SUBSTITUTION

$$E_{W}^{2} = \frac{4\pi V^{2}}{16} \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)^{3/2}\left(\theta^{2} + \frac{1}{8^{2}}\right)^{3/2}/\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)^{1/2}V\right]}{\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)^{5/2}\left(\theta^{2} + \frac{1}{8^{2}}\right)^{5/2}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)^{1/2}V}$$

Which SIMPLIES TO

$$E_{IK}^{2} = \frac{2\pi v}{\omega^{3}} \frac{i}{(\theta^{2} + \frac{i}{2}v)^{5/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{2}{3}\frac{\omega}{v}(\theta^{2} + \frac{1}{2}v)^{3/2}\right]$$

Torid the EQUATION of V WE MULTIPLY by a/a so THAT ay CAN be replaced by I And Use the Identity

$$\frac{1}{\chi} = Q \quad \text{The radius of The orbit}$$

$$E_{IK}^{2} = \frac{2\pi\chi}{\omega^{3}} \frac{1}{(\theta^{2} + \frac{1}{\chi^{2}})^{5/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{2}{3}\frac{\omega}{\chi}(\theta^{2} + \frac{1}{\chi^{2}})^{3/2}\right]$$

When 0=0 The power is given by

$$\frac{2\pi Y}{\omega^3} \chi^{-5} = \exp\left[-\frac{2}{3}\frac{\omega}{\gamma^4}\right] = \frac{2\pi Y}{\omega^3} \chi^{-5} = \exp\left[-\frac{2}{3}\frac{\omega}{\gamma^4}\right]$$

DR. FEYNMAN chose to write w/& AS QW SO THAT W/X4 = WQ/X3. From which AN Werit was defined as

$$\omega_{crif} = \frac{\chi^3}{a} \approx \chi^3 \gamma$$

where the VADIATION CUTS OUT. IT IS THE FREQUENCY WE Observe. This MEANS THAT & IS X3 Shorter THAN The diameter of The orbit. For A Bev ELECTRON &= 2000 So 83 IS PRETTY SMALL. CONSIDERING War (4) χ3 Then The Power RADIATED would GO, According to Feynman As.

~4

AS IT TURNED OUT DR. FEYNMAN decided HE MADE THE WYONG APPROXIMATION SINCE THE ANSWER WASN'T COMING OUT.

The error GOES BACK TO The INTEGRAL $I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda T - c\lambda S + \frac{p_3}{3} + ipt^3 S} - tpS^2 - ipS^3 dt$

IN ESTIMATING THE VELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF THE LAST TWO TERMS

$$-(2PS^2+iPS^3)$$

There must be A CRITICAL SVALUE OCCURRING AT THE INFLECTION POINT of the curve JOINING THE TAXIS AND THE LINE S.

If tPScr = 1 Then $PScr \neq 1$. RewrITING AS $\frac{P}{(tP)^{3/2}} \leq (1 - \frac{P^2}{VtP^3}) \leq (1 - \frac{P^2}{VtP^3})$ OR $P \leq \lambda^3$. The INTEGRAL $\int \frac{e^{tPs^2} - iPs^3}{s^3}$ is AN AIRY INTEGRAL. The constribute to the power of $e^{-iPs^3/3}$ is simply A number And is Therefore IGNORED FOR THIS ANALYSIS, I.E., $\frac{1}{p''_3} \left| \int e^{-i\chi^3/3} d\chi \right|^2 = NUMBER$

The QUESTION Which has SIME MEANING IS WHAT IS THE SPECTRUM WE SEE. This DEPENDS ON THE SPECTRUM OF THE ELECTRON AND WHAT WE HAVE DONE SOFAR DOES NOT GIVE US THE ANSWER. WE NEED THE ENERGY DISTRIBUTION FOR THE ELECTRON.

The SPECTRUM OF SYNCHROTRON RADIATION GOES AS $\frac{d\omega}{\omega^{0.7}}$. If we reverse our Arbument and ASK what The distribution $\omega^{0.7}$ of electron energy MUST be to give This, we might get out of our entanglement with the MATHEMATICS. HAd we work this Theory out right the energy spectrum of The electrons should go As

$$1(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{d\mathcal{E}^{\alpha}}$$

From which we expect ...(1-04/2 dw

This MEANS WE NEED AN & OF 2.4 TO GET 0.7. AMAZINGLY ENOUGH WE SEE IN COSMIC MAYS AN & OF 2.8 Which is NOT DAD SO WE Arout The SAME Process which TENDS TO AccelERATE ELECTRON TO SYNCHMOTRON FREQUENCIES WILL LIKEWISE ACCELERATE PROTONS. IT SEEMS THEN WE ARE ON THE MIGHT THACK TO UNDERSTANDING THIS PROCESS.

HOWEVER, TO TELL WHAT THE ENERGY SPECTRUM OF AN ELECTRON IN A GAS, TO OR MORE SPECIFICALLY IN A BEAM OF GENERATED BY THAT GAS, IS VERY DIFFICULT because we must know the Probability of ESCAPE ALL'SOME ENERGY. BUT The Theory of ESCAPE of IS NOT INDEPENDENT of The ENERGY DISTRIBUTION in A cube of GAS SO I CONSIDER THE VALUE OF & = 2.4 NOT A TEAL BRILLIANT FACT YET. AT THE SAME TIME IT IS WORTH EMPHASIZING THAT ALL FADIO SOURCES & SHOW NEARLY THE SAME INDEX. WHATEVER IS CAUSING THIS rADIATION APPEARS TO PROGRESS AT A FAIRLY EQUAL PACE. I PROPOSE AS A PROBLEM OF THE FIRST IMPORTANCE TO COMPUTE & TO SEE IF IT IS TEALLY A UNIVERSAL CONSTANT.

The model is A GAS of churning, SLOPING, SLUPPING FIELDS, CHARGES, dirt, And other & JUNIK ALL IN TURBULENT MOTION AND THIS REQUIRING WHAT The ELECTRONS DO IN THAT MESS. If WE START WITH LONG WAVES WILL THE GAS CHURN DOWN TO SHORT WAVES OF VICE VERSA. THIS IS ACTUALLY STATISTICAL MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS. WORKING WITH SCALABLE MODELS LIKE THE MAGNETIC FIELD VARIATIONS, PERHAPS WE CAN FIGURE OUT THE REAL NATURE OF SYNCH POTRON RADIATION.

The POWER CONTAIN OF RELEASED THAT IS DURING THIS MADIATION IS SIZEADLE. IN FACT THE TOTAL ENERGY IN THE MAUNETIC FIELD AND IN THE ELECTRON DENSITY IS SO GREAT THAT SOMETHING SEEMS TO BE THE MATTER. THE THREE B PRIMARY SOURCES OF SYNCHROTROM RADIATION NEED MORE ENERGY THAN WHAT WE SEE.

IN QUASARS, GALACTIC CENTERS AND A SPOT IN THE CRAD NEBULAE ALL POSSESS EXTREMELY MIGH POWER OUTPUTS. EVEN FLARE STARS GENERATE ENORMOUS AMOUNTS OF ENERGY WHICH WE DON'T UNDERSTAND EITHER. DURING THIS WHOLE DISCUSSION WE ASSUMED THE ELECTRON rADIATED by ITSELF, I.E., NOT INTERACTING WITH ANY OF ITS SUPPONDING ELECTRONS. SUPPOSE WE PUT TWO ELECTRONS CLOSE TOGETHER; SO CLOSE, IN FACT, ONE IS ON TOP OF THE OTHER AND GOES ArOUND A CIRCLE JUST LIKE DEFORE. THEN THE MAGNETIC FIELD IS TWICE AS STRONG WHICH MEANS THE EMISSION DECOMES FOUR TIMES AS GREAT. THEN IF A LUMP OF TL ELECTRONS WERE ALL IN PHASE THE FIELD WOULD BE π^2 . IT SEEMS POSSIBLE THAT THESE STRONG RADIATIONS ARE THE RESULT OF COHERENT MOTIONS OF LUMPS OF ELECTRONS WHICH ARE ACCELERATED BY THE MAGNETIC FIELD. THE LUMPINESS WOULD DE ENHANCED AS THE LUMPS ARE PUSHED TOGETHER.

EXTRAGALACTIC Sources of Radio Emission

TODAY I WANT TO TALK About EXTRA GALACTIC SOURCES OF RADIO EMISSIONS which FALL INTO TWO, CLASSES, which I CAN'T remember The Difference cause its been Threeweeks since I prepared This stuff And my mean life for remembering This stuff is just about That longs. In fact, i'm trying to make This presentation Appear SD Poor That either i'll get tired or else change to ANEW TOPIC; MY Ability to digest This Material AND Present it in AN UNDERSTANDADLE FASHON IS dwindling.

AT ANY PATE THE TWO CLASSES ARE PRESUMABLY, (1) PADIO GALAXIES AND (2) QUASARS. IT IS CUPPENTLY BELIEVED, OF ARGUED MORE STTONGLY, THAT QUASARS ARE VERY FAR AWAY FROM RECEEDING AT TREMENDOUS DELOCITIES. THE INTERESTING QUESTION CAN THE BE SO DIG; ARE THEY CLOSER BY? IF THEY ARE THEN THERE ARE SOMEVERY FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEMS RESULTING FROM AND EXPLAINATION OF THE PRESUMED TREMENDOUS RED SHIFT. IT IS INTERESTING TO NOTE ALSO THAT NO BLUE SHIFTS ARE OBSERVED, 1.8., NONE OF THESE QUASARS ARE HEADING TOWARDS US. THEY SEEMED TO HAVE ALL PASSED US BY A LIKE A SPEED ING COP ON THE WAY TO A WRECK.

RADIO GALAXIES

CONSIDERING FIRST rADIO GALAXIES, WE CAN EXPLAIN IN SOME DETAIL THEIR PHYSICAL NATURE. USUALLY (BECAUSE IN ASTRONOMY THERE ATE ALWAYS PER-PLEXING EXCEPTIONS) RADIO GALAXIES CONSIST OF DOUBLE REGIONS OF STTONG RADIO SOURCES EQUIDISTANTLY SPACED, MORE DELESS, AWAY FROM AND INTENSE BY SMALL OPTICAL OBJECT. FOR A PARTICULAR GIANT D TYPE GALAXY, THERE IS FROM A VERY PREDOMINIANT DUST LANE OF LINE EXTENDING ACROSS THE CENTRAL OBJECT WHICH IS BASICALLY AN ELLIPTICAL GALAXEY. A PICTURE OF A TYPICAL RADIO GALAXY MIGHT DE AS FOLLOWS



The two outer regions of radio emissions are AMAZINGLY SIMILAR IN OVERALL STRUCTURE AND EMISSION NATURE; I.E., THEIR SPECTRAL PATTERNS ARE ESSENTIALLY THE SAME. THESE REGIONS ARE UTTERLY TRANSPARENT; THERE ARE NO SOURCES OF VISIBLE LIGHT THERE ONLY OF RADIO EMISSIONS.

There Are, however, strong Emission. LINES IN The OPTICAL SPRECTRUM from The CENTRAL OBJECT. There is AN OBSERVED POLARIZATION of A few PERCENTS B IN The OUTER REGIONS which SEEM TO LEND SOME CREDIBILITY TO THE BELIEF THAT SYNCHROTROM RADIATION IS GOING ON THERE. ALSO THE RADIO INTENSITIES OF THESE OUTER REGIONS ARE OF THE ORDER OVER THE WAVELENGTH RANGE OF A BOUT 100. About The ONLY WAY TO describe These sources is to LIST Them ONG AT A TIME AND GIVE SOME OF THE VITAL STATISTICS About it. There WILL Appear some numerical inconsistencies Arising in the reported DATA AS recorded by different people. This however, simply reflects the current state of the Art of Astronomical mensurements and togetherness in reporting the data. I shall list the Larger, more carefully investigated objects which fall into This category.

The red Shift Z is THAT recorded by The ANTENNAL AND GIVES A TELATION for The recession AL VELOCITY V IF WE Assume NO GRAVITATIONAL RETARDATION OF

$$Z + I = \sqrt{\frac{1 + V/c}{1 - V/c}} = \frac{\lambda \text{ observed}}{\lambda \in \text{MITEd}}$$

The SIZE dIMENSIONS, HE, THE dIAMETER AND SEPARATION of THE TWO OUTER OBJECTS ARE ARE GIVEN IN 1000'S PARSECS (IPC = 3.26 L.Y). THE LOG OF THE ADSOLUTE LUMINISITY IS GIVEN IN ERGS /SEC. THE OPTICAL MAGNITUDE MEASURED ADSOLUTELY, HE., IF THE LIGHT FROM THE ODJECT WAS DROUGHT TO A DISTANCE OF 30 LIGHT YEARS FROM US IS GIVEN. THE LATTER TWO QUANTITIES BEING RATHER NOMINAL QUANTITIES OF DETER THEYE'RE NOT NECESSATILY FIGHT for THE WAY WE CHOOSE TO MEASURE THEM. WE ASSUME THAT

where H = Hubble's CONSTANT

AS A STANd Ard

100 Km/sec/MPC = Hubble's CONSTITUT

For SMALL V'S The red shift is Proportional to The ULLOCITY. When WE GET Red shifts of A Y2 or higher WE START GETTING INTO SOME Trouble.

radio source	DISTANCES MPC	7	SIZE (1000PC) DIAMETER (XSEPARATION)	LOG ILI Etos/sec	optical Mag. Aggolute	LIGHT erssysec
CYGNUS A	170	.057	17 X 100	44.8	-21.1	6×1043
CENTAULUS A	ч	.003	\$3.5 (× B.3)	41.8	-21.3	U X/643
FORNAX A	17	.006	-120(X 240)	41.8	-71.8	44
36 33		.060	10 (X100)	47.0	-20.9	10
30295		.461	5(*15)	450	-7.0.1	4 10 0
HErcules A		.154	90(X330)	44.2		
VINGINIS A		. 004	13(x0.7)	47.7	-20.5	
3 C 33 8		. 030	32	41	-21.6	
-						

SOME PECULIARITHES OF THESE SOURCES ARE THE FOLLOWING: FOR CENTAURUS A IT LOOKS LIKE,



FORNAX A LOOKS LIKE IT HAS SOME COMET TAILS COMING OUT OF IT:



3033 IS STRANGE OF ATYPICAL IN THAT THE VADIO SOURCES ARE ESSENTIALLY POINT SOURCES DIRECTED, IT IS BELIEVED, FADIALLY BLONG THE AXIS OF ROTATION,



30295 IS THE MOST DISTANT KNOWN MADIO GALAXY RECORDED EXCLUDING QUASARS. THE DISTANCE OUT DEPENDS ON WHICH COSMOLOGICAL MODEL YOU PICK.

VIRGINIS A HAS TWO SMALL OPTICAL GALAXIES INSIDE A CLOUD OF radio Emission.



1.

()

This could be A NORMAL FACIO GALAXY which we are viewing from The End but THAT doesn't consider The Two objects inside.

30 338 IS SIMILAR TO VIRGINIS A, I.E., IT IS A SOURCE WITH NO double regions of radio emissions



The QUESTION of ObscurATION Arises in & INTERPERTING WHAT WE SEE ON The PHOTOGRAPHIC PLATES. PERHAPS, A BIG CLOUD FLOATS AROUND CUTTING DOWN THE INTENSITY FROM THESE SOURCES. BUT IT IS INTERESTING TO NOTE THAT THE OPTICAL PERCISION OF DETECTING THESE SOURCE IS MUCH BETTER THAN WITH RADIO WAVES BUT AFTER FINDING THE REGIONS of RADIO EMISSIONS THE ASTRONOMERS WERE INSTRUCTED TO REEXAMINE THE PLATES MORE CLOSELY. THE WHOLE PROBLEM SEEMS TO BE ONE OF SELECTION, I.E., WHAT ARE THE SENSITIVITIES OF THE RECLIVING ATTENAE. Suppose IT WAS SENSITIVE TO A CERTAIN INTENSITIES AND A SCATTERING OF A 100 STARS WAS 90 WITH CUMINOSITY MAGNINUSE 41, 9 WITH 44 AND I WITH 46. SINCE THE FARTHER WE GO THE WEAKER THE INTENSETY SO AFT ALL OF THESE ODJECTS MIGHT BE CLASSED THE SAME. NOW WE MENTION A COUPLE of QUASARS

~~~			DIST	RAdio	OPTICAL
	30 27 3	.158	MPC 474	3×1044	4 XIONS Er6/SEC
	3C 48	0.367	1100	5×1044	1 ×1045





The NAME QUASARS OF QUASI. STELLAR RADIO SOURCES SEEM TO REFER TO THOSE ODJECTS IN Which The OYAdio Sources ARE ESSENTIALLY POINT SOURCES AND beyond The resolution of The ANTENNA. While, ON The other-HAND, radio GALAXIES HAVE VERY LARGE AREAS OF RADIO EMISSIONS.

SOME QUADATS have been observed with a red shift of 2.2 OR

$$Z + I = 3.2 = \sqrt{\frac{1+1}{1-1}} = \sqrt{2} $

These objects seem to have more blue light Than Normal Galaxies. Some are weak and have low radio intensities to The Point we can't record ANY EMISSIONS. Some of The crazy Estimates of The size of These objects Go Down to A light week which is pretty damn small when you consider the Power These Things are putting out. It's possible, perhæps, The Synchrotron Process is not understood well ENDOGH and That it is more efficient Than Predicted. Or Maybe something Else is Causing These tremendous red shifts- Gravity, perhaps? But There is no currení model which could account for These large shift. Mathe supernovae go off And Then decay down with no Apparent regularity. Some rumor went Around radio wave varying but This is believed Due to syntillations in The waves due to Atmospheric or PLANETARY & INTerference. Any crazy idea is That As The GALAxy spins around it winds up the Madnetic field Lines Unitil They SNAP And recease All There in Some efficient is Mannet.

TO MEASURE THESE RED Shifts Observed Absorption LINES from These objects have been correcated with the known elements. Considering the fantastic red shift it would be exceedingly difficult to claim a certain lines & was the Magnesium 2 line. Shifted Through 2956.58 Å or something stupid LIKE THAT. The idea Gets worse when only three two or matche even only ONE LING is recorded from which we claim to know the red shift. It is first necessary to Ascertain whether the condition within the object, temperature, composition, etc. Att such that the production of Mg⁺ or whatever is even possible and this in itself, is almost impossible to Do as we sought carlier in the course. The faither Away the object is the fewer the measureable lines because the intensities are less; another Problem to face. So in fact, the very identity of QUASARS is QUESTIONABLE, perhaps they are normal radio GALAXIES in different stages of evolution; match, the Are Fast Receeding GALAXIES in different stages of evolution; match, the Are Fast Receeding GALAXIES of very peculiar NATURE.

# CHAPTER 12

# ONE MAN'S ELECTRON IS ANOTHER MAN'S POSITRON

# A LECTURE ON ANTI-PARTICLES here is a fascinating side trip down one of Feynman's favorite topics

This LECTURE IS About ANTI-PARTICLES - Whe They EXIST AND WHAT THEY Are. The PARTICLES FOLLOW AS A CONSEQUENCE, A NECESSARY ONE, WHEN COMBINING A discussion of QUANTUM MECHANICS AND RELATIVITIES PLUS SOME OTHER THINGS WHICH WE'LL SOON DISCUSS. THE ONLY THEORES WHICH EUOLUE FROM THIS LINE OF REASONING AND MAKE ANY SENSE ALL HAVE IN COMMON THE FOLLOWING CHARACTERISTICS:

- (1). There is no way to avoid PAIR-Production, I.E., The EXISTENCE of ANTI-PARTICLES
- (2). The relation between SPIN And STATISTICS; THAT DEING, SPINS of 1/2 Are AssociAted with Bose STATISTICS And whole INTEGRAL SPIN require fermi STATISTICS
- (3). The resulting ANTI-PARTICLES SATISFY CERTAIN LAWS which COMPLETELY determine Their behavior; more important The LAWS are the SAME for the real Particles. We call This, succinity, the C = PT invariance. To Think of ANTI-PARTICLES we can imagine a situation where we take a motion Picture of some ordinary Particle an Electron, Perhaps, Then reverse the movie and Look at ite Through a mirror. What we see is exactly how An ANTI-ELECTRON or POSITION would behave.
- (4). There Are NO ArbITRARY FUNCTIONS APPEARING IN THE HAMILTONIAN. FOR INSTANCE, NON-YELATIVISTICALLY THE HAMILTONIAN IS GIVEN by

$$H = \frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V(R)$$

Where The AddITION FUNCTION OV(Y) IS THE POTENTIAL FUNCTION OF The Problem. This however is not QUITE True And we CAN ADD A delta function of the PARTICLE'S POSITION, E.G., QS³R, SO WE HAVE IN Effect A LOCAL INTERACTION AS OPPOSED TO The USUAL POTENTIAL. This has ANOTHER MEANING AND IT INVOLVES The world-LINE SEPARATING THE PAST AND THE FUTURE. THE IDEA ASSOCIATED WITH AN ADDITIVE FUNCTION HAS THE EFFECT OF Shifting THAT LINE A LITTLE SO THAT THE TRANSITION DETWEEN THE PARTICLE'S PAST AND FUTURE NOW HAS A FINITE, BUT SMALL, WIDTH WHICH MUST DE CONSIDERED AS A FEGION OF POSSIBLE EVENTS.

(5) LASTLY, WE FUN INTO ALL SOFTS of DIVERGENT DIFFICULTIES where ANSWERS TEND TO Crop up THAT GO TO INFINITY.

This is QUITE A STRAIGHT JACKET TO HAVE Around US but, AS YET, NO DILE HAS COME UP WITH A SENSIBLE THEORY WHICH didN'T HAVE THESE PROBLEMS CROPPING UP. FOLLOW LOGICALLY. THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF ATTENTION GIVEN TO PROVING THAT THESE ARE THE ONLY RESTRICTIONS WHICH PLAGUE US SINCE WE CAN'T SEEM TO GET Around Them. The CLAIM IS THAT WE CAN, IN FACT, SHOW THAT THE FIRST FOUR difficulties result from The COMBINATION of QUANTUM MECHANICS, RELATIVITY, PLUS SOME OTHER JUNK. THE C=PT INVARIANCE HAS BEEN EXPERIMENTALLY PROVEN AFTER HAVING SHOWN THE VIDLATION OF CP INVARIANCE COULD BE OVERCOME BY THE COMBINATION CPT THUS DISPROVING, AS IT THE WAS, THE ERRONEOUS IDEA THAT TIME WAS INVARIANT IN THE (P PICTURE.

WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW TO Prove THAL THESE STATEMENT EXIST AS I HAVE WILTERN THEM. THE Proof is really so obscure THAT is TErribly Hard TO READ. I WOULD LIKE TO AT LEAST Show The ESSENCE of The Proof J.E., Why we CAN Prove ANY THING AT ALL.

TO BEGIN The discussion we must Add TO our BASIC STAFTING POINT, Q-M + RELATIVITY A VERY for reaching hypothesis - The idea of CAUSALITY. IN Addition we have some passive assumptions which result from Q-M which introduces The idea of Associating An Amplitude with AN EVENT. Thus we say The sum of All The Probabilities, i.e., The square of The Amplitudes must equal 1. Further, All The energy states must be greater Than That in vacuo (The lowest energy state). This we add 'cause Some bonehead will raise a Stink if we don't like AN Axiom of Euclid where he Failed TO MAKE CLEAR THE ProFound statement That a line Jonning two POINTS LYING on opposite sides of ANDTHER LINE bisect THAT LINE! So we might summarize our basic ingredients will be;

QUANTUM MecHANICS + RELATIVITY + { CAUSALITY, + { E PROBABILITES of ALL ALTERNATIVES = } LOCALNESS + { ALL ENERGY STATES > VACUUM + SOME oTher Things which DON'T HELP MUCH = \$ PROBLEMS

### CASUALITY

The idea of CAUSALITY MEANS THAT WHAT HAppens IN The PAST CAN NOT BE CHANGED BY WHAT HAPPENS IN THE FUTURE. THE CONDITION KNOWNAS THE PRESENT CARTIES THE INFORMATION FROM THE PAST INTO THE FUTURE. IN QUANTUM MECHANICS THE MESSENGER OF THIS INFORMATION IS THE PRESENT STATE OF THE SYSTEM DENOTED BY IN> (THE AMPLITUDE); THE DEPENDENCE IS ON WHAT HAPPENED AT AN EARLIER TIME. If THE AMPLITUDE OF SOME FUTURE EVENT IS DEMOTED BY I < 21, SAY, THEN THE PROBABILITY OF THAT EVENT OCCURRING IS DENOTED BY

Where < XI is free to change but int is not because it already happened. That is causality.

WE CAN REPRESENT THE TRANSITION OF EVENTS FROM THE PAST TO THE FUTURE BY DRAWING A SPACE-TIME DIAGRAM WHERE THE STATE 197 REPRESENTS THE PRESENT. TIME I (VI TO CONTACT)



The FORMULA which relates ALL Phenomenia of The world of PAST To The FUTURE VIA THE PRESENT IS

 $< x | Time PASSES | \psi > = \sum_{d} < x | B | \phi > < \phi | A | \psi >$ 

where A AND B REPRESENT THE TWO EVENTS AND 4147 AND 4X1 THEIR AMPLITUDES RESPECTIVELY.

This EXPRESSES THE COMBINATION OF CAUSALITY AND QUANTUM MECHANICS. WE MUST YOU USE THIS RELATIONSHIP.

NOW WhY IS IT POSSIBLE TO deduce A LOT of STUff from This seemingly INNOCENT EQUATION. WELL, IT'S bECAUSE THE PRESENT MOMENT IS NOT A RELATIVISTICALLY MAPPY IDEA. FOR SOME OBSERVER IN A MOVING SYSTEM THE IDEA OF PAST-FUTU PRESENT AND FUTURE ARE NOT THE SAME AS OUT'S. THE EVENTS ARE NOT SIMULTANEOUSLY OBSERVED BY THE TWO GUYS. BUT SINCE THE EVENTS ARE THE SAME THEY MUST SEEN OF GUT THE SAME RESULTS. HOW ATE THESE TWO OBSERVERS RELATED? LET'S DRAW ANOTHER SPACE-TIME DIAGRAM IN WHICH THE TWO GUYS FOLLOW. DIFFERENT WORLD-LIMES;



The Obove Drawing perhaps , MAKES IT CLEARER WHAT I AM SAYING. EVENT A occurs in Observer, 's PAST while observer, hasn't experienced IT YET SINCE IT'S IN his fUTURE. SINCE The EVENT IS The SAME, I.E., IT IS UNCHANGED, They MUST SEE THE SAME THING OCCUR. IT IS A CONSEQUENCE of These INFERSECTING WORLD LINES THAT ANTI-PARTICLE EVOLVE AS THE ONLY METHOD OF SALVAGENG A SATISFACTORY EXPLANATION OF WHAT THE TWO GUYS SEE.

TO MAKE THE Proof AS EASY AS POSSIBLE WE WILL ASSUME THAT IN THE WORLD THE ONLY EVENT OCCUPTING ARE PARTICLES BEING SCATTERED by A POTENTIAL. FURTHERMORE, THE PARTICLES ARE CONSERVERD SO FOR EVERYONE GETTING SCATTERED WE DON'T GET 2 OF 5 OF 10 OUT. IN/E WILL ASSUME, THEN, NO PAIR PRODUCTION AND SHOW THIS LEADS TO AN INCONSISTENT ANSWER AND WE MUST ULTIMATELY ACCEPT THE REALITY OF PAIR PRODUCTION. ALSO WE WILL LEAVE OUT SPIN FOR THE DISCUSSION.

If we have some SCATTERING GENTER HELD AT SOME POTENTIAL Which is LOCATED IN SPACE TIME by X,t, such That incoming Particles of Specific Momentum Pi Are scattered and have resulting Momentum Pi, The WAY They Are SCATTERED IS described by

$$\propto \underbrace{e^{i(E_zT - \overline{P}_z \cdot \overline{x})}}_{\text{OUTGOING WAVE}} \underbrace{e^{-(E_zT - \overline{P}_z \cdot \overline{x})}}_{\text{IN COMING WAVE}}$$

where  $E^2 = \sqrt{P^2 + \mu^2}$  or specifically  $E_4 = TP_4^2 + \mu^2$  And  $\propto$  is characteristic of The scattering Senter where for small centers it is independent the momentum and potential (if it is the same as seen by The two observers.

Our Picture of Two such scattering Events occurring in the PAST AT Ta, Ka which momentum Pi And Amplitude 147 And in the future AT TD, KD with momentum P2 And Amplitude 1X> are connected by the present with A MEASUREABLE MOMENTUM P3 And Amplitude 10>



The combined scattering amplitude is thus given by

scattering AMP. = 
$$\sum_{\mathbf{R}_3} \alpha_{\beta} e^{i(E_2 I_b - \mathbf{R}_2 \cdot \mathbf{X}_b)} e^{-i[E_3(T_b - T_a) - \mathbf{R} \cdot (\mathbf{X}_b - \mathbf{X}_a)]} e^{-i(E_1 T_a - \mathbf{R}_1 \cdot \mathbf{X}_a)}$$

why?

If we require This EVENT TO be relativistically invariant the Product OB, which is independent of Position, MUST be NORMALIZED TO I PARTICLE PER UNIT VOLUME. HOWEVER, IN THE MOVING SYSTEM THE VOLUME becomes compressed And The Normalization increases by a factor of The Square root of The ThING BEING SQUASHED UP. The VOLUME ELEMENT d³IP is NOT INDEPENDENT of The factor and is Normalized by dividing by 2E, The 2 ENTERING by force of hABIT. SUMMING RELATIONSTICALLY THEN WE REQUIRE

$$\Sigma' \rightarrow \int \frac{d^{3}P}{(2\pi)^{3}2E}$$

The SCATTERING AMPLITUDE becomes

where The FUNCTION N is A FUNCTION of The distance And EIME SEPARATING The TWO EVENTS  $(d^3P e^{-i(-\mu^2 + P^2 t - P \cdot (k))})$ 

$$N(X,t) = \int \frac{d^{2} \pi e}{(2\pi)^{3} \chi \sqrt{\mu^{2} t P^{2}}}$$

The SOLUTION TO THIS INTEGRAL IS VERY COMPLICATED AND IS OF THE FORM OF A BESSEL FUNCTION WHICH BELTAVES IN THE FOLLOWING LIMITING CASE IN SPACE TIME TIME A e-IAT



This function is invariant under A LORENTE TRANSFORMATION.

So far WE HAVE BEEN discussing The CASE where The second EVENT occurred After The first, Ib > Ia. If The second EVENT, B. HAPPENED EARLIER THAN A WE MUST START ALL OVER AGAIN

∝ 🗆

IN THIS SCASE THE SCATTERING AMPLITUDE TAKES THE FORM

where we had to shift the Xa And Xb Around But This move MAKES The FUNCTION discontinuous. To understand what happens we'll only consider the Pi·Xa term for the case of tbyta And To LTa. \ LIGHT /

PП



We consider The SCATTERING AREA & TO LIE OUTSIDE OF THE LIGHT CONE OF G. BUT, ODDLY, ENOUGH THERE IS A SCATTERING AMPLITUDE OF A PARTICLE REACHING & while AT THE SAME TIME RESTRICTING THE HGAT TO PARTICLE TO THE SPEED OF LIGHT, SEEMS STRANGE THAT THIS COULD HAPPEN APPARENTLY SIMULTANEOUSLY. IF THE FUNCTION N WAS O IN THIS CASE, IT WOULD BE ALL FIGHT OUT ITS NOT. HOW CAN THIS DE? LET'S CALL UP OUR SECOND OBSERVER AND TELL HIM TO COME by AND OBSERVE THE SAME EASE WE JUST DREW-HERE'S WHATE WE WOULD HAVE,



WELL, WE MUST RECALL A Theorem from MATH which SAYS ANY FUNCTION FLED CAN be represented by

$$f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} \varphi(\omega) d\omega$$

EVEN IF FLED IS dISCONTINUOUS LIKE

WE CAN STILL EXPRESS F(r) IN THIS INTEGRAL FORM. ALSO BOTH Q AND f CAN BE COMPLEX FUNCTIONS. If WE LIMIT OURSELVES TO JUST POSITIVE W'S (FREQUENCIES) WE ONLY CUT DOWN THE HUMBER OF FUNCTIONS. BUT THE TROUBLE LIES IN THAT WE CAN'T HAVE BOTH THE REAL AND IMAGINARY PART EQUAL TO ZERO OVER A FINITE PERIOD OF TIME.

Therefore, To find N(X,t) = 0 over A finite time period we have ND WAY OUT. OBSERVER 2 MUST record The expected result so we conclude WE LEFT SOMETHING OUT FOR Observer 1 SO THAT HE CAN EXPLAIN WHAT HAPPENS WHEN TO L TO. WE NEED SOME WAY TO EXPAND

of e i R. Xb N(Xb-Xa) e i P. Xa for the INTERMEDIATE STATE ( AS Observer 2 sees it) of the form

< X1 B14>291 A14>

Writing out Explicitly AGAIN The Expression for the scattering Amplitude,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_{\beta} e & \left[ E_{z} T_{b} - P_{z} \times b \right) & -i \left[ E_{z} \left( T_{b} - T_{a} \right) - \left[ P_{3} \cdot \left( X_{b} - X_{a} \right) \right] & -i \left( E_{i} T_{a} - P_{i} \cdot X_{a} \right) \\ e & e & e \\ \end{array}$$

WE SEE WHEN ID < To The form of This EQUATION CAN ONLY DE KEPT IF EZ IS NEGATIVE DURING THE INTERMEDIATE STATE.

But, ALAS, we are saved because in a space-like region the function  $N(Xb-Xa) = N^*$  its complex conjugate and we thus can write  $e^{\pm i E_2(Tb-Ta)}$  again. But in so doing we have created anew particle  $\mathbf{P}$  with energy  $\mathbf{E}_3 = \sqrt{\mu^2 + \mathbf{R}^2}$ 



PAIR PRODUCTION IS THUS NECESSARY FOR RELATIVISTIC INVARIANCE.

If WE LOOK ONCE AGAIN AT OUR DOTHER ODSERVER'S POINT OF VIEW WE REALIZE THAT HE CAN QUITE EASILY WRIFE DOWN THE USUAL AND EXPECTED SCATTERING AMPLITUDE. WE CAN THUS MAKE A VERY PROFOUND STATEMENT;



THE AMPLITUDE OF PAIR PRODUCTION IS EXACTLY THE SAME AS THE AMPLITUDE OF SCATTERING AS SEEN BY THE MOUING Observer.

The SCATTERING LAWS THUS CONTPLETELY DETERMINE PAIR PRODUCTION.

ANOTHER WAY OF WRITING THIS IS TO DEFINE AN OPERATOR ASSOCIATED WITH THE Effect of SCATTERING j so THE PRODUCT OF THE TWO EVENTS IS SIMPLY j(Xa, Ta) j(Xb, Tb) = j(a) j(b)

IN The other coordinate system we have jubijual so that The Equally Above CAN be written

j(b)j(a) = j(a) j(b) Provided a And b Are separated by A space region. Which we can be the write in commutator form

This Then constitutes The basic Assumption of CAUSALITY THAT The operates commute outside The LIGHT COME. IN Q-M The commutator of two observables vanishes ONLY if They ARE KNOWN SIMULTAMEOUSLY; THAT'S OUR ANALOGUE. CLASSICALLY, AN ELECTRON CAN MOVE IN EITHER DIRECTION ALONG THE X AXIS BUT IT MOVES ONLY IN THE DIRECTION OF INCREASING TIME. FOR A free electron,  $P = \pm \sqrt{m2E}$ 



BUT P=- TRME IS ANOTHER VALUE OF MOMENTUM BUT WHAT LOES IT MEAN IT HAVE A NEGATIVE VELOCITY. WE CAN DESCRIBE THE MOTION BY SAYING THE ELECTRON IS MOVING BACKWARD IN TIME. A DIAGRAM OF THE SITUATION IS



IN I THE ELECTION APPEARS TWICE FOR TLEO BUT NEVER APPEARS FOR T>TO. THE PROCESS LOOKS VERY MUCH LIKE TWO PARTICLES COMING TOGETHER AND ANNIHILATING. THE ELECTION MOVING DACKWARD IN TIME DEHAVES EXACTLY AS A POSITRON MOVING FORWARD IN TIME

# WHAT DOES THIS ALL MEAN?

When There is PAIR-CREATION OF AN ELECTRON AND ITS ANTI PARTICLE THE POSITRON Which is extremely Short Lived. IT IMMEDIATELY COLLIDES WITH ANOTHER ELECTYON, both Are ANNIHILATED AND OFFGOES A GAMMA RAY. 3 SEPARATE PARTICLES, A POSITYON AND TWO ELECTRONS SEEM TO BE INVOLVED. FEYNMAN'S THEORY CLAIMS THERE IS ONLY ONE, THE ELECTRON. What we observe As A POSITRON IS SIMPLY AN ELECTRON MOMENTARILY BACK IN TIME. BECAUSE OUT TIME IN which we observe The EVENT YUNS UNIFORMLY FORWARD we see the time-REVERSED ELECTRON AS A POSITRON. WE THINK THE POSITRON VANISHES WHEN IT HITS ANOTHER ELECTRON, AS A POSITROM BUT THIS IS JUST THE OFIGINAL ELECTRON RESUMING ITS FORWARD TIME DIRECTION.

- · REVERSE BETA DECAY WOULD ENTAIL COLLISION OF AN ELECTYON, PROTON AND ANTINEUTRINO Shot from SAY THE FAR REACHES OF SPACE TO ONE POINT.
- ONLY IN LUMON CONSCIOUS NESS, IN THE WAY WAY PROCESS of # OUR MINDS CAN TIME HAVE A UNIDIFECTIONAL MOTION.
- · STATISTICAL LAWS PROVIDE THE MOST FUNDAMENITAL WAY TO DEFINE THE DIRECTION OF TIME, 1.8. #FREVERSIBLE PROCESSES which decrease ENTYOPY ARE EXPLAINED STATISTICALLY. This, however, is not strictly True
- · LEVT AIN WEAK-INTERACTIONS ARE APPARENTLY NOT TIME . reversible.
- · CPT Theorem :
  - (i) REVENSE CHARGE AND MATTER BECOMES ANTI-MATTER, E.G. A STONE IS AN ANTI-STONE
  - (ii) REVERSE PARITY AND THE WHOLE STRUCTURE WOULD GENERATE ITS MITTOR IMPLGE BUT STILL EXIST. YANG AND LEE, HOWEVER, FOUND A VIOLATION HERE IN WEAKE INTERACTIONS. HOWEVER, SYMMETRY RESTORED BY REFLECTING THE EVENT IN A CP MITTOR. BUT, TOO, OTHER WEAK INTERACTION VIOLATE CP. SYMMETRY, I.E., NOT ALL DUPLICATES EXIST.
  - (221) REVERSE TIME AND CP VIOLATORS ARE SAMMETRIZED IN A CPT MIRTOR. TIME REVERSAL IN AN INTEGRAL PART OF RELATIVITY. Some particle EVENTS ARE BELIEVE TO GO'ONLY IN ONE TIME DIRECTION
- TO PRESERVE THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM REGIONS OF POCKETS EXIST WHERE ENTROPY IS INCREASING AND OTHERS WHERE IT IS DECREASING (1.E., FROM DISORDER TO ORDER). THE BIG BANG" WAS A MOMENT OF COLOSSAL ENTROPY DECREASE. BUT MORE TO TIME REVERAL THAN ENTROPY DECREASE.
- » TIME IS RELATIONAL OF RELATIVE LIKE UP AND DOWN, RIGHT AND LEFT. WITH NO ADSOLUTE TIME ATTOW OUTSIDE AN EVENT WE CANNOT FIX ITS DIRECTION.
- A TIME YEVERSED GALAXY WOULD NOT BE SEEN SINCE LIKE WOULD BE RALATING TOWARDS IT. THE MEMORIES OF OBSERVERS IN TWO GALAXIES WOULD BE RUNNING BAC OPPOSITE. If YOU TALK TO A GUY, HE WOULD INSTANTLY FORGET IT DECAUSE THE EVENT WOULD INSTANTLY DECOME PART OF HIS FUTURE FATHER THAN HIS PAST.

### SPIN AND STATISTICS



If The Two PARAMETERS  $\alpha_{i,\beta}$  are not pure scalars but rather functions of  $E_3$  and  $P_3$  Then we have to identify two sets  $\alpha', \beta'$  and  $\alpha_{i,\beta}$ . As an example, if  $\alpha = E_3$  Then  $N(X,t) = \int \frac{d^3 P}{(2\pi)^3 z (M^2 + P^2)}$ we can get around this integral if we take

 $\frac{1}{\partial t} N(x,t) = i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \frac{d^3 P e^{-i (E_3 t + P \cdot X)}}{(2\pi)^2 (2\pi)^2 (2\pi)^2}$ 

by The NEGATIVE of a or IN This CASE - E3, More Generally a = a (-E3, - P3)

WITHOUT GOING INTO A LOT OF DETAIL THE OTHER PARAMETER ON IS GIVEN

THE PROBLEM MENTIONED AT THE BEGINNING #2 DOES NOT FOLLOW IMMEDIATELY FROM OUR EQUATION FOR THE SCATTERING AMPLITUDE. IN FACT.

EXTRA

٥A

The

179 23.

IN THE RELATIVISTIC CASE IT WILL ONLY BE WITH THE HELP OF STATISTICS THAT EVERY THING WORKS OUT FOR US. TO BEGIN WE CONSIDER A VACUUM IN WHICH WE HAVE REAL PAIR PRODUCTION. THE AMPLITUDE TO CREATE A PAIR IS PROPORTIONAL TO THE POTENTIAL & SO THE Probability TO MAKE A PAIR IS XIQI² Where X IS SOME CONSTANT.

WE START WITH NOTHING AND GO INTO NOTHING, THAT IS, PAIR ANNIHILATION LEADS US BACK INTO A VACUUM. THE AMPLITUDE FOR NOTHING TO HAPPEN MUST be 1. THE AMPLITUDE FOR THIS PRODUCTION - ANNIHILATION IS 1-12 (X+iX') a so The Probability to GET NOTHING IS 1-X1a1².

WE MUST CONSIDER ALL POSSIBLE EVENTS; THEY ARE THE FOLLOWING FOR AN ELECTRON IN STATE i TO first order scattering



Probability to SCATTER = CIAIZ Probability to PAIR Produce XIAIZ

Now CONSIDERING THE CASE WHEN NOTHING HAPPENS WE HAVE FOUR POSSIBILITIES:



 $-\frac{1}{2}(c+ic')|a|^2$   $-\frac{1}{2}(d+id')|a|^2$   $-\frac{1}{2}(X+iX')|a|^2$ 

AMPLITUDE of SCATTETING

1

Probability NOTHING LAPPENS = 1 - Clai2 - dial2 - xial2

+

PLUS Above case  $+ clal^2 + xlal^2$  $1 - dlal^2$ 

BUT d = 0 so we have A problem. d itself = PAIR production IN STATE 2. Bose STATISTICS STRAIGHTENS This Problem out because IT STATES THAT THE ELECTRON IS NOT NECESSATILY THE SAME IN STATE 2 THE ACTUAL Proof of how This STRAIGHTENS OUT THE Problem IS 2 QUITE difficult And I APOLOGERE AGAIN for NOT HAVE AN EASY Proof.

WE WANT TO EMPHASIS IN CLOSING THAT FOR POSITIVE FREQUENCIES WE CAN'T HAVE SPREADING FASTER THAN THE SPEED OF LIGHT SO ONE MANS ELECTRON IS ANOTHER MAN'S POSITION AND THAT'S ALL THERE IS TO IT.

# CHAPTER 13

A LECTURE ON THE SOLAR SYSTEM AND OTHER UNRELATED PHENOMENA.

TODAY I AM GOING TO TALK about The SOLAR SYSTEM. BETTER YET, JUST BITS ands pieces of Things I have Picked out of the seemingly infinite AMOUNT OF MATERIAL ON The SUBJECT TO boil IT DOWN TO A LECTURE. WHAT THE HELL AM I SUPPOSE TO DO? NOTHING SEEMS ORGANIZABLE. EACH WEEK IT GETS HARDER AND HARDER TO MAKE A SENSIBLE LECTURE SO NEXT WEEK WE'LL HAVE A MOVIE ON THE SUN . A GOOD ONE NEVERTHELESS AND PERHAPS IT WILL GIVE MEA CHANCE TO GET AHEND OF YOU GUYS.

#### COSMIC RAYS

A while back we briefly Discussed cosmic RAYS (Those Notes have Not been TrANSLATED YET IN ANTICIPATION OF THIS LECTURE; HOWEVER, DURING THE INTERIM They seem to have been hidden And MAY NEVER be recovered). They have been seem to contain such Things AS LiThium, Beryllium And Boron Probably by The SPALLATION Process MENTIONED before. IT APPEARS to our best MEASORE-MENTS THAT THE ROSMIC RAY BOMBARDMENT IS COMPLETELY ISOTROPIC AT THE EARTH'S SURFACE. FURTHER WE ARE NOT MOVING THROUGH THE COSMIC PAYS because There is no observed thin effect (1.2., A POUNDING from The front AS WE TON INTO THEM). If There are Clouds of COSMIC RAYS, THEN THEY ARE MOVING WITH THE SAME RELATIVE MOTION WITH THE WHOLE GALAXY.

The EXISTENCE of VARIOUS MATERIALS IN THESE COSMIC MAY CLOUDS IS DETERMINED by The SCATTERING of STAYLIGHT Through Them. IN FACT The EXISTENCE of MAGNETIC FIELD IN THE GALAXY LEADS TO A POLARIZATION OF THE LIGHT AS IT PASSES THROUGH. This is A result of Iron And other dust PARTICLES with Their INTRINSIC SPIN CREATING LITTLE Eddy CUPPENTS Such THAT THEY LINE UP AND CREATE SCATTERING CENTERS. IT HAS BEEN MEASURED (IN TYPICAL ASTRONOM-ICAL FASHION) THAT THE FIELD LAS A STRENGTH OF A DOUT 10-6 GAUSS. For The observed FARADAY EFFECT TO occur IT IS SpeculATED THAT A HIGHER FIELD, SAY SKID-6 GAUSS IS NEEDED. SO MAY DE ITS 10-6; MAY DE 7X 10-6 WAD KNOWS. The ESSENTIAL FEATURE of This MEASURING SEEMS TO BE LACKING. THAT IS. They only find This effect where The CIRCULAR POLARIZATION IS THE GREATEST. This means we see The STRONGEST FIELDS FIELD. BUT how CAN WE COMPARE results when one buy Looks AT one STAY A CERTAIN way And Another buy LOOKS AT ANOTHER STAR AND USES MNOTHER METHOD ? IT'S ALL RIDICULOUS! THERE IS NO CONSISTENT PATTERN FOLLOWED WHEN THIS DATA IS BEING RECORDED. WE don'T really know if The field is in fact, all over or NOT.

The recorded ENERGY of These MAYS AT The EARTH is of the order of A billion electron volts And Greater. This means the orbit of the particles is very small even for a field on the order of 10⁻⁶ GAUSS. It is about 10⁹ meters in diameter. Thus the motion is very TIGHTLY wound Around the field lines and is subsequently bound to the lines restricting the motion - They don't Escape very Easily.

WITH THE VALUES JUST MENTIONED WE ARE ABLE TO CALCULATE THREE THINGS:

(1). The TOTAL ENERGY IN The COSMIC MAYS

(2). The TOTAL ENERGY IN THE MAGNETIC field ( \$781)

(3). The TOTAL ENERGY IN THE CLOUD MOTION AS IT CHURNS AROUND.

IT TURNS OUT ALL THREE ARE ABOUT THE SAME ORDER OF MAGNITUde which has lead some to conclude some intechanism of EQUIPARTITION TO ACCOUNT FOR THIS. ONE WAY TO THINK OF THE MECHANISM TO PICK A UNIT CUBE of space enclosing some magnetic field fines. If the cube is squashed LATERALLY THE LINES GET CLOSER AND THE FIELD GETS BIGGER. WHEN THE Cube is compressed from The TOP AND BOTTOM, The field Gets WEAKER AS THE LINES ARE FORCED OUTWARD. THERE ARE, Thus, Two out of Three Possible MOTIONS which TEND TO INCREASE THE ENERGY. HOWEVER THE CONTRACTION CANNOT Proceed unchecked because EVENTUALLY THE ENERGY IN The field causes The compression to stop AND USUALLY VEUERSE. THE WINDING UP OF THESE FIELD LINES IS LIKE PUTTING SOME red food coloring in A BATCH of TAFFY AND THEN PULLING IT OUT. THE lines of Red WILL become closer and closer as The MIXING CONTINUTS, ANother ANALOGY IS PUTTING PAINT IN A DUCKET AND STITTING IT IN.

The ENERGY IN The COSMIC RAYS CAN be dissipated over LONG Periods of TIME. IT IS CALCULATED, OR ESTIMATED, THAT THE RAYS HIT About 3 GM/CM2 of MATTER before They reach US. AT SUCH A COLLISION rate it would only take about A few MILLION YEARS before it LOSES ALL ITS ENERGY. Therefore, the rays couldn't have been ooino LONG before They reached US. This MEANS There must be A source of COSMIC RAYS WITHIN THE GALAXY. IT IS SPECULATED THAT THE SUPER-NOVAE COULD be A POSSIBLE LOCATION of The ORIGIN of COSMIC RAYS SINCE THEY GENERATE SUCH THEMENDOUS POWER AS THE BLOW UP. EVEN CONSIDERING THEIR OCCUPYENCE IS ABOUT ONE EVERY HUNDER YEAR THEY STILL GENERATE About fifty TIMES AS MUCH ENERGY NEEded TO ACCOUNT FOR THE COSMIC RAY COULD THEN THE DOW HOW THEY ARE PRODUCED AND THUS dON'T UNDERSTAND MUCH About THEM.

These cosmic ray cloud that we've been TAIKING About have been observed all over the GALAXY. They are 10 Parsecs Across And About 30 PARSECS APART. The MASS of CRAP IN A TYPICAL CLOUD IS About 5000MO. They Pose A Problem TO US IN Terms of EXPLAINING how They CAN HAVE I such A bIG MASS AND STAY LUMPY. GRAVITY ALONE WON'T suffice. It is speculate that AT The LOW FIELD INTENSITIES INVOLVED The overall INSTRUCTY of the GRAP GENERATES FORCES which Add TO THAT of GRAVITY. ALSO THEY MOVE MORE OF LESS FANDOMLY AT A SPEED OF SKM/SEC.

# THE EARTH'S MAGNETIC FIELD

While I'M ON MAGNETIC FIELDS, THE EARTH HAS A VERY INTERESTING ONE. The field is observed to change rapidly due to currents in the

OUTER REGION SURROUNDING EARTH. THERE IS NO KNOWN FIELD INSIDE THE EARTH. NOW MOST OF THE FLUCTUATIONS IN THE FIELD ARE DIAMED ON THE SUN AS YOU ALL KNOW. SOLAR FLARES, THE SUN SPOTS AND OTHER FREAK PHENOMENA DISTRUPT THE CHARGE DISTRIBUTION IN THE SURROUNDING SPACE THUS CAUSING THE CHANGES. WE ARE ALSO AWARE THAT THE TRUE MAGNETIC POLE IS NOT AT THE TRUE NORTH POLE BUT IS ABOUT 10° OFF SOMEWHERE IN CANADA.

IN PRINCIPLE, we should be Able to tell if The sources of the field Are INSIDE OR OUTSIDE THE EATTH. THAT IS, BY WORKING WITH THE MAXWELL EQUATIONS. BUT A STRANGE THING, WHILE IS BEEPS THE GENERAL NORTH-SOUTH ORIENTATION, IT REVERSES IT DIRECTION AboUT ONCE EVERY 100,000 YEARS OR SO. THEY CAN FIND THIS OUT STUDING VARIOUS ROCK FORMATIONS AND Observing how They SETTLED. THE FIELD IS PERPETUALLY CAUGHT UP IN THE EARTH'S CORIOLIS MOTION AND OTHER TWISTING UP EFFECTS OF THE EARTH. THE FIELD IS ALWAYS INCREASING AND IS LIKE A SELF-EXCITED DYNAMO. THAT IS, IT IS NATURES OWN DYNAMO.

BUT IT IS AN ITTEGULAR DYNAMO AT DEST. THE PRODEM OF REVERSING THE POLATITY OF THE POLES TEALLY DESTROYS THE THEORY I WAS WORK ON. THE MODEL I ATTIVED AT DOESN'T WORK DECAUSE IT IS TOO SYMMETRICAL. THE PRODLEMS INTRODUCED BY THE LIQUID CORE ( IF IT IS LIQUID), THE ASYMMETRICAL SHAPE OF THE PLANET, AND ALL THE ROCK MOTIONS, ETC. MAKE IT VERY DIFFICULT TO FABRICATE A GOOD WORKING MODEL. WHILE I THINK MY THEORY IS FIGHT, I CANIT WORK IT OUT. THE CIRCULATIONS OF CORPENTS WITHIN THE EARTH ARE UNSTEADY. AS THEX ADD TOGETHER THE FIELD BUILDS TO THE POINT WHEN THE CURRENTS FEACH THE SUFFACE AND SOMEHOW CAUSE THE FLIP IN POLARITY. THE RANDOMNESS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF CURRENTS IS WHAT MAKES THE PROBLEM SO DIFFICULT. YET, THE SYMMETRY AND PERFECTNESS OF THE DIPOLE FIELD OUTSIDE THE FARTH PRESENTS A FEAL MYSTERY AS TO HOW ALL THE INTERNAL DIPOLES - OCTOPOLES MOCKOTOPLES, SMOCKTOPOLES APD UP.

The PURSUIT of A SOLUTION OF THE ENDERSTANDING OF THE EARTH'S FIELD SEEN IS A CLEAR EXAMPLE OF WHAT SCIENCE 13. THAT IS, WHERE WE START DUT TO SOLVE A PROBLEM, NEVER DO, BUT MAKE TREMENDOUS CONTRIBUTIONS TO OUR WEALTH OF KNOWLEDGE ON THE WAY.

FINALLY WE GET DOWN TO THE TOPIC OF THE DAY AND DISCUSS SOME OF THE PROPERTIES OF THE SOLAR SYSTEM. I WILL BE GROPPING FOR THINGS TO SAY THAT ARE INTERESTING BECAUSE IT WOULD STARTLE TO MANY PEOPLE WHEN I TELL YOU THERE IS A SUN AbOUT WHICH THE PLANETS TURN. THE PLANETS LYING ESSENTIALLY IN A PLANE WITH SLIGHT DEVIATIONS.

The PLANETS Are LOCATED BY A THING CALLED BODE'S RELATION Which ASSIGN'S The EARTH'S DISTANCE from The SUN AS UNITY; The other distances Are found by The relation

 $0.4 + (0.3 \times 1^{n})$ 

PLANET	Bode's RELATION
rificard	$0.4 + (0.3 \times 2^{-1}) = 0.5$
VENUS	$0.4 + (0.3 \times 2^{\circ}) = 0.7$
EArth	0.4 + ( 0.3 × 21) = 1.0
MARS	$0.4 + (0.3 \times 2^2) = 1.6$
Asteroids	$0.4 + (0.3 \times 2^3) = 2.8$
JUPITER	0.4 + (0.3 = 24) = 5.2
SATURN	0.4 + (0.3x 25) = 10.0
UVANUS	0.4 + ( 0.3 × 24 ) = 19.6
NEPTUNE	0.4 + (0.3 < 27) = 38.8
PLUTO	0.4 + (0.3 x 28) = 77.2

PLUTO IS A LITTLE Odd IN THAT ITS OF DIT COMES INSIDE THAT OF NEPTUNE. PLUTO WAS DISCOVERED AFTER CAREFUL PERTURBATIONS OF NEPTUNES OF DIT WHICH LEAD TO THE PREDICTION OF PLUTO; IT WAS FOUND IN 1938. IT WAS AT ONE THE A SATELLITE WHIC OF NEPTUNE - MAY DE.

WE ONCE MENTIONED THAT About 98% of the TOTAL ANGULAR MOMENTUM of The SOLAR SYSTEM IS CONCENTRATED IN THE PLANETS; IN FACT, 60% IS IN JUDITER ALONE. ALL THE PLANETS ARE GOING AROUND THE SUN IN THE SAME DIRECTION AND EACH SPINS About 155 OWN AXIS. This includes mercurr AND VENUS WHICH WE ONCE THOUGHT TO ALWAYS HAVE THE SAME FACE OF TOWARD THE SUN. THAT IS, THE POTATE About THEIR AXIS ONCE EVERYTIME THEY REVOLVE About THE SUN. URANUS IS UNUSUAL IN THAT ITS AXIS OF POTATION IS TILTED 95° TO THE ECLIPTIC AND, IN FACT, IS SPINNING THE WRONG WAY (RETROGRAD DIRECTION). MORE RECENTLY IT HAS BEEN ODSERVED THAT VENUS POSSESSES A RETROGRADE MOTION AND ITS AXIS ONCE EVERY 59 DAYS WHILE REVOLVING ABOUT THE SUN IN BB DAYS.

Then there are the Asteroios and Meteors. The Meteors being left over crap from comets. whenever we pass Through these Things we CALL IT A Meteor shower and if A rock survives the Atmosphere, we call IT A Meteorite.

# COMETS



MOST OF THE COMETS WE OBSERVE HAVE HIGHLY ELLIPTIC OF DITS WHICH CAPTY THEM DUT BEYOND JUPITER. SOME OF THEM, HOWEVER, GO OUT SO FAR THAT IT TAKES THEM ALMOST A MILLION YEARS OF SO TO COME TRACK. IN FACT, IT IS HARD TO SAY WHETHER OF NOT THEY ARE ACTUALLY DEGENERATE PARABOLAS. IT IS THEORIZED THAT AS THEY COME IN TOWARD THE SUN THEY ARE DEFLECTED BY A PLANET (MOST LIKELY VUPITER) AND LOSSE ENERGY RESOLTING IN A CAPTURE. CUPRENTLY THERE ARE NO KNOWN COMETS WITH A HYPERBOLIC OF DIT.

The COMET HAS A SOLID NUCLEUS "UP FRONT" Which VERY SMALL, ONLY ABOUT A KILOMETER IN DIAMETER. AT A DENSITY OF About Sking PARTICLES PER cm 3. SUPPOUNDING THE NUCLEUS IN A MASS of GAS CALLED THE COMAL IN Which NEARLY A Thous AND different Absorb TION LINES of VARIOUS MOLECULES AND ATOMS HAVE been observed. LIGHT is EMITTED by A FLORESCENCE FERADIATION where The bands result from A resonant of ulbration into an upper level. That is sunlight hits the molecules And excites them to higher energies LEVELS which IN TURN, re radiate The ENERGY AS THEY "FALL" BACK TO THEIR GROUND STATE. HOWEVER THE SUN HAS CERTAIN FRAUNHOFER LINES Which LEAD TO GAPS IN The SPECTRA from The comets, These lines Are Droduced by The Absorption of rAdIANT ENERGY when the outer electrons of the Atoms COMPOSING THE ATMOSPHERIC GASES OF THE SUN JUMP TO HIGHER ENERGY LEVELS. So THE MISSING LINES CAN BE EXPLAINED. EVEN TO THE POINT THAT ALL The pieces of The PUZZLE fit so well TOGETHER And have reproduced The COMET SPECTIA ON COMPUTERS THAT WE THINK WE KNOW WHAT WE ATE TAKING A bOUT for DACE.

MOST OF THE MATERIAL FOUND IN COMETS ARE NOT VERY STABLE; THIS IS WHY SUNLIGHT EASILY DECOMPOSES THEM. SOME OF THE MORE PREVOILENT THINGS OBSERVED ARE: OH, NH, CN, CH, C2, C3, CHT, NH2, NA, FE, CO2⁺, Co⁺. THE YADIATION PRESSURE OF THE SUNLIGHT IS BELIEVED TO THE THE REASON Why The 6 MOLECULES ARE DRIVEN INTO THE TAIL AS WE CALL IT. A MORE RECENT TECHNIQUE FOR MAKING ASTRONOMICAL MEASUREMENT IS bY SENDING A PULSE OF RADIATION TO AN ODJECT AND MEASURING NOT ONLY THE DELAY INVOLVED IN GETTING IT BACK OUT ALSO THE FREQUENEY Shift (Doppler Shift). Thus we can measure both The distance to Theodojer And ITS IELATIVE VELOCITY. This METHOD HAS been Applied TO VENUS, THE MODN, MARS, MERCURY AND JUPITER. BEST SUCCESS HAS BEEN ODTAINED FROM VENUS AND THE MOON.

This FECHNIQUE CAN EVEN bEUSED TO "EXPLORE" THE PLANET BY SCANNING THE surface AND recording The TOTAL REFLECTION INTENSITY. CERTAIN surface features can be observed in This way. ROTATIONAL MOTION CAN be checked using the Doppler shift Arising from The PLANETS MOTION TOWARds And AWAY from us CAUSING DIFFERENT deLAYS over The surface.

WE CAN CHECK THE PLANETATY OrbITS AND MEASURE THE SCALE of The SOLAR SYSTEM. THE ASTRONOMICAL UNIT IS THE EARTH'S ORBIT RADIUS Which is NOW fixed AT 149,596,000 ± 1000 Km or 99.005 LIGHT SEC.

LAST TIME WE WERE TALKING About RADAR ASTRONOMY AND HOW IT WAS used to make rotational measurements of mercury and utnus. Today SINCE I dON'T HAVE THE MOVIE I PROMISED YOU I WILL CONTINUE THIS discussION because IT IS VERY INTERESTING.

WE RECALL THAT THE PERIOD OF REVOLUTION AROUND THE SUN IS BB days while FOTADON ABOUT ITS ARIS OCCURS ONCE EVERY 59 JAYS. IT FURNS OUT THAT 59 is Almost 3/3 of 88 day. So close in fact that it has lead to A Theory which seems to EXPLAIN Mercury's STRANGE BEHAVIOR. MERCURY'S OF DIT IS VERY ECCENTRIC MUCH MORE SO THAN ANY PLANET. THERE IS Thus A Perigee And APOGEE & which is QUITE Pronounced. Further Mercury ITSELF IS LOPSIDED AND ASSUMES AN ELLIPSOIDAL SHAPE wITH THREE UNEQUAL AXES. There IS Thus TIDAL Forces created in the Body which Are generated by The SUN TENDING TOME TURN THE QUADRUPOLE MOMENT TOWARd The SUN. ASSUME THE PLANET STARTS OUT AT ITS PERIGEE POSITION O ON THE SIDE DIAGRAM WITH ITS LONG AXIS POINTING TOWARD THE SUN

If we follow the PATH we see by The TIME MERCURY reaches it Apogee it has gone Through 114 Rev-OLUTIONS AND HAS ITS LONG AXIS PERPENDICULAR TO THE FIDAL FORCE dIRECTED IADIALLY TOWARD THE 3 SUN. This seems to contradict what we said about The LONG AXIS ALWAYS POINTING TOWARD THE SUN-BUT NOT REALLY. While The MINIMUM ENERGY IS WHEN The LONG AKIS POINTS TOWARD THE SUN THE MEAN THAL force on The QUADRUPOLE is STILL POSITIVE. BY The TIME IT COMES BACK TO POSITION 4 THE PLANET HAS GONE THROUGH I'VE FOTATIONS WITH IT'S LONG AXIS STILL POINTING TOWARD THE SUN. MOREOVER THE MOTION IS STABLE AND DYNAMICAL SENSIBLE. HOW CAN This be?

WE KNOW THESE TINAL FRICTION FORCES TEND TO SLOW THE PLANET DONET DOWN. BUT THERE IS ONLY A SLIGHT STADLE EQUILIBRIUM AT 59 DAYS SO THE TIDAL FORCE ACTING LIKE A CONSTANT FORCE PUSHING IT ON PAST THIS EQUIBIBRIUM FROM HIGHER FREQUENCIES. How is it stopped from Going over the other side? IT SO HAPPENS WHEN THE PLANET IS MOVING FAST Through ITS PERIGEE THE SUN APPEARS TO IT TO BE GOING BACKWARD IN THE SKY AND THE TIDAL FORCE reverses its sign just enough to capture the planet AND START ITS 59 DAY ROTATION. region of STABLE

4

MOTTON

This is very neat And seems to fit pretty well with OBSETVATION.

# ROTATION of VENUS



ReCALLING AGAIN LAST TIME WE SAID THE PERIOD OF VENUS' YEAR WAS 225 DAYS WHILE IT POTATED ONCE EVERY ZAS IS DAYS. BUT THERE IS IS SOMETHING THE VERY STRANGE AbOUT VENUS THAT WE HAVE DISCLOSED WITH OUR MADAR ASTRONOMY. ONE SIDE OF VENUS IS ALWAYS TOWARDS US WHEN THE PLANET IS THE NEAREST TO US - WHICH HAPPEN EVERY SBY DAYS. THERE IS AN "OBSERVABLE" SPOT ON THE PLANET WHICH SCATTERS A LOT OF THE MADAR SIGNALS. THIS SPOTS IS ALWAYS IN THE SAME POSITION DURING THIS CLOSE A OPPOACH.

FIRST WE ArGUE SOME THING IS THE MATTER WITH THE INSTRUMENT OR THAT SOME EXPLAINATION CAN bE FORUND OTHER THAN THE ASTROLOGICAL EXPLANATION THAT EARTH "CONTROLS" THE MOTION OF VENUS. SO THE THEORY THAT SEEMS TO EXPLAIN MERCURY WAS APPLIED TO VENUS. THE POSSIBLE THAT FORE GENERATED BY THE EARTH COULD NOT BE PROPERLY USED TO EXPLAIN THE CAPTURE MECHANISM. ANOTHER FORCE WAS NEEDED, A FRICTIONAL ONE, WHICH WOULD ACT AS A DAMPENING MECHANISM WHICH WOULD BE JUST FIGHT TO DAMPEN THE PREDICT 30,000 YR. PERIOD. THIS FORCE WAS EXPLAINED BY ASSUME VENUS HAS A LIQUID CORE WHICH IMPLIES INTERNAL FRICTION. THIS EXPLANATION HAS NOT MEENT WITH A GREAT DEAL OF SUCCESS AND THE WHOLE ISSUE REMAINS AN OPEN QUESTION YET AS NEW BATA IS COLLECTED ON THE POTATIONAL MOTION IT SEEMS TO FIT MORE AND MORE.

SO, IN FACT, IT MIGHT TURN OUT YET THAT WE ARE CONTROLLING VENUS AND CAN HAVE WILD ASTROLOGICAL OVERTONES. BUT AT THE SAME TIME THIS DOES NOT EXPLAIN THE RETROGRADE MOTION AT ALL. MAYBE THERE IS SOMETHING PECULIAR IN THE MEASUREMENT WHICH WE HAVE FOUND YET. PERHAPS, THE LITTLE PEOPLE UP THERE ARE PEEPING BACK TO US SOME FORM OF DATA (LIKE OUR PERIOD OF REVOLUTION) IN AN EFFORT TO COMMUNICATE WITH THEM AND HERE WE'RE THINKING UP ALL THESE CRAZY THEORIES HOPEFULLY LOOKING FOR A LOGICAL EXPLANATION! WELL, NOW WELL TALK AbOUT THE SUN. AS SOME OF YOU KNOW, IT IS A DIG BALL OF NOT GAS FOTATING ADOUT ITS AXIS AND SPITS OUT ALL SUTS OF CRAP IN THE COURSE OF REVOLUTION. IT SPINS FASTER AT ITS EQUATOR THAN AT ITS POLES. THE ANGULAR VELOCITY IS FITTED TO THE FOLLOWING EQUATION,

W= 14.38° - 2.77°S IN2 0 degrees

where O is The INTITUDE ANGLE.

The INTERESTING QUESTION TO ASK IS WHY The BALL POTATES LIKE THIS IN THE FIRST PLACE. THE EARTH DOES BUT IT'S A SOLID MASS - OF PRESUMABLY SO. BUT A BALL OF LIQUID LIKE A FAIN Drop would spin forever And The ANGULAT VELOCITY WOULD BE THE SAME ALL OVER THE Sphere. THE WHOLE BALL OF GAS IS OBVIOUSLY NOT IN EQUILIBRIUM OF ELSE IT WOULD BE THROW OFF ALL THE STUFF IT DOES. IT DOESN'T WANT ITS LOWEST ENERGY STATE, APPARENTLY. ALL OF THIS IS NOT WELL UNDERSTOOD

### Structure of the surface And Atmosphere

The effective surface is termed The Photosphere. Below This Layer The opacity BEGINS TO INCLEASE LAPIDLY AND OBSERVATIONS STOP ADRUPTLY. THIS LAY & IS seen as a hot EMITING LAYER where MOST of The USIBLE LIGHT COMES FROM. Above The Photos Phere is The chromos Phere where All The JUNK IS SPITOUT From. There Are A LOT OF EMISSION LINES SEEN IN The SPECTRUM. THESE ARE JUST reversals of The Fraunhofer Absorption LINES. Beyond The chromosphere IS THE CORONA which BOES OUT AS FAR AS 2-3 SUN RAdii. IT EXHIBITS CONTINUOUS EMISSION LINES From The SCATTERING OF SUN LIGHT bY MANY FREE ELECTRONS. There is A very strong line at 5303 & which WAS NOT IDENTIFIED WITH ANY ELEMENTS FOR SEVENTY YEARS. IN 1939 IT WAS FINALLY NAMED AS A FORBIDDEN LINE OF ITON WITH THIRTEEN ELECTTONS MISSING. THE IONIZATION TEMPERATURE OF FEIG CORRESPONDS TO A TEMPERATURE of one million derees. But The density of the corona is very LOW SO IT IS Theorized That Noises Are generated by The SUN which shakes the CORONA TO HELL SUPPLYING THE POWER TO MAINTAIN THIS 100 AS THE dENSITY -GETS THINNER AND THINNER. LIKE CRACKING A WHIP

There Art A LOT of EMPIRICAL THINGS SEEN WHEN OBSERVING THE SUN; THERE ARE SPOT, SPICULES, GRANULES, SPLOTCHES, FILMMENTS, ETC, ETC. IT IS VETY difficult to Produce A Theory for remembering All These Things. 1 Shall Try TO GIVE A GUIDE FOR REMEMBERANCE AS WE GO ALONG.

#### RICE GrAINS

The RICE GRAIN STRUCTURE ARE POLYGONAL SELLS OF SPLOTCHES IN THE PHOTOSPHERE, IT IS THEORIZED THAT THEY ARE CONVECTION CELLS IN which JUNK IS COMING UP FROM THEIR CENTER AND SPILLING OUT. THEY ONLY LAST A FEW MINUTES BUT ARE ABOUT 1000 KM ACROSS

ANOTHER GRAIN STRUCTURE, NOT THE RICE GRAIN, IS CALLED THE SUPER GRANULATION where They HAVE A DIAMETER OF ISOOOKM AND LAST About 7000 SECS.

### SUNSPOTS



The SPOTS APPEAR DLACK because Their TEMPERATURE IS LOWER THAN THE SURROUNDING PHOTOSPHERE. THE COME IN CYCLES of About ELEVEN YEARS. The STATE AT A LATITUDE OF A bOUT 35° AND WORK TOWARD THE EQUATOR. THEY TEND TO DISSOLVE AND BEAK UP BUT THE DO DRIFT WHITH THE POTPETION AND SOME LAST THROUGH A COUPLE OF POTATIONS. THE SPOT GENERATE A field of About 1000 GAUSS AT THEIR CENTER. THEY SHOW A DISTINCT LEADING AND FOLLOWING EDGE IN WHICH THE PRECEEDING SPOT IS ALWAYS LOWER THAN THE FOLLOWING ONE. THE FIELDS ARE DIFFERENT FOR THE TWO SPOT AND THE NORTH ALWAYS LEADS THE SOUTH POLE. BUT DURING THE NEXT CYCLE THE POLARITY IS REVERSED

A SPECTTO helioGRAM IS USED TO OBSETUE ONE PATTICULAR WAVELENGTH AND THE MOST PRONOUNCED MA EMISSION LINE IS THE KLINE OF CALCIUM. WITH THIS KLINE THE SUN SPOTS CAN be "SEEN" before AND AFTER THE DIE OUT.



there Also developes A dipole moment NEAR The Polt which will soon disAppear. The strength of the field reaches A MAXIMUM After Eleven years then reverses Polarity;

A Theory of The SUNSPOTS WAS put for The by TSABCOCK. The sold THAT INSIDE THE SUN OF FIGHT UNDER THE SURFACE THERE ARE MORE OF LESS WEAK MAGNETIC FIELD LINES FUNNING ALONG THE MERIDIANS. DUE TO THE DIFFERENCE IN FOTATION SPEED OF THE SUN AND THE FACT THAT THE

LINES ARE TIED TO THE SUN THE GET PULLED OF bULGED OUT. AFTER GOING AROUND FOR SEVERAL HUNDRED KEARS, THE FIELD EVENTUALLY HAS SO MUCH ENERGY STORED IN IT THAT IT TRIES TO EXPAND AND "FLOAT" UP TO THE SURFACE. FINALLY IT REACHES THE SURFACE AN THE HUMP BULGED OUT OF THE SURFACE LAYER. THUS IT APPEARS AS AN NORTH AND SOUTH

1 North

5 🗨

POLE

THE ATEA MOST LIKELY FOR THE BULGED TO BEGIN FROM THE DIFFERENCE IN FORMULA, THE LINES GET THE MOST DENSE AT About 30°.

WE STILL WOULD LIKE TO KNOW Why The FILAMENTS TEND TO MOVE TO THE NORTH? LEIGHTON CLAIMS THAT SUPERGRANULES EXIST AS ED CONDUCTION effect and serves AS its OWN PUMPING SOURCE. ANY LINE COMING OUT OF THE SUN IS CATTLED TO ANY OTHER PLACE IN A RANDOM WALK MANNER. If the TRAILING SPOT HAS A SOUTH POLARITY AND SINCE IT IS A LITTLE CLOSER TO THE NORTH POLEJIT WILL diffuse There FASTER AND IN THIS WAY IT IS POSSIBLE TO PREDICT WHAT THE POLARITY Should be.

# CHAPTER 14

### A LECTURE ON PLANETARY ATMOSPHERES

TODAY I WANT TO TALK About The RECENT INFORMATION WE HAVE COLLECTED ON THE ATMOSPHERIC COMPOSITION OF THE NEAR BY PLANETS - MARS, VENUS, AND JUPITER. THE OLD MATERIAL I HAVEN'T LOOKED AND SUGGEST ANY GOOD ASTRONOMY DOBK IF YOU SO DESIRE.

### MARS

FIRST WE LOOK AT MARS. AS YOU PERHAPS KNOWN MARS HAS ONLY ONE TENTH THE MASS OF EARTH AND ONE-HALF THE RADIUS, I.E., About 2000 MILES. IT YOTATES About ITS AXIS IN About 25 hours much like The EARTH. MARS IS A FEDDISH - ORANGE PLANET AND THAT'S ALL - NO, I'LL TELL YOU MORE.

DURING THE RECENT FLY-BY OF THE MARINER SPACECRAFT WE WERE Able to MEASURE A PHASE Shift IN THE RADIO TRANSMISSION AS IT PASSED BY THE EDGE OF THE PLANET. THAT IS, KNOWING ALL THE RELATIVE MUTTONS INVOLVED LIKE THE VEHICLE'S SPEED, MAR'S MOTTON, THE EARTH'S MOTION, ETC. IT WAS POSSIBLE TO PREDICT THE FREQUENCY OF EMISSION RECEPTION. HOWEVER AS IT PASSED BEHIND THE PLANET, THERE WAS A BRIEF PERIOD IN WHICH THE RADIO TRANSMISSIONS HAD TO PENETRATE THE MARTIAN ATMOSPHERE. THE RESULTING PHASE SHIFT GAVE A RELATIVE MEASURE OF THE MARTIAN ATMOSPHERE.

IT WAS discovered THAT About 120 KM from The Surface There is AN IONOSPHERE SIMILAR TO THE EARTH'S, IT HAS AN ELECTRON DENSITY OF About 9×10⁴ ELECTRONS/CM⁴. The Pressure at the Surface Was ESTIMATED AT About 5 MILLIBARS. HOWEVER, THIS FIGURE IS NOT AGREED UPON BY THE ASTRONOMICAL COMMUNITY. OTHER ATTEMPTS USING INFRARED LIGHT HAVE INDICATED higher Pressure LIKE 10-20 Mb. WhILE THEY ATE ARGUING, WE'LL SAY IT'S About 10 Mb. THE IMPORTAINT POINT IS THAT THE PLANET CAN RETAIN AN ATMOSPHERE, FURTHER, THE PHASE SHIFT FITS VERY NICELY AN EXPONENTIALLY decaying function of height.

The TEMPERATURE ON THE SURFACE IS FATHER LOW About 230°K. There Are spots NEAR THE EQUATOR THAT GET WARMER. IT IS INTERESTING TO SEE WHAT HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN THE ATMOSPHERE

FOR MANY YEARS CO2 HAS beeN Observed by Absorption LINES IN THE INFTATED REGION OF THE SPECTRUM. MAYbe There is NOTHING ELSE. BUT PEOPLE have contrived various model Atmospheres to Explain All the observed PheNOMENA. A STANDARD ATMOSPHERE NOWADAYS CONSISTS OF 40% CO2 AND 60% NITrOGEN. BUT THE NITROGEN IS NOT EASILY SEEN AND, IN FACT, There MAY NOT BE ANY AT ALL. MAYbe IT'S Argon; MAYbe we don'T KNOW for Sure. SMALL AMOUNTS of WATER VAPOR HAVE been observed NEAR The POLESby SOME PEOPLE. BUT IT IS NOW GENERALLY BELIEVED TO REALLY EXIST. The observations of the POLAR CAPS disappearing IN The MARTIAN SPRING is believed to be H20 vapor. The concentration of H20 is so small that it could never PRECIPITATE. The dei AMOUNT IS GIVEN AS MUCH LESS THAN 14 MICRONIS OF The "depth" of the WATER IF IT CONDENSED ALL OVER The surface. IN ORTHER WORDS, THAT'S HOW DEEP THEIR OCEAN WOULD BE. IT AIN'T MUCH WATER!

IN AddITION CO HAS NOW BEEN IDENTIFIED USING A BETTER INTERFEROMETER Which is EVEN CAPABLE OF DETECT ISOTOPES OF THE VARIOUS ELEMENTS. ONCE METHANE WAS OBSERVERS BUT NEVER FOUND AGAIN. THIS IS VERY STRANGE BECAUSE METHANE COULD NOT EXIST IN EQUILIBRIUM WITH COZ AND HIO BECAUSE THE CH MOLECULE WOULD BE ERTEN RIGHT UP BY OXYGEN ATOMS. SO MAYBE THERE ARE LITTLE CREATURES ARE MANUFACTURING IT

There have BEEN Proposed various Theories About The MARTIAN WIEATHER. COZ IS A GOOD Absorber And VADIATOR of IR LIGHT which somehow Effects the TIME DURADON OF SURFACE STORMS which TENDS TO MAKE THEM VETY LONG. SO THEY MIGHT LAST A MONTH OR SO AT A TIME.

What Do we see ON The Surface? WILL, There are The POLAR CAPS which Grow and diminish in a seasonal manner. Basically the PLANET is reddish-orange with Dark Areas covering About one-fourth of its surface. These Dark Areas undergo changes in size and color during the martian Year. Darks "CANALS" were Once observed by P.Lowell who suggested They were the doings of intelligent beings. He was a very miscoided individual But At The time he had the best telescope and best observations condition (in Arizonal so That Any one who didn't see them just didn't have the Right conditions. It is the SAME P. Lowell who "SAW" vast Armies of ANTS MOVING ACROSS THE CRATER of the MODNI.

HOWEVER, THIS ANTIQUATED IDEA IS GIVING WAY TO A MORE SOPHISTICATED INTERPERTATION OF WHAT WE SEE. NOW WE CLAIM WE DON'T KNOW WHAT IT IS WE SEE.

The MARINER ALSO TOOK PICTURES OF CRATERS ON The SURFACE Which APPEAR LIKE THE ONIES WE SEE ON THE MOON. THIS IS NOT A STARTLING DISCOVERY bECAUSE THE MARTIAN AIR IS THIN ENOUGH THAT METEORS COuld bombard it WITHOUT BURNING UP. THEY APPEAR TO HAVE FLATTER BOTTOMS, ARE SMALLER, THAN THE MOON'S AND LESS FREQUENT. THERE SEEMS TO BE FEWER PER SQUARE FOOT THAN THE MOON. THE REASON FOR THIS IS BELIEVED TO BE DUE TO THE FROSION OF THE CRATER EDGES WHICH FILLS UP THE BOTTOMS.SINCE MARS IS CLOSER TO THE ASTEROID BELT WE WOULD EXPECT MORE SO WE NEED A MECHANISM OF OBLITERATION TO EXPLAIN WHY THERE ARE FEWER THAN THE MOON. BUT KNOW THERE ARE TOO MANY UNCERTAINTIES TO GIVE AN ACCURATE THEORY RIGHT KNOW. IN ONE of MARINER'S PICTURE OF THE MARTIAN HORIZON THE APPEARED A SMALL HALO OF SCATIERED LIGHT JUST Above The surface. At FIRST IT WAS THOUGHT TO DE A SPOT ON THE CAMERA LENS BUT IT WAS LATER decided THAT IT WAS A CLOUD. BELIEVED TO BE A COZ CLOUD OF DRY ICE SUBLIMING NOT ICE CLOUDS AS MORT COMMONLY BELIEVED.

The MARKINGS ON The surface sometimes DISAPPEAR. EVEN The POLAR CAPS DO THIS. MAYbe THERE IS A DIG DUST STORM PLANET WIDE WHICH bLOWS OVER THE SURFACE LEAVING behind A LAYER OF DIRT ALL OVER INCLUDING THE ICE. LATER THE ICE COULD REDEDSIT OVER THE DIRT. MORE IMPORTANT IS THE SUDDEN CHANGE IN CONSTRAST OF THE VARIOUS REGIONS. THE SUDDENLY APPEAR AND GRADUALLY FADE OUT. THE DARKER AREAS MAY BE HIGHER AND THE DUST BLOWS OFF INTO THE VALLEYS DETWEEN RIDGES. MARINER COULD DISCERN THE CHANGE IN BRIGHTNESS BUT IT DIDN'T TELL US THE QUALITY OF THE SURFACE.

The FEYNMAN THEORY IS: BIG FIELDS OF DARK BROWN POPPIES GET COVERED wITH DUST AND CRAP AND THEN ARE BLOWN CLEAN BY THE GENTLE MANTIAN WINDS.

When The PULAR CAPS MELTS, which is really sublimination of CO2, The surface Features darken in A General direction downward from The POLE. Some PEOPLE LIKE BOD LEIGHTON dON'T THINK THIS IS A REAL Phenomena - This wave of Darkening, while they claim to see colors such as blue And Green, I THINK They're wrong Here. Color Judgment IS NOT AN Objective Process but depends on The background And how one interperts The background.

### VENUS

WE KNOW VERY LITTLE ABOUT THIS PLANET EVEN THOUGH IT IS THE CLOSEST ONE TO US, WE CAN'T SEE ANY MARKINGS DUE TO THE THICK CLOUDS COVERING THE WHOLE PLANET. WE SEE LAYERS OF CLOUDS AT A TEMPERATURE OF 240°K WHICH WE BELIEVE IS HIGH ICE. THAT IS, IT IS ALMOST CERTAINLY IDENTIFIED AS ICE BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN FITTED WITH CURVES ON EARTH TAKEN FROM DATA ON OUR ATMOSPHERE. CO2 HAS ALSO BEEN IDENTIFIED IN THE ATMOSPHERE.

If we observe VENUS AT MICROWAVE FREQUENCIES, 3-30 CM, WE CAN MEASURE THE TEMPERATURE of THE SURFACE DUE TO RADIATION. THE DATA INDICATED A SURFACE TEMPERATURE of AROUND 700°K. THAT'S A DAMN NOT SURFACE! WHAT WE SEE WHEN WE USE .4 TO I CM IS 285°K OR THE TEMPERATURE AT HIGHER LEVELS SINGE THE SHORTER WAVELENGTH DON'T PENETRATE AS FAR. WE ARE THEN LOOKING AT THE TOP OF THE CLOUD LAYER. WHAT IS IT SO HOT? HOW CAN THE ATMOSPHERE DE Adiabatically STADIE WITH A TEMPERATURE GRADIENT OF NEARLY SOO°K.? A Theory SUGGESTS THE ATMOSPHERE IS VERY DEEP, PERHAPS A HUNDRED TIMES THE THICKNESS OF OURS. IF IT IS THICK ENOUGH, THEN IT GOOD be Adiabatically STADLE AS YOU GO higher UP. Some Expe SUSPECT SOME KIND OF SURFACE NOISE IS AddING TO THE RADIATION AND THUS THE SURFACE IS ACTUALLY COOLER THAN WE THINK. MAY BE THERE ARE A LOT OF RADIO AND T.V. STATIONS TRANSMITTING ALL THIS ENERGY! BUT MOST PEOPLE NOW DECEPT THIS HIGH TEMPERATURE AND SEEK TO EXPLAIN IT.

As A TherMAL SOURCE, IT EMITTS A PRETTY NICE BLACK body SPECTRUM WITH THE INTENSITY GOING AS AT y' dy. FORTUNATELY THE SURFACE DOES NOT COOL OFF DURING ITS NIGHT (123 days) or Else we would be in REAL TROUBLE TRYING TO EXPLAIN THE MECHANISM RESPONSIBLE. AS IT STANDS NOW, THE THICK ATMOSPHERE MODEL SEEMS TO BE A PRETTY GOOD ONE.

### JUPITER

Now Here comes A VERY INTERESTING STORY AND THERE ARE MANY THINGS TO SAY. The radio emissions (AN be separated into two regions: The deca and decimeter regions. The decameter region is below Melsee and The decimeter is above 200 Melsec.

The decameter region is characterized by storadic emissions, its ELLIPTICALLY (NOT LINEARLY OF CIRCULARLY ) POLARIZED, is extremely intense( ATTIMES) and the Source is small compared to the diameter of othe PLANET LABOUT S-IS Sec of Arc while the PLANET subtends a minute). The decimeter region is characterized by a Steady EMISSION, LINEARLY POLARIZED, AND COVERS AN AREA SEVERAL TIMES THE PLANET'S SIZE.

IT hAS been SUGGESTED THAT JUPITER HAS AN INTRINSIC MAGNETIC POLE which is NOT AXIAL WITH THE SPIN AXIS BUT LIES SOME 10° OFF TO ONE SIDE. AS A RESULT SENCHROTOON RADIATION OCCURS IN THE OUTER REGIONS WHEN ELECTRONS GET TRAPPED IN THE FIELD LINES. THE AXIS OF THE FIELD IS PERIODICALLY TIPPING BACK AND FORTH WITH A HIGHLY REGULAR PERIOD OF 9^{hrs} 55^{min} 29.37 sec. There is a slight variation in the INTENSITY OF THE FIELD being MORE INTENSE IN THE PLANE OF THE EQUATOR.





HOWEVER, THE USUAL ENERGY SPECTRUM FROM SYNCHVOTRON GOES AS dE/E^S where S is 2.7 or 2.8, form Jupiter S=1. So it is NOT AS RAPIDLY deCAYING which SUGGEST IT MIGHT be occurring in SOME CHAOTIC CLOUDS.

AT ANY MATE, SYNCHMOTTON MADIATION IS believed to be the source of the decimeter EMISSION And Therefore, Pretty well understood.

### THE DECAMETER EMISSIONS.

NOW THIS IS CRAZY; ADSOLUTELY CRAZY. THE ARE LOTS OF BURST AND NOISES AND OTHER THINGS GOING ON BUT MORE STATTLING IS A VERY PERIODIC MAXIMUM IN THE FLUCTUATIONS. IT SEEMS THAT THE MOON OF JUPITER ID HAS A MAJOR FOLL IN THE ODSERVING OF THIS MAXIMUM DECAUSE WHENEVER IT IS 90° TO THE LINE OF SIGHT WE GET A MAXIMUM. ASSO WHEN IT GOES DETWEEN US AND IS 60° PAST, WE GET ANOTHER PULSE. THE INTENSITY FADES AS IT GOES AWAY.

60

TO EATTH

Io is close enought to Jupiter to lie in its tield lines and disrupt the flow of lot of ELECTTONS ALONG A PARTICULAR LINE. AT THE JUICE SURFACE THE MOTION IS TANGENITIAL TO THE SURFACE AND AS THE FIELD ROCKS BACK AND FORTH WE GET STRONG PULSES WHEN THATE PLANE IS OBSERVED NORMALLY (1.2., AT RIGHT ANGLE TO OUR LINE OF SIGHT). The fluctuation is peculiar in That The rotation of Jupiter as measured by its red spot is given AS 9^{hr} SS^{min} 37^{Sec} which is NOT The Period of oscillation of The field Axis by About 7 secs.

# JUPITE'S ROTATION

LAST TIME WE WERE TALKING About JUPITER AND THE APPARENT UNEQUAL PERIODS OF FOTOTION DUE TO THE RED SPOT AND MAGNETIC POLE OSCILLATIONS. RECALL A JUPITEI DAY IS 9^{hr} SS^{min} 29^{sec} And The MAGNETIC POLE PERIOD IS 9^{hr} 55^{min} 37sec which I definitely NOT EQUAL TO THE FORMER.

The Red SPOT is AN Observed PhenomenA of Jupiter which is ALWAYS THERE; AT LEAST for The LAST hundred YEARS or so of Observations, it changes it shape and color A little but remains fixed, more or less, AT The SATME LATITUDE. If we pick ANY Period whatever different from A Jupiter DAY And PLOT IT VERSUS THE RED SPOT RATE, IT WILL APPEAR TO BE CONSTANTLY DRIFTING TO ONE SIDE. THE SEEMINGLY DRIFTING MUTION IS JUST A RESULT OF BEING OFF THE CORRECT TOTATIONAL RATE.

culored BANd

If we PLOT THE MAGNETIC POLE PERIOD AS A FUNCTION of rOTATIONAL ANGLE VS TIME FOR THE LAST HUNDRED YEARS IT LOOKS SOMETHING SCREWY LIKE



So There ISN'T A PERPETUAL DRIFT AND OVER THE LAST 25 YEARS The rATE has been rather steady. If we PICKED A different PCRIDD THE CURVE WOULD REMAIN MORE OF LESS THE SAME SHAPE but would be shifted in SLOPE A LITTLE. BUT Several Theories have been Proposed TO ACCOUNT for This Phenomena. ONE PLACES The bLAME ON ATMOSPHERIC DISTURBANCES OF SOME KIND; LIKE OVER A VOLCANO OR MOUNITAIN. OR MAYDE THE MOMENT OF INERTIA is CHANGED SOMEHOW. WELL, THIS IS ANOTHER MISTERY WE don'T UNDERSTAND.

AND THAT'S ALL I CARE TO SAY AbOUT THE PLANET. THERE IS A LOT I didN'T SAY OUT YOU CAN FIND THAT IN A GOOD ASTRONOMY BOOK.

.
## The SUN AND The SOLAr WINDS

NOW RETURNING TO THE SUN, I WANT TO TALK About The SOLAR WINDS AND OTHER YELATED PHENOMENA ON EASTH DUE TO IT. IN THING TO GATHER MATERIAL, PRESENTABLE MATERIAL, I GOT INTO A SEAL HORNET'S NEST. IT SEEMS NO ONE KNOWS A DAMN THING & FOR SEAL. THERE AS THEORES AND SOME EXPERIMENTS WHICH SORT OF CONFIRM THE THEORIES -IF YOU KNOW THE THEORIES

The GENERAL IDEA IS THAT THE SOLAR WINDS COME from The CORONA AS AN OUTSTREAM OF PLASMA AND THE EARTH HAPPENS TO BE IN THE WAY. IT DOES NOT BLOW PERFECTLY REGULARLY. THE EARTH'S MAGNETIC FIELD IS RATHER STIONG AND DEFLECTS THE PARTICLES. THUS IT SERVES AS A SHIELD AND THIS IS CALLED THE MAGNETOSPHERE. THE OUTER MOST SHELL IS CALLED THE MAGNETOPAUSE. BETWEEN THE MAGNETO PAUSE AND THE SMOOTH STREAM OF INCOMING PLASMA THERE IS A RESULTING SHOCK WAVES AND CONTUSED MESS. DURING SOLAR BUSRTS THE SHOCK WAVE PUSHES HARDER ON THE MAGNETO PAUSE WHICH IN TURM CAUSES A DISTORTION OF THE EARTH'S MAGNETIC FIELD. THE RESULT IS MAGNETIC STORMS OR DISTURBANCES IN OUR ATMOSPHERE.



DURING VIOLENT SOLAR FLARES OF SOLAR PROMINENCES A PRONOUNCED COSMIC RAY INCREASE IS RECORDED AT EARTH. WHEN WE SEE A FLARE LASTENG A COUPLE OF MINUTES, A few MINUTES LATERS WE GET AN INCREASE IN COSMIC RAY FLUX. THIS IS BECAUSE THE PARTICLES ARE NOT COMPLETELY RELARVISTIC. AFTER THE INITAL RISE OF SUDDEM COMMENCEMENT, THERE FOLLOWS IN A DAY OF TWO ANOTHER FLUX INCREASE DUE TO THE PLASMA SHOCK INDUCTIONS A MAGNETIC STORM. OUR RECENT SATELLITES have confirmed THAT THIS PLASMA EXISTS UNIFORMLY AND IS CONTINOUS.

The VELOCITY of The INCOMING PARTICLES AT The EARTH IS About 400 Km but varies over The RANGE 320-700 Km. The flux 5EC DENSITY IS GIVEN AS 4X108 Protons or About 10 Protons 0m²-sec CC. The EEMPERATURE of The GAS IS REALLY NOT KNOWN BUT NOMINALLY GIVEN AS 10^S-10^{S.S °}K.

190

The MAGNETIC FIELD OUTSIDE THE EARTH'S MAGNETOSPHERE IS GIVEN AS 4-58 where  $Y = 10^{-5}$  GAUSS. The flow velocity is much higher THAN The ThermAL Velocity AND The flow velocity is much higher THAN The ThermAL Velocity AND The extra ENErgy comes from The MAGNETIC FIELD. The ThermAL ENErgy AND MAGNETIC FIELD ENErgy Are About The Same NKT  $\sim \frac{B^2}{8\pi}$ The higher The velocities the bigger The MAGNETIC FIELD VIOLENCE (or NErvousnes Kp) ON EARTH.

The winds are basically grouped into Three TYPES!

(1) GENERAL OUTFLOW (From CORONA)

(2) JETS from STUFF ON The surface

(3) SHARP PULSE FLARE

Theory of General Emission

WE CONSIDER THE CORONA EXPANDING HYDRODYNAMICALLY INTO THE VACUUM AROUND IT. THE CURIOUS THING IS HOW THE CORONA MAINTAINS A TEMPERATURE OF 10° °K WHILE THE LOWER SUFFACE TEMPERATURE IS AROUND 4000 °K (The chromosphere). The CORONA IS EMITTENED AND KICKING OUT PARTICLES AT SUPERSONIC VELOCITIES THUS WHAT IS LEFT BEHIND IS NOT AFFECTED BY WHAT LEAVES. THE MEAN FREE PATH OF THESE PARTICLE IS LARGER THAN SEVERAL SUN RADII.

IT THEN SEEMS CONTRADICTORY TO USE THE IDEA OF AN INTERACTING GAS WHEN, IN FACT, WE ARE DESCRIBING A COLLISIONLESS SYSTEM. HOWEVER, EVEN IF THE PARTICLES DO NOT INTERACT PHYSICALLY. THEY CAN BE COUPLED ELECTRO-MAGNETICALLY AND, THEREFORE, NOT COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT OF ONE ANOTHER. TOUT THIS IS NOT WORKED OUT SATISFACTORILY. SO I SORTA OF AVOID THE QUESTION OF HOW WE CAN TREAT THIS GAS HYDRONAMICALLY.

AT ANY FATE THE GAS CAN be described by A Pressure, TEMPERATURE, AND VELOCITY. TO WRITE THE EQUATION OF THE GAS WE HAVE

CONSErVATION OF MATTER  $\rho r r^2 4 \pi = Q$  CONTINUOUS FLOW TO BALAQUE THE ACCELERATING FORCES

$$-\frac{dP}{d\lambda} - \frac{GMOP}{R^2} = \frac{dv}{dT} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} - (v \cdot V)V$$
  
$$-\frac{dP}{d\lambda} - \frac{GMOP}{R^2} = P\frac{dv}{d\lambda}v$$
  
where  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} = rate of change of one POINT = 0$ 

Considering on STEAdy FLOW

$$-\frac{dP}{P}-\frac{GM}{\Lambda^2}d\Lambda=VdV$$

WE CAN INTroduce the speed of sound since it is related to the rate of change of pressure with density

$$C_s^2 = \frac{dP}{dP}$$

OR,

TheN

$$-Cs^2 \frac{d\rho}{\rho} = \frac{GM}{R^2} dR = V dV$$

RECALLING

$$4\pi\rho \nabla \Lambda^{2} = Q \quad \text{we have}$$

$$4\pi\rho \nabla \Lambda^{2} d\rho + 4\pi\rho \Lambda^{2} d\nu + 4\pi\rho \nabla 2\Lambda d\Lambda = 0$$

$$4\pi\rho \nabla \Lambda^{2} \qquad Q$$

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} + \frac{d\nu}{\sigma} + \frac{2}{\sigma} \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} = 0$$

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\rho} = -\frac{d\nu}{\sigma} - \frac{2}{\sigma} \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda}$$

ON SUDSTINING

$$Cs^{2}\left[-\frac{dv}{v}-\frac{2}{n}\frac{dn}{n}\right] - Gm_{n^{2}}dR = VdV$$

$$Cs^{2}\left[\frac{cs^{2}dv}{v}-\frac{v}{n}\frac{dv}{n}\right] = -2Cs^{2}\frac{dn}{n} + Gm_{n^{2}}dn$$

$$\left[\frac{v}{cs^{2}}-1\right]\frac{dv}{v} = (2-Gm_{cs^{2}n})\frac{dn}{n}$$

Whe see if or C CS (1.E, the Subsonic MANGE). The PATRICLE CAN INCREASE ITS VELOCITY AS THE MADIUS INCREASES UNTIL IT becomes SuperSonic. The Critical POINT where IT becomes SUPERSONIC IS

Or  $2 = \frac{GM}{RcritCs^2}$  or  $\frac{Rcrit}{Rsum} = \frac{GM}{Rsum} 2Cs^2$ SINCE  $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{rescape} = \frac{GM}{Re}$   $\frac{rcrit}{rsum} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\sqrt{re})^2}{Cs^2}$ The Phenomena is like a supersonic Noggle subsonic supersonic We have one IMPORTANT THING TO BRING IN AND THAT IS THE CONSERVATION OF ENERGY. IN THE CORONA THE THERMAL ENERGY corrESPONDS TO ONLY 0.1 KILD VOLTS WHILE ON THE EARTH WE GET About 3.1 KILDVOLTS. SO WHY THE DIFFERENCE? ACTUALLY IN THE CORONA IT IS 0.2 KV CONSIDERING BOTHER ELECTRONS AND PROTONS.

If WE USE A X= CP = 5/3 WE CAN'T GET MORE THAN 0.3 KV IN THE CORONA. THE EARTH SEES PATTICLES GOING AT 450 KM OR AT 1.1 KV. BUT WE FORGOT ABOUT THE GRAVITATIONAL ENERGY NEEdED TO LIFT THE PROTONS AWAY FROM THE SUN. THIS CORVESPONDES TO A GRAVITATIONAL ENERGY OF 2 KU OR TO GIVE A TOTAL OF 3.1 KV.

BUT The PLASMA IS CONTINUALLY DEING hEATED LIKE A NOZZIE WITH AN AFTER BURNER. THERE MUST BE SOME MECHANISM FOR GENERATING NOISE OUT BEYOND THE CORONA BECAUSE THERE IS A HELLUVA OLOT OF ENERGY OUT IN THAT SUPERSONIC RANGE. THE EMISSION FROM THE SUN OBVIOUSLY MUST NOT BE PORE AND REGOLAR.



## Theory of The Corona

LAST TIME WE WERE discussing The Mechanism of CORONAL Effects ON COSMICS RAYS. ONE MAJOR ProbLEM WAS how TO ACCOUNT FOR THE LARGE AMOUNT OF THERMAL ENERGY PER CUBIC FOOT AT dISTANCES OF 3 SUN RAdii. AT THAT POINT THE SEPARATION BETEEN SUB AND SUPERBONIC VELOCITIES OCCURS. BEYOND THIS POINT AND OUT AS FAR AS 20 RO There is proposed A CONSTANT THERMAL DLANKET of NEARLY 1.5 × 106 °K. Why IT This reGION SO ISoTherMAL?

There MUST DE A DIG THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY IN THIS REGION such THAT There is A VERY RAPID HEAT FLUX from The surface ON OUT TO ZO RO. NOW TO UNDERSTAND WHY THE CONDUCTIVITY COULD be so high we must ANALYZE The PLASMA STREAMING OUT. IT CONSISTS of high energy protons And electrons. So we have a two COMPONENT GAS IN Which The Protons Are MOVING About 160 Km/sec Through The subsonic \$ ZONE. BUT The ELECTRONS Are 2000 TIMES LIGHTER AND WOULD THUS DE ACCELERATED NEARLY 40 (~ 12000) TIMES FASTER THAN THE SPEED OF SOUND. SO THEY LITERALLY LEAVE THE PROTONS STANDING STILL AND TRAVEL ALCAD OF THE MAIN PLASMA STREAM. They Then SERVE AS A COMMUNICATION CARMING The hot NEWS from The bottom of The coronia to The far out regions. So This ISO THERMAL PROPOSITION IS NO LONGER IMPOSSIBLE TO BELIEVE. THERE This is The UNEXPLAINED MECHANISM of hEAT GENERATION; whether OF NOT IT IS SOME WHIP LIKE PHENOMENA RESULTING FROM NOISE AT The Lower Level is NOT WELL ESTAblished.

TO DETERMINE THE EQUILIBRIUM CONDITION IN THE CORONA WE MUST STUDY THE DEGREE OF IONIZATION OF MORE EXPLICITLY THE PRODABILITY of recombination. In The Low density of The coroma The rate of radiative capture of one electron by an ION is given by  $I_z + e^- \rightarrow I_{z-1} + Y$ 

BUT A MURE PROBABLE REACTION IS WHERE THE FIRST ELECTRON EXCITES The ION TO A LIGHER LEVEL PERMITTING THE CAPTURE OF TWO. ELECTRONS. This reaction is much more important for it gives A COFFECTION TO THE EXPECTED TEMPERATURE IN THE CORONA.

201

## The Character of The MAGNETIC FIELD IN The PLASMA

IT IS SAFE TO SAY THE SUN POTATES AND AS IT GOES POUND IT TURNS THE COPONA WITH IT; AS FAR OUT AS IORO. THIS CORDNAL JUNK ACTS AS A PERFECT CONDUCTOR AND THUS TRAPS THE MAGNETIC FIELD IN THE MATTER. THIS MEANS THE FIELD IS IN TURN POTATING WITH THE WHOLE SYSTEM. AS THESE LINES ARE PULLED OUT, THEY FORM A RADIAL FIELD BUT ARE ALTERNATELY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE - LIKE PULLED OUT TAFFY.



The ALTERNATING FIELD LINE BUSINESS IS NOT PLEASING TO ME. THIS MEANS OUTGOING AND INCOMING LINES WITH OPPOSITE CHARGE ARE COMING VERY CLOSE TO EACHOTHER - WE'LL COME BACK TO THIS. WE REALIZE NOW THAT MATERIAL SQUIVE OUT FROM THE SURFACE FOLLOWS THESE LINES. IT LOOKS LIKE ONE OF THE LAWN SPRINKLED THAT SPINS ATOUNID AS THE WATER COMES OUT. THE WATER COMES OUT IN A NICE LOGARITHMIC SPIRAL

WE DO , IN HACT, SEE THIS GARDEN SPRINKLER EFFECT AS VERIFIED by THE IMP AND MARINER SPACE PROBES. So THIS IS A GOOD THEORY TO GUIDE US IN UNDERSTANDING THE DATA. BUT IT HAS NOT DEEN CHECKED TOO CAREFULLY AND WHEN THEY WERETRYING TO MEASURE A FEW GAMMAS of SOLAR FIELD, THEY GOT CONFUSED BY INDUCED FIELD WITHIN THE SPACECRAFT ITSELF. THEY HAD A REAL PRODLEM IS COMPENSATING FOR THESE ERRORS.

MARINER HAS ShOWN THAT THERE EXISTS A MAGNETIC FIELD COMPONENT IN A PLANE PARALLEL TO THE ECLIPTIC AND IT ALWAYS HAS THE SAME SIGNS. THAT IS, THE NORMAL COMPONENT which should be ZERO ISN'S; IT'S About IX IN STRENGTH WITH THE SAME SIGN,



These "DOWN" POINTING LINE'S MOVE OUTWARD IN A RADIAL FASHION WITH Good VELOCITIES AND VEPRESENT A HELLUVA LOT OF FLUX, - MUCH MORE THAN IS IN THE DIPOLE OF THE SUN. THIS IS A REAL DIRTY WORLD WE LINE IN; EVERY BODIES CRAZY! WE CETTAINLY NEED SOME SOFT OF THEORY TO UNDERSTAND WHY 70-80% of The FIELD LINE ARE Observed GOING DOWN. AS IT TURNS OUT, THOUGH, we would expect most of The FIELD LINES TO be directed DOWNWARDLY because when we discussed The fields from The sun SPOTS we saw how one spot formed A LITTLE Behind And NorThly from The LEADING SPOT. As The spots diffuse And Spread Their POLARIZATION The field of The SUN IS NOT A YEAL DIPOLE. IN FACT, The AUERAGING effect of The NorThern And Southern hemispheres MIGHT STILL LEAVE AN NET POSITIUE CHARGE OVER MORE THAN TO HALF THE SUN AS THESE LINES ARE SQUITED OUT THEY CROSS THE ELLIPTIC IN A DOWNWARD DIRECTION.



BY NO MEANS IS THIS THEORY COMPLETE JUST AS IT IS INCOMPLETE TO JUDGE AN INTEGRAL BY ONE POINT.

## THEORY of PETSchek

(The following is A Poor reproduction of Feynman's TALK)

RETURNING TO THIS PRODIEM OF OPPOSING FIELD LINES, I WANT TO DISCUSS A VERY INTERESTING THEORY DEVELOPED by A FELLOW NAMED PETSCHEK. IF WE HAVE TWO OPPOSING FIELD LINES RUNNING CLOSE TO EACH OTHER, AN UNSTADLE SITUATION WILL RESULT IF THE LINES ARE SQUEEZED TO GETHER. PETSCHEK PROPOSES THAT THE SQUEEZING REACHES A POINT OF DE BREAKDOWN WHERE A "HOLE" IS FORMED WHICH ACTS AS A FIELD SINK PULLING IN MORE AND MORE LINES GENERATING TREMENDOUS YOULE HEATING AT THE POINT OF BREAKDOWN.



NEWLY FORMED FIELD LINES OUTWARD MOVING

The PETSCHEK PROCESS IS ACTUALLY AN ANNIHALATION-RECONSTRUCTION ONE WHERE CLOSELY PACKED FIELD LINES BREAK OFF AND FORM NEW LINES. I THINK HE HAS JUST DISCOVERED SOMETHING VERY IMPORTANT. IT COULD VERY WELL EXPLAIN SOLAR FLARES AND Effect SOLAR WINDS. BUT MORE THAN THAT IT COULD be CONTAIN SOMETHING IMPORTANT TO OUR UNDERSTANDING OF MAGNETIC FIELDS IN GENERAL There IS A LITTLE MORE I WANTED TO SAY A BOUT SOLAR WINDS. IF WE LOOK AT SOLAR FLARE ACTIVITIES WE REALIZE THAT THEY THROW OUT JUNK AT DIFFERENT RATES. SOME KICK HARDER THAN DETHERS, OWING TO THE SOLAR ROTATION, IT IS HIGH PRODABILED THAT TWO CLOSELY OCCUPRING SOLAR FLARES COULD OVERLAP AND GENIERATE A SCHOCK WAVE.



When The second LUMP of PLASMA OVERTAKES THE FIRST, THERE IS A definite shock resulting which we have not explained to any length.

THAT MORE OF LESS WRAPS THINGS UP for This YEAR'S LECTURE. FRANKLY, I'M GLAD TO SEE THE END COME; This MATERIAL HAS COMPLETELY SWAMPED ME AND I CAN'T GIVE COMPREMENSIBLE AND INTERESTING TALKS ANYMORE